



Surety for a Security by Way of a lien

Lien Number

HOD—GREG SEAN JACKSON CEO OCTOPUS ENERGY—HOD126

MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive
Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State





Baroness.oftheHouseof+Dawson_124_OO538@gmail.com
25 WOODSTOCK AVENUE County Palatine of Nottingham [NG7 5QP]
3 June 2024

To: MR TIMOTHY MEYER

Director Officer {PSC} for TMA GROUP HOLDINGS Limited Corporation/State
145 London Road, KINGSTON UPON THAMES [KT2 6SR] Unit 4 Manor Farm , Flexford Road NORTH BADDESLEY [SO52 9DF] and 100 London Road, KINGSTON UPON THAMES [KT2 6QJ]
tim.meyer@tma.co.uk , dpo@tma.co.uk , tma_enquiries@tma.co.uk , michael.barcia@tma.co.uk , readings@tma.co.uk ,

victoria.prentis.mp@parliament.uk , lilian.greenwood.mp@parliament.uk , london@thegazette.co.uk;
edinburgh@thegazette.co.uk; belfast@thegazette.co.uk; contact@landregistry-uk.com; icocasework@ico.org.uk;
consumer.helpservice@uk.experian.com; customer.relationsuk@equifax.com; dataprotection@reachplc.com;
news@dailyemail.co.uk; firm.queries@fca.org.uk;

CORPS ID:12604248

FCA ID:CAEWC001978603 , MGB01075 , Institute of Chartered Accountants reg ID:FC935242

Your ref}22/04/2024 Claiming an indebtedness/bill predicated upon contract/collateral contract/obligation/agreement without the wet ink signature of those 2 party's

Our Ref}HOD—TIMOTHY MEYER DIRECTOR OFFICER {PSC} TMA GROUP HOLDINGS LIMITED—HOD124

Dear MR TIMOTHY MEYER,

We have noted as of this day the 3 June 2024 that there has been no formal legal response to our previous correspondence and we attach again under this same cover the Affidavit and the correspondence sent to you on 29 April 2024, 6 May 2024 13 May 2024 , 20 May 2024 and 27 May 2024 respectively. We therefore note that there is a formal agreement to the following:

Security and Surety by way of: Lien HOD—TIMOTHY MEYER DIRECTOR OFFICER {PSC} TMA GROUP HOLDINGS LIMITED—HOD124

Affidavit of Truth and Statement of Fact

1. I, Baroness Elaine of the House of Dawson (being the undersigned), do solemnly swear, declare, and depose:
2. That I am competent to state the matters herein and that I do take oath and swear that the matters herein are accurate, correct, honest, and true as contained within this Affidavit of Truth and Statement of Fact.
3. That I am herein stating the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, and that these truths stand as fact until another can provide the material, physical, and tangible evidence and substance to the contrary.
4. That I fully and completely comprehend that before any charges can be brought, it must be first proved, by presenting the material, physical, and tangible evidence and substance to support the facts, that the charges are valid and have substance that can be shown to have a foundation in fact.
5. That I have first-hand knowledge of the facts stated herein.
6. That all the facts stated herein are accurate, correct, honest, and true, and are admissible as material evidence, and that if I am called upon as a witness, that I will testify to their veracity.
7. That the eternal, unchanged principals of truth are as follows:
 - a) All are equal and are free by natural descent.
 - b) Truth is factual and not subjective to belief, which is nothing of any material, physical, or tangible substance in fact.
 - c) An un-rebutted Affidavit stands as the truth and fact.
 - d) An un-rebutted Affidavit is the documented fact and truth on and for the record.
 - e) All matters must be expressed to be resolved.
 - f) He who does not rebut the Affidavit agrees to it by default.
 - g) He who does anything by another's hand is culpable for the actions of the other's hand.
 - h) A security by way of a lien is, first and foremost, an agreement between the parties, as there is no disagreement between the parties.





security by way of a lien, stands in honour, as that coercion, duress, or protest, and without the threat of for the harm, loss, or injury by their own hand.

- i) That he who stands as surety, by providing the surety is undertaken by agreement, without harm, loss, or injury, and, as such, stands in honour
8. That a security by way of a lien, which is a commercial process (including this Affidavit), is non-judicial and pre judicial, and:
 - a. That no judge, court, government, or any agencies thereof, or any third parties whatsoever, can abrogate the Affidavit of Truth and Statement of Fact of another, and;
 - b. That only a party affected by an Affidavit can speak and act for himself and is solely responsible for responding with his own Affidavit of Truth and Statement of Fact, which no one else can do for him, where there is material, physical, and tangible evidence and substance in fact, which definitively is a firm foundation to rebut the rebutted affidavit.
9. That these facts, which form the main body of this Affidavit of Truth and Statement of Fact, are as follows, and that the material, physical, and tangible evidence and substance to support these facts is provided as exhibits and material, physical, and tangible evidence and substance as a foundation of these facts.
10. It is now on and for the record and in perpetuity as of the 3 June 2024 that this is a formal agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR TIMOTHY MEYER {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Director Officer {PSC} for TMA GROUP HOLDINGS Limited Corporation/State whereby MR TIMOTHY MEYER {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Director Officer {PSC} for TMA GROUP HOLDINGS Limited Corporation/State has agreed to stand as a surety for a security by the way of a lien for restoration for the criminal offences of fraud and malfeasance in the office of TMA GROUP HOLDINGS Limited Corporation/State.
11. It is now on and for the record and in perpetuity that MR TIMOTHY MEYER IN THE POSITION OF Director Officer {PSC} for TMA GROUP HOLDINGS Limited Corporation/State has never, at any time provided valid, presentable material evidence to support the claim of authority under UK Public General Acts, that is you claim our consent—for which the mandatory requirement for HM Government Corporation/State before any Acts and statutes can be legally acted upon being the getting of the wet-ink consents of the 64.1 million 'governed'—and that you had these consents as presentable, material fact before you brought your charges or made your claims.
12. It is now on and for the record and in perpetuity that MR TIMOTHY MEYER IN THE POSITION OF Director Officer {PSC} for TMA GROUP HOLDINGS Limited Corporation/State has never, at any time provided valid, presentable material evidence to support the claim of exemption under UK Public General Acts—from the UK 1882 Bills of Exchange Act Section 23—Signature essential to liability and that you had these exemptions as presentable, material fact before you extorted our property, brought your charges or made your claims.
13. It is now on and for the record and in perpetuity that MR TIMOTHY MEYER IN THE POSITION OF Director Officer {PSC} for TMA GROUP HOLDINGS Limited Corporation/State has never, at any time provided valid, presentable material evidence to support the claim of exemption under UK Public General Acts—from the UK 2006 Companies Act, including section 44, the Execution of documents.
14. It is now on and for the record and in perpetuity that MR TIMOTHY MEYER IN THE POSITION OF Director Officer {PSC} for TMA GROUP HOLDINGS Limited Corporation/State has never, at any time provided valid, presentable material evidence to support the claim of exemption under UK Public General Acts—from the UK 2000 Terrorism Act, including section 1-action taken for the benefit of a proscribed organisation.
15. It is now on and for the record and in perpetuity that MR TIMOTHY MEYER IN THE POSITION OF Director Officer {PSC} for TMA GROUP HOLDINGS Limited Corporation/State has never, at any time provided valid, presentable material evidence to support the claim of exemption under UK Public General Acts—from the UK 2006 Fraud Act, including section 4-Abuse of position.
16. It is now on and for the record and in perpetuity that MR TIMOTHY MEYER IN THE POSITION OF Director Officer {PSC} for TMA GROUP HOLDINGS Limited Corporation/State has never, at any time provided valid, presentable material evidence to support the claim that the judiciary, and/or any corporation/states/entity have exemption including from the getting of the wet-ink consent of the 64.1 million 'governed' before any of their private charter ; OR the superior branches of Executive or Legislature Acts or Statutes can be acted upon ; .
17. It is now on and for the record and in perpetuity that MR TIMOTHY MEYER IN THE POSITION OF Director Officer {PSC} for TMA GROUP HOLDINGS Limited Corporation/State has never, at any time provided valid, presentable material evidence to support the claim of exemption under UK Public General Acts—from the UK 2006 Fraud Act, including section 2-Failing to disclose information..
18. It is now on and for the record and in perpetuity that MR TIMOTHY MEYER IN THE POSITION OF Director Officer {PSC} for TMA GROUP HOLDINGS Limited Corporation/State has never, at any time provided valid, presentable material evidence to support the claim of all and any claims upon our property including but not limited to, on behalf of your energy supplier, our meter , Why submit your meter readings, .
19. It is now on and for the record and in perpetuity that MR TIMOTHY MEYER IN THE POSITION OF Director Officer {PSC} for TMA GROUP HOLDINGS Limited Corporation/State has never, at any time provided valid, presentable material evidence to support the claim of exemption from 1677 Statutes of Frauds Act by the failure to disclose, or by omission, the concealment of ledgering/contract/collateral contract/obligation/agreement, bills, financial instrument, authority to trade on our data for unjust enrichment .
20. It is now on and for the record and in perpetuity that MR TIMOTHY MEYER IN THE POSITION OF Director Officer {PSC} for TMA GROUP HOLDINGS Limited Corporation/State has never, at any time provided valid, presentable material evidence to support the claim that the statement by Sir Jack Beatson FBA, at that time the head of the judiciary, was false in his address to Nottingham University, the private corporations/states of the Executive and legislature are superior to the judiciary by way of re-examination of the relationship.





21. It is now on and for the record and in perpetuity Director Officer {PSC} for TMA GROUP any time provided valid, presentable material made by Chandran Kukathas in positing that HM Government plc is an entity, a Corporation/State.
22. It is now on and for the record and in perpetuity that MR TIMOTHY MEYER IN THE POSITION OF Director Officer {PSC} for TMA GROUP HOLDINGS Limited Corporation/State has never, at any time provided valid, presentable material evidence to support the claim there is authority for MR TIMOTHY MEYER IN THE POSITION OF Director Officer {PSC} for TMA GROUP HOLDINGS Limited Corporation/State to wilfully and premeditatedly Act to cause alarm and distress which is a formally recognised act of terrorism, which is also a recognised criminal offence, upon MISS ELAINE DAWSON without the presentment of the wet ink signed consent of the 64.1 upon this land and including the wet ink signature of MISS ELAINE DAWSON and that you had these consents as presentable, material fact before you brought your charges or made your claims.
23. It is now on and for the record and in perpetuity that MR TIMOTHY MEYER IN THE POSITION OF Director Officer {PSC} for TMA GROUP HOLDINGS Limited Corporation/State has chosen to enter into a lasting and binding tacit agreement through acquiescence by not negating the facts presented in Exhibit (A), and MR TIMOTHY MEYER IN THE POSITION OF Director Officer {PSC} for TMA GROUP HOLDINGS Limited Corporation/State has agreed to the criminal offences documented on and for the record in this correspondence, thus establishing a formal agreement between the parties MR TIMOTHY MEYER IN THE POSITION OF Director Officer {PSC} for TMA GROUP HOLDINGS Limited Corporation/State and MISS ELAINE DAWSON on and for the public record. Since there is no disagreement between the parties, this is a non-judicial matter by default.
24. It is now on and for the record and in perpetuity that all matters must be expressed to be resolved and MR TIMOTHY MEYER IN THE POSITION OF Director Officer {PSC} for TMA GROUP HOLDINGS Limited Corporation/State was offered an opportunity to resolve (see Exhibit (B) as material, physical, and tangible evidence and substance and a foundation to this fact). Since it is MISS ELAINE DAWSON who is the victim of these agreed criminal offences of MR TIMOTHY MEYER IN THE POSITION OF Director Officer {PSC} for TMA GROUP HOLDINGS Limited Corporation/State, then MISS ELAINE DAWSON has the right to redress and choose the remedy for these agreed criminal offences.
25. It can be noted here, for and on the record, that the remedy for the criminal offence of fraud is seven to ten years' incarceration, the latter where there are multiple instances of fraud. MISS ELAINE DAWSON is under no legal or statutory obligation to observe and act upon the State policy regarding this matter and would consider that this extensive term of incarceration would be an insurmountable encumbrance on the public purse. For these reasons, it is decided by MISS ELAINE DAWSON to offer alternative remedy by way of a charge.
26. A second option was also proposed, which is by standing as a surety and, therefore, providing a security by way of a lien, allowing MR TIMOTHY MEYER IN THE POSITION OF Director Officer {PSC} for TMA GROUP HOLDINGS Limited Corporation/State to regain honour without any cause for distress to MR TIMOTHY MEYER IN THE POSITION OF Director Officer {PSC} for TMA GROUP HOLDINGS Limited Corporation/State. (see Exhibit (B)).
27. It is important to note here on and for the record that MR TIMOTHY MEYER IN THE POSITION OF Director Officer {PSC} for TMA GROUP HOLDINGS Limited Corporation/State has chosen by their actions not to resolve their debt by way of personal cheque or a commercial instrument. It is also important to state here on and for the record that MR TIMOTHY MEYER IN THE POSITION OF Director Officer {PSC} for TMA GROUP HOLDINGS Limited Corporation/State has not communicated by any means reluctance or objection to stand as surety and provide security by way of a lien on the estate and future earnings of MR TIMOTHY MEYER IN THE POSITION OF Director Officer {PSC} for TMA GROUP HOLDINGS Limited Corporation/State extended to the future generations of MR TIMOTHY MEYER IN THE POSITION OF Director Officer {PSC} for TMA GROUP HOLDINGS Limited Corporation/State where the sins of the father are the sins of the sons to the seventh generation, and where there may be an attachment of earnings on future generations of MR TIMOTHY MEYER {CLAIMANT}.
28. MR TIMOTHY MEYER IN THE POSITION OF Director Officer {PSC} for TMA GROUP HOLDINGS Limited Corporation/State has not disagreed by any means of communication or correspondence to stand as surety for a security by way of a lien for their criminal offences, which have been fully documented and declared by way of this affidavit. As a consequence of not disagreeing with this proposed remedy, MR TIMOTHY MEYER IN THE POSITION OF Director Officer {PSC} for TMA GROUP HOLDINGS Limited Corporation/State has formally agreed to this remedy to stand as surety, and agrees to be a security by way of a lien, and once again stands in honour by their actions by accepting the proposed remedy in full knowledge and understanding, without coercion or deception, and without the threat of harm, loss, or injury.

To this effect, the following is now true and on and for the record that MR TIMOTHY MEYER IN THE POSITION OF Director Officer {PSC} for TMA GROUP HOLDINGS Limited Corporation/State has agreed to stand as surety and security by way of a lien to MISS ELAINE DAWSON as follows:

Surety and security by way of a lien

1. For the formally agreed criminal offence of fraud by misrepresentation where the claim being made by MR TIMOTHY MEYER {CLAIMANT} of authority under UK Public General Acts, that is you claim our consent—for which the mandatory requirement for HM Government Corporation/State before any Acts and





statutes can be legally acted upon being the million 'governed' —and that you had these fore you brought your charges or made your also wilful and premeditated fraud by misrepresentation. Where this is an agreed chargeable criminal offence we will elect to formally charge MR TIMOTHY MEYER IN THE POSITION OF Director Officer {PSC} for TMA GROUP HOLDINGS Limited Corporation/State Five Million Pounds GBP

getting of the wet-ink consents of the 64.1 consents as presentable, material fact be-claims is fraudulent in nature which is

£5,000,000.00

2. For the formally agreed criminal offence of fraud by misrepresentation where the claim being made by MR TIMOTHY MEYER {CLAIMANT} that of exemption under UK Public General Acts—from the UK 1882 Bills of Exchange Act Section 23—Signature essential to liability and that you had these exemptions as presentable, material fact before you extorted our property, brought your charges or made your claims is fraudulent in nature which is also wilful and premeditated fraud by misrepresentation. Where this is an agreed chargeable criminal offence we will elect to formally charge MR TIMOTHY MEYER IN THE POSITION OF Director Officer {PSC} for TMA GROUP HOLDINGS Limited Corporation/State Five Million Pounds GBP

£5,000,000.00

3. For the formally agreed criminal offence of fraud by misrepresentation where the claim being made by MR TIMOTHY MEYER {CLAIMANT} that of exemption under UK Public General Acts—from the UK 2006 Companies Act, including section 44, the Execution of documents is fraudulent in nature which is also wilful and premeditated fraud by misrepresentation. Where this is an agreed chargeable criminal offence we will elect to formally charge MR TIMOTHY MEYER IN THE POSITION OF Director Officer {PSC} for TMA GROUP HOLDINGS Limited Corporation/State Five Million Pounds GBP

£5,000,000.00

4. For the formally agreed criminal offence of fraud by misrepresentation where the claim being made by MR TIMOTHY MEYER {CLAIMANT} that of exemption under UK Public General Acts—from the UK 2000 Terrorism Act, including section 1-action taken for the benefit of a proscribed organisation is fraudulent in nature which is also wilful and premeditated fraud by misrepresentation. Where this is an agreed chargeable criminal offence we will elect to formally charge MR TIMOTHY MEYER IN THE POSITION OF Director Officer {PSC} for TMA GROUP HOLDINGS Limited Corporation/State Five Million Pounds GBP

£5,000,000.00

5. For the formally agreed criminal offence of fraud by misrepresentation where the claim being made by MR TIMOTHY MEYER {CLAIMANT} that of exemption under UK Public General Acts—from the UK 2006 Fraud Act, including section 4-Abuse of position is fraudulent in nature which is also wilful and premeditated fraud by misrepresentation. Where this is an agreed chargeable criminal offence we will elect to formally charge MR TIMOTHY MEYER IN THE POSITION OF Director Officer {PSC} for TMA GROUP HOLDINGS Limited Corporation/State Five Million Pounds GBP

£5,000,000.00

6. For the formally agreed criminal offence of fraud by misrepresentation where the claim being made by MR TIMOTHY MEYER {CLAIMANT} that the judiciary, and/or any corporation/states/entity have exemption including from the getting of the wet-ink consent of the 64.1 million 'governed' before any of their private charter ; OR the superior branches of Executive or Legislature Acts or Statutes can be acted upon ; is fraudulent in nature which is also wilful and premeditated fraud by misrepresentation. Where this is an agreed chargeable criminal offence we will elect to formally charge MR TIMOTHY MEYER IN THE POSITION OF Director Officer {PSC} for TMA GROUP HOLDINGS Limited Corporation/State Five Million Pounds GBP

£5,000,000.00

7. For the formally agreed criminal offence of fraud by misrepresentation where the claim being made by MR TIMOTHY MEYER {CLAIMANT} that of exemption under UK Public General Acts—from the UK 2006 Fraud Act, including section 2-Failing to disclose information is fraudulent in nature which is also wilful and premeditated fraud by misrepresentation. Where this is an agreed chargeable criminal offence we will elect to formally charge MR TIMOTHY MEYER IN THE POSITION OF Director Officer {PSC} for TMA GROUP HOLDINGS Limited Corporation/State Five Million Pounds GBP

£5,000,000.00

8. For the formally agreed criminal offence of fraud by misrepresentation where the claim being made by MR TIMOTHY MEYER {CLAIMANT} that of all and any claims upon our property including but not limited to, on behalf of your energy supplier, our meter , Why submit your meter readings, is fraudulent in nature which is also wilful and premeditated fraud by misrepresentation. Where this is an agreed chargeable criminal offence we will elect to formally charge MR TIMOTHY MEYER IN THE POSITION OF Director Officer {PSC} for TMA GROUP HOLDINGS Limited Corporation/State Five Million Pounds GBP

£5,000,000.00

9. For the formally agreed criminal offence of fraud by misrepresentation where the claim being made by MR TIMOTHY MEYER {CLAIMANT} of exemption from 1677 Statutes of Frauds Act by the failure to disclose, or by omission, the concealment of ledgering/contract/collateral contract/obligation/agreement, bills, financial instrument, authority to trade on our data for unjust enrichment is fraudulent in nature which is also wilful and premeditated fraud by misrepresentation. Where this is an agreed chargeable criminal of-





fence we will elect to formally charge MR
OF Director Officer {PSC} for TMA
tion/State Five Million Pounds GBP

TIMOTHY MEYER IN THE POSITION
GROUP HOLDINGS Limited Corpora-

£5,000,000.00

10. For the formally agreed criminal offence of fraud by misrepresentation where the claim being made by MR TIMOTHY MEYER {CLAIMANT} that the claim that the statement by Sir Jack Beatson FBA, at that time the head of the judiciary, was false in his address to Nottingham University, the private corporations/states of the Executive and legislature are superior to the judiciary by way of re-examination of the relationship is fraudulent in nature which is also wilful and premeditated fraud by misrepresentation. Where this is an agreed chargeable criminal offence we will elect to formally charge MR TIMOTHY MEYER IN THE POSITION OF Director Officer {PSC} for TMA GROUP HOLDINGS Limited Corporation/State Five Million Pounds GBP

£5,000,000.00

11. For the formally agreed criminal offence of fraud by misrepresentation where the claim being made by MR TIMOTHY MEYER {CLAIMANT} that contra the statement made by Chandran Kukathas in positing that HM Government plc is an entity, a Corporation/State is fraudulent in nature which is also wilful and premeditated fraud by misrepresentation. Where this is an agreed chargeable criminal offence we will elect to formally charge MR TIMOTHY MEYER IN THE POSITION OF Director Officer {PSC} for TMA GROUP HOLDINGS Limited Corporation/State Five Million Pounds GBP

£5,000,000.

12. For the formally agreed above counts of wilful and premeditated Acts of causing alarm and distress which is a formally recognised act of terrorism which is also a recognised criminal offence. Where this is an agreed chargeable criminal offence we will elect to formally charge MR TIMOTHY MEYER IN THE POSITION OF Director Officer {PSC} for TMA GROUP HOLDINGS Limited Corporation/State A Hundred and Ten Million Pounds GBP

£110,000,000.00

13. For the formally agreed above counts of criminal offence of Malfeasance in the office of TMA GROUP HOLDINGS Limited Corporation/State, where MR TIMOTHY MEYER IN THE POSITION OF Director Officer {PSC} for TMA GROUP HOLDINGS Limited Corporation/State has agreed to this criminal offence of malfeasance in the office. Where this is an agreed chargeable criminal offence we will elect to formally charge MR TIMOTHY MEYER IN THE POSITION OF Director Officer {PSC} for TMA GROUP HOLDINGS Limited Corporation/State Sixty Million Pounds GBP

£60,000,000.00

Total agreed debt as resolution for the above listed criminal offences equals Two Hundred and Twenty Five million pounds GBP

£225,000,000.00

29. In accordance with the traditions of this land and as this is a lien then this will be published in all the necessary places.
30. Ignorance is no defence for committing criminal acts. Considering the position of MR TIMOTHY MEYER IN THE POSITION OF Director Officer {PSC} for TMA GROUP HOLDINGS Limited Corporation/State, MR TIMOTHY MEYER IN THE POSITION OF Director Officer {PSC} for TMA GROUP HOLDINGS Limited Corporation/State should have shown more diligence and accountability in the office. It is our considered opinion, due to the severity of the most grievous agreed criminal offences, that MR TIMOTHY MEYER IN THE POSITION OF Director Officer {PSC} for TMA GROUP HOLDINGS Limited Corporation/State is no longer a fit and proper person to hold any trusted position in service in the office.
31. It can also be considered that since these most grievous agreed criminal offences have been committed in the office of TMA GROUP HOLDINGS Limited Corporation/State which is detrimental to the function and the interests of TMA GROUP HOLDINGS Limited Corporation/State and that MR TIMOTHY MEYER {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Director Officer {PSC} for TMA GROUP HOLDINGS Limited Corporation/State has acted in an ultra vires capacity in the position as Director Officer {PSC} for TMA GROUP HOLDINGS Limited Corporation/State and without the legal authority to do so, thus it can be concluded that MR TIMOTHY MEYER IN THE POSITION OF Director Officer {PSC} for TMA GROUP HOLDINGS Limited Corporation/State could be held culpable for their actions as not in the best interests of TMA GROUP HOLDINGS Limited Corporation/State
32. Let it be known on and for the record that MR TIMOTHY MEYER IN THE POSITION OF Director Officer {PSC} for TMA GROUP HOLDINGS Limited Corporation/State has chosen, of their own free will, to stand as surety for a security by the way of a lien to the amount of Two Hundred and Twenty Five million pounds GBP (225,000,000.00 GBP). From Exhibit (C) of this Affidavit, in the House of Ward Affidavit of Truth and Statement of Fact, which is on and for the record, it is noted that the legal tender or fiscal currency, which ever term is used, is representative of confidence, faith, and belief, so this surety for a security by way of a lien is equal to Two Hundred and Twenty Five million pounds GBP (225,000,000.00 GBP) of confidence, faith, and belief.
33. Let it be known on and for the record that confidence, faith, and belief are nothing of any material, physical, or tangible substance or evidence in fact.
34. Let it be known on and for the record that since MR TIMOTHY MEYER IN THE POSITION OF Director Officer {PSC} for TMA GROUP HOLDINGS Limited Corporation/State has agreed to this remedy of their own





free will, in full knowledge and understanding,
harm, loss, or injury, that MR TIMOTHY
{PSC} for TMA GROUP HOLDINGS Limited
restored by their own hand in the community regarding this matter.

without coercion or deception, and without threat of
MEYER IN THE POSITION OF Director Officer
Corporation/State stands in honour, and their dignity is

Silence creates a binding agreement.

So let it be said.

So let it be written.

So let it be done.

Without ill will or vexation

For and on behalf of the Principal legal embodiment by the title of MISS ELAINE DAWSON.

For and on behalf of the Attorney General of the House of Dawson.

For and on behalf of Baroness Elaine of the House of Dawson

All rights reserved.





Exhibit (A)

Material evidence of claim by MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN
THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited
Corporation/State.

and

Also Respondents correspondence By MISS ELAINE DAWSON



Date: 4th January 2023

Elaine Dawson
25 Woodstock Avenue
Nottingham
NG7 5QP

Account Number: A-B781B67B
Your Reference: S 1 801 1 1100005765500

Dear Elaine,

Thank you for making a Subject Access Request to Octopus Energy, and thanks for your patience whilst we've collected the data. Please see below for our responses to your questions. You can read this together with our Privacy Policy which outlines how we collect, use, share and store personal data, at <https://octopus.energy/policies/privacy-policy/>

1. Provide a copy of my personal data you hold

Please refer to the separate attachments included in this data pack for a copy of your data.

In addition to the data we've provided directly, you can visit your online [Octopus Energy Account](https://octopus.energy/login/?next=/dashboard/new/accounts/) at <https://octopus.energy/login/?next=/dashboard/new/accounts/> to view your payment and statement history, and automated emails we've sent you. To view conversations between yourself and our Energy Specialists, please conduct a manual search of your personal online mailbox.

2. The purposes of your processing: The categories of personal data concerned:

We only collect and process data about you where we have a reason for doing so and only where that reason is permitted under data protection law. This section sets out how we collect and use your data.

It's important that you keep your personal information with us up to date, so please let us know if anything changes.

When you purchase products or services from our website or our apps

When you purchase products or services from us, we will use your personal information to complete your purchase. The details we collect from you may include your name, address, date of birth, email address, phone number, MPAN/

MPRN meter details and payment details such as credit or debit card information or direct debit details.

If applicable, we will also collect vulnerability data when you sign up, such as your age, any disabilities or health conditions or any financial circumstances of you or a member of your household. We record this information on our priority services register, which helps us provide extra support to you (please refer to octopus.energy/policies/extra-support for more information).

We need to process your personal information in this way to enter and perform the contract for the product or service you have asked us to supply you with. This may include sending you information about your account, such as statements, payment and meter reading reminders and occasional updates about important changes, such as updates to your tariff.

When you phone, email or contact us on social media

When you phone or email us with general queries, we may process your personal information (your name, address, contact details and other personal information you've given us) in order to provide the customer services you have asked us to, for example providing more information about our pricing.

We rely on your consent to handle your personal information in this way. If you do not provide us with the data we request from you for customer services purposes, we may not be able to fully answer your queries.

We log and record the interactions you have with us, such as phone calls, email opens and click throughs to help us better service your requests.

Marketing communications

This section applies if you have opted in to receive marketing communications from us or have previously expressed an interest in our products and services and have not opted out. We will process your personal information (your name, address, contact details and other personal information you've given us) to provide you with marketing communications in line with any preferences you have told us about.

Where you have opted to receive marketing communications, we rely on your consent to contact you for marketing purposes. If you have not opted in and we send you marketing emails, we do this because of our legitimate interest to promote the success of the products and services you have expressed an interest in.

Every email we send to you for marketing purposes will also contain instructions on how to unsubscribe from receiving them, or you can contact us anytime at hello@octopus.energy.

We may also use the basis of legitimate interest to share information about our products and services via post, phone, targeted or generic adverts on our website or apps, or services from other Octopus Energy Group companies.

We never share your information with any third parties for their direct marketing purposes.

Third party platforms

We may sometimes provide personalised advertising via third party platforms. For example, we'll hash your data (so no one can view it) and reference it against Facebook's platform. If you have a profile with Facebook, we'll ask them to exclude you from irrelevant adverts, such as "join Octopus Energy" adverts, and send you more relevant adverts instead. All of this is done without Facebook revealing your identity to us and vice-versa. We do this on the basis of legitimate interest to ensure that you receive more tailored and relevant information about our products and services.

If you are part of an industry scheme or apply for any government grants or funding

If you apply for or enquire about the Warm Home Discount scheme, the Energy Company Obligation scheme, or if any other funding applies to you, such as the Energy Bills Support Scheme, Green Home Grant (GHG) and Renewable Heat Incentive (RHI), then we will collect information relevant to the provision of these schemes, including your name, address, contact details and any eligible benefits you receive. We do this in order to fulfil our obligations in providing these schemes.

If you have a Green Deal Plan, we will collect information about you to manage this for you, for example your name, contact details, Green Deal Plan ID, daily charges and other information about the energy supply at your home. We can also get this information from your Green Deal Provider or others involved in your Green Deal Plan. We may share the information we hold on you with others involved in your Green Deal Plan, including the Secretary of State, in order to properly administer your plan.

To make our website or apps better and more secure

We will use your personal information to provide you with a more effective user experience, such as by displaying services we think you will be interested in.

Using your information in this way means that your experience of our website and apps will be more tailored to you, and the content you see on our website and apps may differ from someone else.

We also share your aggregated, anonymous data with third party analytics and search engine providers that assist us in the improvement and optimisation of our website and apps.

We will use your personal information for the purposes of administering our website and apps and making them more secure, including troubleshooting, data analysis, testing, research, statistical and survey purposes. We process your data for this reason because we have a legitimate interest to provide you with the best experience we can, and to ensure that our website and apps are kept secure.

You can ask us to stop using your personal information in this way by using the "do not track" functionality in your internet browser. If you enable "do not track" functionality, our website may be less tailored to your needs and preferences.

Technical information and analytics

When you visit our website, we will automatically collect the following information:

technical information, including the IP address used to connect your computer to the internet, your login information, browser type and version, time zone setting, browser plug-in types and versions, screen resolution, operating system and platform; and information about your visit, including the full Uniform Resource Locators, clickstream to, through and from our website (including date and time), page response times, download errors, length of visits to certain pages, page interaction information (such as scrolling, clicks, and mouse-overs) and methods used to browse away from the page.

When you use our apps, we will automatically collect the following information: technical information, including the number of users on the app, session duration, the operating system used, device model information, first launch, app opens and updates, the occurrence of software bugs, and any in-app purchases.

We may also collect information on your location on our websites and apps, such as your location data when accessing the website or apps in line with the location settings on your phone or internet browser. This can be disabled or amended via the relevant IOS/Android platforms or in your internet browser settings.

We work closely with various third parties, including advertising networks, analytics providers, hosting providers and search information providers from

whom we may also receive general aggregated anonymous information about you.

Smart meters

If you have a smart meter, it will send information to us, and we will collect consumption information directly from the smart meter, in line with the consents we receive from you about this. We need to collect information from your smart meter so we can generate accurate bills for your energy usage.

If you are on one of our smart tariffs, we may need to request half hourly data for the period you were on that smart tariff for the purpose of producing your final bill and for us to be able to deliver this product to you. If we are no longer your energy supplier at the point we need this data, we may make this request using an "other user" licence (as defined by Smart Energy Code Administrator and Secretariat).

We may also analyse information we collect from smart meters in order to develop new products and services and to tailor these to your needs. We do this because of our legitimate interest to develop new products and services for the energy market.

If you generate energy

If you generate energy and export this under one of our export tariffs, or through the Feed-in Tariff (FiT) scheme, we collect information about this generation so we can accurately calculate the payments that are owed to you. If you are eligible for the FiT scheme, we will also ask for information to confirm both your identity and your FiT installation, so that we can comply with our obligations under the FiT scheme.

Electric vehicles and charge points

If you sign up to a scheme with us for access to electric vehicle charge points, we will collect information about your consumption (including location of the charge point) as well as your name, address and vehicle information (such as vehicle make/model and licence plate number) in order to accurately charge you. We will receive your consumption data from the charge point operator, which we will process in order to calculate a charge to add to your account.

In some cases (for example if you are on our Intelligent Octopus tariff), we may receive data about your electric vehicle's location, which we will use to provide our services.

Product and Service Development

We are always looking for ways to make energy better, for both our customers

and for the planet. We sometimes process your data where we have a legitimate interest for doing so, for example:

- to better understand our customer demographic and the content of customer communications and requests to create more relevant campaigns, products and services.
- to make predictions about future behaviour based on current behaviour, to help develop and tailor our products and services.
- to build a profile personally for you, so we can do things like show you products and services that we think will be of particular interest and relevance to you.

For data analysis, testing, research, statistical and survey purposes.

Where we do process your personal data, we rely on legitimate interests to process your data in this way, we always carry out a “balancing” test in line with the ICO’s guidance to ensure that our processing is necessary and is not outweighed by your rights to privacy.

3. The recipients or categories of recipient you disclose my personal data to:

We’ve included below potential third parties that may be relevant both in the past, now or the future depending on your personal account and any changes.

- ***Our group companies***, where necessary for the provision of our services. The Octopus Energy Group includes all subsidiaries of Octopus Energy Group Limited, including the companies listed at the top of this policy.
- ***Our engineers and other subcontractors*** we use to help us with installations and to attend emergencies.
- ***Our meter operators***, where we may need to share information such as your meter point details and technical details regarding your meter. If there was ever a situation where your meter needed to be exchanged, we would pass your contact details to our meter operator, with your permission.
- ***Anyone who is named and authorised on your energy account.***
- ***Our affiliates and partners***, including our whitelabel partners and price comparison websites, to the extent necessary to enable us to deliver our services to you.
- ***Payment providers***, to help us process your payments to us.
- ***Your new supplier if you move away from us*** so they can continue to supply you with energy.
- ***Credit reference agencies and fraud prevention agencies***, both when you first sign up and routinely whilst you have an account with us to help us assess your ability to pay for your energy bills, and any fraud, credit or security risks. Please see our domestic customer terms and conditions,

octopus.energy/policies/terms-and-conditions for more information on this. There is also more information contained in TransUnion's Credit Reference Agency Information Notice, which can be found here transunion.co.uk/crain.

- **Debt collectors** where we have a legitimate interest to recover sums owed to us for our services.
- **Our telesales and field sales partners**, but only where necessary to perform our services.
- **Electric vehicle charge point operators** and associated parties necessary to communicate your usage data where you use our charging network services.
- **Aggregated smart meter data with our partners and regulatory and industry bodies** to assist them with research and studies.
- **Network operators**, to enable the safe and reliable delivery of power at all times and where necessary to provide services such as grid balancing and flexibility options.
- **If our business is sold**
- We will transfer your personal information to a third party as follows:
 - if we sell or buy any business or assets, we will provide your personal information to the seller or buyer (but only to the extent we need to, and always in accordance with data protection legislation); and
 - if one of the Octopus Energy Group companies, or the majority of its assets, are acquired by somebody else, in which case the personal information held by such company will be transferred to the buyer.
 - We process your personal information in this way because we have a legitimate interest to ensure our business can be continued by the buyer.
- **Where we have a legal obligation:** In some circumstances we may need to share your personal information if we are under a duty to disclose or share it to comply with a legal obligation, or to allow us to comply with our supply licence conditions and other Ofgem obligations. For example, to investigate something like theft or fraud.
- **In difficult circumstances:** We speak to thousands of customers a day, and some of those people will be in difficult circumstances. Occasionally people will share information which indicates that they, or a member of their household, are in imminent danger or at serious risk, and in line with guidance from the Information Commissioner, in such circumstances we may refer the situation to relevant authorities or sources of assistance. In such cases we will consider first and foremost the interests of the person at risk.

4. Your retention period for storing my personal data or, where this is not

possible, your criteria for determining how long you will store it:

- We will only retain your personal information for as long as necessary to fulfil the purposes we collected it for, including for the purposes of satisfying any legal, regulatory, accounting or administrative requirements.
- To determine the appropriate retention period for the personal information we hold, we consider the amount, nature and sensitivity of the personal information, the risk of harm from unauthorised use or disclosure of your personal information, the reasons why we handle your personal information, the applicable legal requirements and whether we can achieve those purposes through other means.

5. Confirmation of the existence of my right to request rectification, erasure or restriction or to object to such processing:

Right to rectification

You have the right to require us to rectify any inaccurate personal information we hold about you. You also have the right to ask us to complete personal information which you think is incomplete.

Right to restriction

You can restrict our processing of your personal information where:

- you think we hold inaccurate personal information about you;
- our handling of your personal information breaks the law, but you do not want us to delete it;
- we no longer need to process your personal information, but you want us to keep it for legal reasons;
- or where we are handling your personal information because we have a legitimate interest (as described in the "How We Use Your Data" section above) and are in the process of objecting to this use of your personal information.
- Where you exercise your right to restrict us from using your personal information, we will then only process your personal information when you agree, except for storage purposes and to handle any legal claims.

Right to object

You have the right to object to us handling your personal information where we are handling your personal information based on our legitimate interests. If you ask us to stop handling your personal information in this way, we will stop unless we can show you that we have compelling grounds as to why we should continue to use your personal information.

You can also ask us to stop handling your personal information for marketing purposes at any time.

Right of access

You have the right to access your personal information which we are handling, and you are entitled to receive confirmation and details about whether your personal information is being processed by us.

Right to erasure

You have the right to require us to erase your personal information in the following circumstances:

- where we no longer need to use your personal information for the reasons we told you we collected it for;
- where we needed your consent to use your personal information, you have withdrawn your consent and there is no other lawful way we can continue to use your personal information;
- where you object to our use of your personal information and we have no compelling reason to carry on handling it;
- if our handling of your personal information has broken the law;
- and where we must erase your personal information to comply with a law we are subject to.

6. Confirmation of my right to lodge a complaint with the ICO or another supervisory authority:

You have the right to lodge a complaint with the Information Commissioner's Office, the supervisory authority for data protection issues in the United Kingdom.

Under the ICO's guidelines, this should be done within 3 months of the date of this letter at ico.org.uk/make-a-complaint/

7. Information about the source of the data, where it was not obtained directly from me:

As well as the information you provide us with directly, we also receive information from some third parties.

We work with various affiliates and partners, such as price comparison websites, telesales agencies and door-to-door sales agencies, and if you sign up to our services through one of our affiliates or partners, they may send us your personal information.

We also have access to the national energy databases, and we may receive information about your property, meter details and previous suppliers from these databases.

We may receive a notification from your landlord or letting agent to let us know that you have moved into a property that we supply. They may provide us with your name and email address, as well as the date that you occupied the property from, and any opening meter readings that were taken.

If you come to us through our friends and family referral scheme, then we will receive your initial details through this link, and we will also tell the person whose link you used that you have done so.

We may also receive personal information from your old supplier when you move to us, if they hold information that we need to in order for us to provide our services to you.

If you use our electric vehicle charge point services, we may receive your location data in line with the location settings on your phone when you use our mobile app. This can be disabled or amended via the relevant IOS/Android platforms. Charge point operators will share the RFID card hex code or digital token of customers using our electric vehicle charge point services with us. We will use this information to locate your account to process your bills.

8. The existence of any automated decision-making (including profiling); and the safeguards you provide if you transfer my personal data to a third country or international organisation.

We don't use automated decision making or profiling at Octopus Energy Limited relating to your personal data.

9. Please provide the mapping management process involved in the data usage:

We're not required to respond to this.

10. Include the regulatory compliance process used to ensure sufficient governance is in place:

We follow legal requirements stipulated in the UK Data Protection Act and UK GDPR and guidance from the Information Commissioner's Office regarding

compliance and data protection best practice. OFGEM and Supplier Licence Conditions are also just some of the other regulations we follow to ensure we're a compliant energy company.

11. Include the same for any third parties you provide access to my data:

Where we share your information with any third parties, we always do so in line with this privacy policy and in compliance with data protection laws. We also ensure that these third parties only use your data in line with our instructions.

12. Include what your legal reason for holding such data, and any data you do not have a legal reason to hold, please delete and provide necessary regulatory requirements to evidence the deletion of said data.

We can't list all of our legal reasons for all of the data we collect in this one answer, but in the answers above you'll see reference to the lawful basis we rely on.

We rely on consent to collect some data (e.g. priority services vulnerabilities data); to allow us to fulfil our contractual obligations in providing energy to you (e.g. usage); and legitimate interest (e.g. for product and service development).

13. Delete and provide necessary regulatory requirements to evidence deletion of said data

The right to erasure only applies when your personal data is no longer needed for the original reason it has been collected and used for. As you are still on supply with Octopus Energy, we have no obligation to delete your data from our system, at this time.

More information and making a complaint

If you would like to speak to us to get more information, please email dpo@octoenergy.com or contact us on 0808 164 1088.

We want to remind you that if you are not happy with our response, you also have the right to report your concerns to the Information Commissioner's Officer (ICO). The ICO is the UK's data protection and privacy regulator. Under the ICO's guidelines, this should be done within 3 months of the date of this letter at <https://ico.org.uk/make-a-complaint/>

Kind regards,

Bethany
Octopus Energy's Data Protection Team



Baroness.oftheHouseof+Dawson_120_OO507@gmail.com
25 WOODSTOCK AVENUE County Palatine of Nottingham [NG7 5QP]
7 December 2023

To: MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} in the position of Chief Executive Officer
for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State Limited Corporation/State
164 to 182 Oxford Street London [W1D 1NN]

tj.root@octoenergy.com , notices@octoenergy.com

Your Ref} S 1 801 1 1100005765500 Fraudulent instrument dated 27.NOV.23 contra Statute of Frauds Act and Forgery Act.

Our Ref} HOD—GREG SEAN JACKSON CEO OCTOPUS ENERGY—HOD120— GDPR - DPA 2018 Subject Access Request

Dear MR GREG SEAN JACKSON,

We present our wish to a 'Subject Access Request' for a hard copy of information that you hold and have held about *us* to which *we are* entitled under the 2018 General Data Protection Regulation.

You can identify *our* records using the following information though procured without our authority or permission:

Full name}MISS ELAINE DAWSON

Address} 25 WOODSTOCK AVENUE County Palatine of Nottingham [NG7 5QP]

Please supply us the data about us that we are entitled to under the data protection law including:

Confirmation that you are/have been processing our personal data;

A copy of our personal data you do hold/have held;

The purposes of your processing;

The categories of personal data concerned;

The recipients or categories of recipient you disclose our personal data to;

Your retention period for storing my personal data or, where this is not possible, your criteria for determining how long you will store it;

Confirmation of the existence of our right to request rectification, erasure or restriction or to object to such processing;

Confirmation of our right to lodge a complaint with the ICO or another supervisory authority;

Information about the source of the data, where it was not obtained directly from us;

The existence of any automated decision-making (including profiling); and

The safeguards you provide if you transfer our personal data to a third country or international organisation.

Please supply complete financial transactions you have with this account and all statements of same and all instruments including contracts entered in to ;
And any collateral contracts or promises; And any and all obligations, agreements including Power of Attorney over Us, our property real, tangible, intangible and our incorporeal property.

Please provide the mapping management process involved in the data usage;

Include the regulatory compliance process used to ensure sufficient governance is in place ;

Include the same for any third parties you provide/ have provided access to our data;

Include what your legal reason for holding such data, and any data you do not/did not have a legal reason to hold,

Please delete and provide necessary regulatory requirements to evidence the deletion of said data.

We look forward to receiving your response within one calendar month, per the General Data Protection Regulation. If you do not normally deal with these requests, please pass this letter to your Data Protection Officer, or relevant staff member.

We await your response. Silence creates a tacit and binding agreement through acquiescence.

No Assured Value. No Liability. No Errors and Omissions Accepted.

Without ill will or vexation

For and on behalf of the Principal legal embodiment by the title of MISS ELAINE DAWSON.

For and on behalf of the Attorney General of the House of Dawson.

For and on behalf of Baroness Baroness Elaine of the House of Dawson.

* Proof of ID commensurate with the data 'property' freely given by Us can be made available upon proof the alleged agreement exists and no material facts have been concealed in its procurement



}DS632575679GB	BILL REF}	DATE in bracket
YOUR ENERGY ACCOUNT	77029719	23.11.21
YOUR ENERGY ACCOUNT	79245537	24.12.21
YOUR ENERGY ACCOUNT	82415666	22.1.22
YOUR ENERGY ACCOUNT	85114353	22.02.22
YOUR ENERGY ACCOUNT	73257400	23.10.21
YOUR ENERGY ACCOUNT	88103595	23.03.22
YOUR ENERGY ACCOUNT	91465888	04.04.22
YOUR ENERGY ACCOUNT	93190033	28.04.22
YOUR ENERGY ACCOUNT	95861099	24.05.22
YOUR ENERGY ACCOUNT	98570538	08.06.22
YOUR ENERGY ACCOUNT	99936964	09.06.22
YOUR ENERGY ACCOUNT	100244657	01.07.22
YOUR ENERGY ACCOUNT	102748481	03.08.22
YOUR ENERGY ACCOUNT	106348799	02.09.22
YOUR ENERGY ACCOUNT	109530303	05.10.22
YOUR ENERGY ACCOUNT	113886908	02.11.22
YOUR ENERGY ACCOUNT	117213500	02.12.22
YOUR ENERGY ACCOUNT	120743935	04.01.23
YOUR ENERGY ACCOUNT	124528425	05.02.23
YOUR ENERGY ACCOUNT	128340242	05.03.23
YOUR ENERGY ACCOUNT	133614254	22.05.23
YOUR ENERGY ACCOUNT	139450928	27.07.23
YOUR ENERGY ACCOUNT	155925303	17.12.23

created_at	updated_at	from_date	to_date	issued_date	status	issue_reason	id	created_at	posted_date	balance_brought_forward	balance_carried_forward	account_charged	account_credit	account_payment	account_repayment
2021-09-11 10:14	2021-10-23 19:53	2021-09-11	2021-10-22	2021-10-23	CLOSED	1	390100463	2021-09-30 18:34	2021-09-28	0	11395			11395	
2021-09-11 10:14	2021-10-23 19:53	2021-09-11	2021-10-22	2021-10-23	CLOSED	1	390329746	2021-10-01 06:25	2021-09-30	11395	16395		5000		
2021-09-11 10:14	2021-10-23 19:53	2021-09-11	2021-10-22	2021-10-23	CLOSED	1	390329772	2021-10-01 06:25	2021-09-30	16395	21395		5000		
2021-09-11 10:14	2021-10-23 19:53	2021-09-11	2021-10-22	2021-10-23	CLOSED	1	402459634	2021-10-23 18:53	2021-10-18	21395	25395			4000	
2021-09-11 10:14	2021-10-23 19:53	2021-09-11	2021-10-22	2021-10-23	CLOSED	1	404611241	2021-10-23 18:53	2021-10-22	25395	23885	1410			
2021-09-11 10:14	2021-10-23 19:53	2021-09-11	2021-10-22	2021-10-23	CLOSED	1	404611241	2021-10-23 18:53	2021-10-22	23885	20880	3105			
2021-10-23 19:53	2021-11-23 10:48	2021-10-23	2021-11-22	2021-11-23	CLOSED	1	425789000	2021-11-22 14:02	2021-11-18	20880	24880			4000	
2021-10-23 19:53	2021-11-23 10:48	2021-10-23	2021-11-22	2021-11-23	CLOSED	1	425625951	2021-11-22 10:10	2021-11-21	24880	21791	3089			
2021-10-23 19:53	2021-11-23 10:48	2021-10-23	2021-11-22	2021-11-23	CLOSED	1	425942611	2021-11-22 20:23	2021-11-21	21791	14670	7121			
2021-12-24 22:16	2021-12-24 22:16	2021-12-23	2021-12-23	2021-12-24	CLOSED	1	453480025	2021-12-22 14:26	2021-12-20	70	18570			4000	
2021-12-24 22:16	2021-12-24 22:16	2021-12-23	2021-12-23	2021-12-24	CLOSED	1	456054298	2021-12-24 21:16	2021-12-24 21:16	15331	5075	10256			
2021-12-24 22:16	2021-12-24 22:16	2021-12-24	2022-01-21	2022-01-22	CLOSED	1	456054372	2021-12-24 21:16	2021-12-24 21:16	9075				4000	
2021-12-24 22:16	2021-12-24 22:16	2021-12-24	2022-01-21	2022-01-22	CLOSED	1	456054372	2021-12-24 21:16	2021-12-24 21:16	6031	3044				
2021-12-24 22:16	2021-12-24 22:16	2021-12-24	2022-01-21	2022-01-22	CLOSED	1	456054372	2021-12-24 21:16	2021-12-24 21:16	3152	9193				
2021-12-24 22:16	2021-12-24 22:16	2021-12-24	2022-01-21	2022-01-22	CLOSED	1	456054372	2021-12-24 21:16	2021-12-24 21:16	7043				10205	
2021-12-24 22:16	2021-12-24 22:16	2021-12-24	2022-01-21	2022-01-22	CLOSED	1	456054372	2021-12-24 21:16	2021-12-24 21:16	2753	4280				
2021-12-24 22:16	2021-12-24 22:16	2021-12-24	2022-01-21	2022-01-22	CLOSED	1	456054372	2021-12-24 21:16	2021-12-24 21:16	1248	7999				
2021-12-24 22:16	2021-12-24 22:16	2021-12-24	2022-01-21	2022-01-22	CLOSED	1	456054372	2021-12-24 21:16	2021-12-24 21:16	54				12000	
2021-12-24 22:16	2021-12-24 22:16	2021-12-24	2022-01-21	2022-01-22	CLOSED	1	456054372	2021-12-24 21:16	2021-12-24 21:16	3164					
2021-12-24 22:16	2021-12-24 22:16	2021-12-24	2022-01-21	2022-01-22	CLOSED	1	456054372	2021-12-24 21:16	2021-12-24 21:16	5337					
2021-12-24 22:16	2021-12-24 22:16	2021-12-24	2022-01-21	2022-01-22	CLOSED	1	456054372	2021-12-24 21:16	2021-12-24 21:16	1257					
2021-12-24 22:16	2021-12-24 22:16	2021-12-24	2022-01-21	2022-01-22	CLOSED	1	456054372	2021-12-24 21:16	2021-12-24 21:16	1621					
2021-12-24 22:16	2021-12-24 22:16	2021-12-24	2022-01-21	2022-01-22	CLOSED	1	456054372	2021-12-24 21:16	2021-12-24 21:16	4625					
2021-12-24 22:16	2021-12-24 22:16	2021-12-24	2022-01-21	2022-01-22	CLOSED	1	456054372	2021-12-24 21:16	2021-12-24 21:16	7375				12000	
2021-12-24 22:16	2021-12-24 22:16	2021-12-24	2022-01-21	2022-01-22	CLOSED	1	456054372	2021-12-24 21:16	2021-12-24 21:16	4890	2485				
2021-12-24 22:16	2021-12-24 22:16	2021-12-24	2022-01-21	2022-01-22	CLOSED	1	456054372	2021-12-24 21:16	2021-12-24 21:16	4890	2295				
2021-12-24 22:16	2021-12-24 22:16	2021-12-24	2022-01-21	2022-01-22	CLOSED	1	456054372	2021-12-24 21:16	2021-12-24 21:16	2595	14565				
2021-12-24 22:16	2021-12-24 22:16	2021-12-24	2022-01-21	2022-01-22	CLOSED	1	456054372	2021-12-24 21:16	2021-12-24 21:16	14565	12173	2422			
2021-12-24 22:16	2021-12-24 22:16	2021-12-24	2022-01-21	2022-01-22	CLOSED	1	456054372	2021-12-24 21:16	2021-12-24 21:16	12173	10276	1697			

octopus energy

2021-12-24 22:16



Elaine Dawson
25 Woodstock Avenue
Nottingham
NG7 5QP



Your Account Number: A-B781B67B
Bill Reference: 77029719 (23rd Nov. 2021)

Your energy account

23rd Oct. 2021 - 22nd Nov. 2021

On 23rd Oct. 2021 your previous balance was £208.80

1. We have charged you

Based on your meter readings.

VAT included.

Electricity	23rd Oct. 2021 - 21st Nov. 2021	- £30.89
Gas	23rd Oct. 2021 - 21st Nov. 2021	- £71.21

2. You have paid

Direct Debit collection - 18th Nov. 2021 + £40.00

On 22nd Nov. 2021 your new balance is £146.70

Your estimated annual cost

£378.22 a year for electricity

£770.51 a year for gas

This is an estimate based on your expected annual energy usage, and your current tariff rates, charges and discounts, including VAT. Actual billings will vary depending on your usage and tariff selection. More information about your current tariff can be found overleaf.

Could you pay less?

Remember - it might be worth thinking about switching your tariff or supplier.

For your **electricity** (on meter point 1100005765500)

Good to know.

You're already on our cheapest tariff for your **electricity** usage. We'll let you know if this changes.

For your **gas** (on meter point 2067240603)

Good to know.

You're already on our cheapest tariff for your **gas** usage. We'll let you know if this changes.

Emergency numbers

Smell gas?

Call **0800 111 999** (24hrs)

Power cut?

Call **105** to get help

Your Electricity Distributor is: Western Power Distribution (0800 678 3105)

Octopus Energy Limited

W octopus.energy

E hello@octopus.energy

P 0333 344 2268

Registered Office

33 Holborn, London, EC1N 2HT

Trading Address

2nd Floor, UK House, 164-182 Oxford Street,
London W1D 1NN

Registered in England & Wales No. 09263424

VAT Number: 358672751



Elaine Dawson
25 Woodstock Avenue
Nottingham
NG7 5QP



Your Account Number: A-B781B67B
Bill Reference: 79245537 (24th Dec. 2021)

Your energy account

23rd Nov. 2021 - 23rd Dec. 2021

On 23rd Nov. 2021 your previous balance was £146.70

1. We have charged you

Based on your meter readings.

VAT included.

Electricity	22nd Nov. 2021 - 23rd Dec. 2021	- £33.39
Gas	22nd Nov. 2021 - 23rd Dec. 2021	- £102.56

2. You have paid

Direct Debit collection - 20th Dec. 2021 + £40.00

On 23rd Dec. 2021 your new balance is £50.75

Your estimated annual cost

£373.93 a year for electricity

£734.43 a year for gas

This is an estimate based on your expected annual energy usage, and your current tariff rates, charges and discounts, including VAT. Actual billings will vary depending on your usage and tariff selection. More information about your current tariff can be found overleaf.

Could you pay less?

Remember - it might be worth thinking about switching your tariff or supplier.

For your **electricity** (on meter point 1100005765500)

Good to know.

You're already on our cheapest tariff for your **electricity** usage. We'll let you know if this changes.

For your **gas** (on meter point 2067240603)

Good to know.

You're already on our cheapest tariff for your **gas** usage. We'll let you know if this changes.

Emergency numbers

Smell gas?

Call **0800 111 999** (24hrs)

Power cut?

Call **105** to get help

Your Electricity Distributor is: Western Power Distribution (0800 678 3105)

Octopus Energy Limited
W octopus.energy
E hello@octopus.energy
P 0333 344 2268

Registered Office
33 Holborn, London, EC1N 2HT
Trading Address
2nd Floor, UK House, 164-182 Oxford Street,
London W1D 1NN

Registered in England & Wales No. 09263424
VAT Number: 358672751



Registration of a Charge

Company name: **OCTOPUS ENERGY LIMITED**

Company number: **09263424**

Received for Electronic Filing: **22/12/2015**



X4MRWJYA

Details of Charge

Date of creation: **18/12/2015**

Charge code: **0926 3424 0001**

Persons entitled: **OCTOPUS CAPITAL LIMITED AS SECURITY TRUSTEE**

Brief description:

Contains fixed charge(s).

Contains floating charge(s) (floating charge covers all the property or undertaking of the company).

Contains negative pledge.

Authentication of Form

This form was authorised by: **a person with an interest in the registration of the charge.**

Authentication of Instrument

Certification statement: **I CERTIFY THAT SAVE FOR MATERIAL REDACTED PURSUANT TO S.859G OF THE COMPANIES ACT 2006 THE ELECTRONIC COPY INSTRUMENT DELIVERED AS PART OF THIS APPLICATION**

**FOR REGISTRATION IS A CORRECT COPY OF THE ORIGINAL
INSTRUMENT.**

Certified by:

JAMES SIMMONS, CMS CAMERON MCKENNA LLP



CERTIFICATE OF THE REGISTRATION OF A CHARGE

Company number: 9263424

Charge code: 0926 3424 0001

The Registrar of Companies for England and Wales hereby certifies that a charge dated 18th December 2015 and created by OCTOPUS ENERGY LIMITED was delivered pursuant to Chapter A1 Part 25 of the Companies Act 2006 on 22nd December 2015 .

Given at Companies House, Cardiff on 23rd December 2015

The above information was communicated by electronic means and authenticated
by the Registrar of Companies under section 1115 of the Companies Act 2006



Companies House



**THE OFFICIAL SEAL OF THE
REGISTRAR OF COMPANIES**

DATE: 18th December 2015

DEBENTURE AND GUARANTEE

Between

THE CHARGORS

and

OCTOPUS CAPITAL LIMITED
(as Security Trustee)

CMS Cameron McKenna LLP
Cannon Place
78 Cannon Street
London EC4N 6AF
T +44 20 7367 3000
F +44 20 7367 2000
www.cms-cmck.com

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	Definitions and Interpretation	1
	Definitions.....	1
	Construction	4
	Third Party Rights.....	5
2.	Covenant to Pay	5
	Guarantee and Indemnity	5
3.	Fixed Security	6
	Charges.....	6
4.	Floating Charge.....	7
	Creation of Floating Charge.....	7
	Qualifying Floating Charge.....	8
	Conversion by Notice.....	8
	Automatic Conversion	8
5.	Perfection of Security.....	8
	Registration at HM Land Registry	8
	Acquisition of New Land.....	9
	Deposit of Documents of Title.....	10
	Deposit of Securities	10
6.	Further Assurance	10
	Further Assurance	10
	Necessary Action	11
	Acquisition of New Land.....	11
	Implied Covenants for Title	11
7.	Representations	11
8.	Undertakings	12
	General	12
	Negative Pledge	12
	Restriction on Disposals.....	12
	Access	12
	Voting Rights and Dividends relating to Securities.....	12
	Calls and Other Obligations in respect of Securities.....	13
9.	Enforcement of Security	14
	When Security becomes Enforceable.....	14
	Right of Appropriation.....	14
	Redemption of Prior Mortgages.....	14
10.	Extension and Variation of the LPA	15
	General	15
	Privileges.....	15
11.	Appointment of Receiver and Administrator	15
	Appointment.....	15
	Removal	15
	Statutory Powers of Appointment	16

	Capacity of Receiver	16
	Remuneration of Receiver.....	16
12.	Powers of Receiver	16
	General	16
	Specific Powers	16
	Security Trustee's Powers	18
13.	Discretions and Delegation	18
	Discretion	18
	Delegation	18
14.	Power of Attorney	18
	Appointment and Powers	18
	Ratification.....	19
15.	Protection of Purchasers.....	19
	Consideration	19
	Protection of Third Parties	19
16.	Application of Proceeds	19
	Order of Application	19
17.	No Liability as Mortgagee in Possession	20
18.	Effectiveness of Security.....	20
	Continuing Security	20
	Cumulative Rights.....	20
	Reinstatement.....	21
19.	Payments	23
	Manner of Payments	23
	No Set-off by Chargor.....	23
	Tax Gross-Up	24
20.	Expenses, Stamp Taxes and Indemnities	24
	Expenses.....	24
	Stamp Taxes.....	24
	General Indemnity.....	25
	Value Added Tax	25
21.	Certificates and Determinations.....	25
22.	Partial Invalidity.....	25
23.	Remedies and Waivers.....	25
24.	Notices	26
	Communications in writing.....	26
	Addresses	26
	Delivery.....	26
25.	Counterparts	26
26.	Assignment.....	27
27.	Releases.....	27
28.	Tacking.....	27
29.	Governing Law	27

THIS DEED is made on the 18th day of December 2015

BETWEEN:

- (1) **THE COMPANIES** whose respective names and company numbers appear in Schedule 1 (*The Chargers*) (collectively the “**Chargors**” and each a “**Chargor**”); and
- (2) **OCTOPUS CAPITAL LIMITED** registered in England and Wales with company number 03981143 as security trustee for the Noteholders (as defined below) (the “**Security Trustee**”).

WHEREAS:

- (A) Each Chargor enters into this Deed in connection with a loan note instrument (the “**Loan Note Instrument**”) dated 11th December 2015 entered into by the Company whereby it issues fixed rate secured loan notes of £10,200,000 in aggregate principal amount.
- (B) It is a requirement of the Agent that each Chargor enter into this Deed in order to secure the obligations of the Company under the Loan Note Instrument.
- (C) The Boards of Directors of each Chargor is satisfied that the giving of the security contained or provided for in this Deed is in the interests of that Chargor and has passed a resolution to that effect.
- (D) The Security Trustee hereby confirms that it is entering into this Deed for itself and on behalf of each Noteholder for whom it acts as security trustee in respect of the matters set out herein.

NOW IT IS AGREED as follows:

1. DEFINITIONS AND INTERPRETATION

Definitions

- 1.1 Terms defined in the Loan Note Instrument shall, unless otherwise defined in this Deed, have the same meanings when used in this Deed and in addition in this Deed:

“**Authorisation**”: means an authorisation, consent, approval, resolution, licence, exemption, filing, notarisation or registration.

“**Charged Property**”: means all the assets of each Chargor which from time to time are the subject of any security created or expressed to be created in favour of the Security Trustee by or pursuant to this Deed.

“**Company**” means Octopus Energy Holdings Limited registered in England and Wales with company number 09718624.

“**Delegate**”: means any person appointed by the Security Trustee or any Receiver pursuant to clauses 13.2 to 13.4 (*Delegation*) and any person appointed as attorney of the Security Trustee and/or any Receiver or Delegate.

“**Environment**”: means humans, animals, plants and all other living organisms including the ecological systems of which they form part and all or any of the following media:

- (a) air (including, without limitation, air within buildings and air within other natural or man-made structures, whether above or below ground);
- (b) water (including, without limitation, territorial, coastal and inland waters, water under or within land and water in drains and sewers); and

(c) land (including, without limitation, surface and sub-surface soil and land under water).

“Environmental Law”: means any applicable law or regulation which relates to:

- (a) the pollution or protection of the Environment;
- (b) the conditions of the workplace; or
- (c) the generation, handling, storage, use, release or spillage of any emission or substance which, alone or in combination with any other, is capable of causing harm to the Environment, including, without limitation, any waste.

“Environmental Permits”: means any permit and other Authorisation and the filing of any notification, report or assessment required under any Environmental Law for the operation of the business of any Chargor conducted on or from the properties owned or used by it.

“Event of Default”: means any of the events specified in Condition 5 of the annex to schedule 1 of the Loan Note Instrument.

“Expenses”: means all costs (including legal fees), charges, expenses and damages sustained or incurred by the Security Trustee or any Receiver or Delegate at any time in connection with the Charged Property or the Secured Liabilities or in taking, holding or perfecting this Deed or in protecting, preserving, defending or enforcing the security constituted by this Deed or in exercising any rights, powers or remedies provided by or pursuant to this Deed (including any right or power to make payments on behalf of any Chargor under the terms of this Deed) or by law in each case on a full indemnity basis.

“Finance Documents” means the Loan Note Instrument, the Loan Notes and this Deed.

“Insurances”: means all of the contracts and policies of insurance or assurance (including, without limitation, life policies and the proceeds of them) from time to time taken out by or for the benefit of any Chargor or in which any Chargor from time to time has an interest, together with all bonuses and other moneys, benefits and advantages that may become payable or accrue under them or under any substituted policy.

“Intellectual Property”: means:

- (a) all patents, trademarks, service marks, designs, business names, design rights, moral rights, inventions and all other registered or unregistered intellectual property rights;
- (b) all copyrights (including rights in software), database rights, domain names, source codes, brand names and all other similar registered or unregistered intellectual property rights;
- (c) all applications for intellectual property rights and the benefit of any priority dates attaching to such applications and all benefits deriving from intellectual property rights, including royalties, fees, profit sharing agreements and income from licences;
- (d) all know-how, confidential information and trade secrets; and
- (e) all physical material in which any intellectual property might be incorporated.

“Legal Reservations”: means:

- (a) the principle that equitable remedies may be granted or refused at the discretion of a court and the limitation of enforcement by laws relating to insolvency, reorganisation and other laws generally affecting the rights of creditors; and

- (b) the time barring of claims under the Limitation Acts, the possibility that an undertaking to assume liability for or indemnify a person against non-payment of UK stamp duty may be void and defences of set-off or counterclaim.

“Liability Period”: means the period beginning on the date of this Deed and ending on the date on which the Security Trustee is satisfied, acting in good faith, that all the Secured Liabilities have been unconditionally and irrevocably paid and discharged in full.

“Limitation Acts” means the Limitation Act 1980 and the Foreign Limitation Periods Act 1984.

“LPA”: means the Law of Property Act 1925.

“Receiver”: means a receiver, receiver and manager or administrative receiver of the whole or any part or parts of the Charged Property.

“Related Rights”: means, in relation to the Securities, all dividends, interest, benefits, property, rights, accretions, moneys, advantages, credits, rebates, refunds (including rebates and refunds in respect of any tax, duties, imposts or charges) and other distributions paid or payable in respect of the Securities, whether by way of bonus, capitalisation, conversion, preference, option, substitution, exchange, redemption or otherwise.

“Secured Liabilities”: means all present and future indebtedness, moneys, obligations and liabilities of Chargor to the Noteholders and the Security Trustee under the Finance Documents), in whatever currency denominated, whether actual or contingent and whether owed jointly or severally or as principal or as surety or in some other capacity, together with all Expenses and all interest.

“Securities”: means all stocks, shares, loan notes, bonds, certificates of deposit, depository receipts, loan capital indebtedness, debentures or other securities from time to time legally or beneficially owned by or on behalf of any Chargor, together with all property and rights of any Chargor in respect of any account held by or for that Chargor as participant, or as beneficiary of a nominee or trustee participant, with any clearance or settlement system or depository or custodian or sub-custodian or broker in the United Kingdom or elsewhere.

“Security”: means a mortgage, charge, pledge, lien or other security interest securing any obligation of any person or any other agreement or arrangement having a similar effect.

“Security Trust Deed” means the security trust deed dated on or before the date of this Deed between, amongst others, Octopus Capital Limited (registered number 03981143) and the Security Trustee.

“Tax”: means any tax, levy, impost, duty or other charge or withholding of a similar nature (including any penalty or interest payable in connection with any failure to pay or any delay in paying any of the same).

“VAT”: means:

- (a) any tax imposed in compliance with the Council Directive of 28 November 2006 on the common system of value added tax (EC Directive 2006/112); and
- (b) any other tax of a similar nature, whether imposed in a member state of the European Union in substitution for, or levied in addition to, such tax referred to in paragraph (a) above, or imposed elsewhere.

Construction

- 1.2 Any reference in this Deed to:
- 1.2.1 the “**Security Trustee**”, any “**Chargor**”, any “**Noteholder**” or any other person shall be construed so as to include its successors in title, permitted assigns and permitted transferees and, in the case of the Security Trustee, shall include any person for the time being appointed as additional security trustee pursuant to the Security Trust Deed;
 - 1.2.2 “**assets**” includes present and future properties, revenues and rights of every description;
 - 1.2.3 “**indebtedness**” includes any obligation (whether incurred as principal or as surety) for the payment or repayment of money, whether present or future, actual or contingent;
 - 1.2.4 a “**person**” includes any individual, firm, company, corporation, government, state or agency of a state or any association, trust, joint venture, consortium or partnership (whether or not having separate legal personality);
 - 1.2.5 a “**regulation**” includes any regulation, rule, official directive, request or guideline (whether or not having the force of law) of any governmental, intergovernmental or supranational body, agency, department or of any regulatory, self-regulatory or other authority or organisation; and
 - 1.2.6 a provision of law is a reference to that provision as amended or re-enacted.
- 1.3 Clause and schedule headings are for ease of reference only.
- 1.4 Any reference in this Deed to a mortgage, charge or assignment of any asset shall be construed so as to include:
- 1.4.1 the benefit of any covenants for title given or entered into by any predecessor in title of any Chargor in respect of that asset and all other rights, benefits, claims, contracts, warranties, remedies, security or indemnities in respect of that asset;
 - 1.4.2 the proceeds of sale of any part of that asset and any other moneys paid or payable in respect of or in connection with that asset; and
 - 1.4.3 in respect of any Mortgaged Property, all Premises and all fixtures and fittings (including trade fixtures and fittings and tenants’ fixtures and fittings) from time to time in or on that Mortgaged Property.
- 1.5 Each term in any Finance Document is, to the extent not set out in or otherwise incorporated into this Deed, deemed to be incorporated into this Deed insofar as is necessary to comply with section 2 of the Law of Property (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1989 but, except where stated otherwise, if there is any conflict between that incorporated term and any other term of this Deed that other term shall prevail.
- 1.6 Any reference in this Deed to any Finance Document or any other agreement or other document shall be construed as a reference to that Finance Document or that other agreement or document as the same may have been, or may from time to time be, restated, varied, amended, supplemented, extended, substituted, novated or assigned, whether or not as a result of any of the same:

- 1.6.1 any rate of interest, commission or fees or relevant purpose is changed;
 - 1.6.2 the identity of the parties is changed;
 - 1.6.3 the identity of the providers of any security is changed;
 - 1.6.4 there is an increased or additional liability on the part of any person; or
 - 1.6.5 a new agreement is effectively created or deemed to be created.
- 1.7 Any reference in this Deed to “**this Deed**” shall be deemed to be a reference to this Deed as a whole and not limited to the particular clause, schedule or provision in which the relevant reference appears and to this Deed as amended, novated, assigned, supplemented, extended, substituted or restated from time to time and any reference in this Deed to a “**clause**” or a “**schedule**” is, unless otherwise provided, a reference to a clause or a schedule of this Deed.
- 1.8 Unless the context otherwise requires, words denoting the singular number only shall include the plural and vice versa.
- 1.9 Where any provision of this Deed is stated to include one or more things, that shall be by way of example or for the avoidance of doubt only and shall not limit the generality of that provision.
- 1.10 It is intended that this document shall take effect as and be a deed of each Chargor notwithstanding the fact that the Security Trustee may not execute this document as a deed.
- 1.11 Any change in the constitution of the Security Trustee or its absorption of or amalgamation with any other person or the acquisition of all or part of its undertaking by any other person shall not in any way prejudice or affect its rights under this Deed.

Third Party Rights

- 1.12 Nothing in this Deed is intended to confer on any person any right to enforce or enjoy the benefit of any provision of this Deed which that person would not have had but for the Contracts (Rights of Third Parties) Act 1999.

2. COVENANT TO PAY AND GUARANTEE

Covenant to Pay

- 2.1 Each Chargor covenants with the Security Trustee (as trustee for the Noteholders) that it shall pay, perform and discharge the Secured Liabilities as and when the same fall due for payment, performance or discharge in accordance with the terms of the Finance Documents or, in the absence of any such express terms, on demand.

Guarantee and Indemnity

- 2.2 Each Chargor irrevocably and unconditionally jointly and severally:
- 2.2.1 guarantees to the Security Trustee (as trustee for the Noteholders) punctual payment, performance and discharge by each other Chargor of the Secured Liabilities;
 - 2.2.2 undertakes with the Security Trustee (as trustee for the Noteholders) that whenever another Chargor does not pay any amount or perform or discharge any obligation in respect of the Secured Liabilities when due, that Chargor shall immediately on demand pay that amount or perform or discharge that obligation as if it was the principal obligor; and

- 2.2.3 agrees with the Security Trustee (as trustee for the Noteholders) that if any obligation guaranteed by it is or becomes unenforceable, invalid or illegal, that Chargor will, as an independent and primary obligation, indemnify the Security Trustee (whether for its own account or as trustee for the Noteholders) immediately on demand against any cost, loss or liability the Security Trustee (whether for its own account or as trustee for the Noteholders) and/or any of the other Noteholders discharging any obligation which would, but for such unenforceability, invalidity or illegality, have been payable by it or otherwise due from it in respect of the Secured Liabilities on the date when it would have been due. The amount payable by a Chargor under this indemnity will not exceed the amount it would have had to pay under this Clause 2.2 if the amount claimed had been recoverable on the basis of a guarantee.

3. FIXED SECURITY

Charges

- 3.1 Each Chargor, as security for the payment, performance and discharge of all the Secured Liabilities, charges in favour of the Security Trustee (as trustee for the Noteholders) by way of a first legal mortgage all of that Chargor's right, title and interest in and to the freehold, commonhold and leasehold property now vested in it.
- 3.2 Each Chargor, as security for the payment, performance and discharge of all the Secured Liabilities, charges in favour of the Security Trustee (as trustee for the Noteholders) by way of first fixed charge all of that Chargor's right, title and interest in and to the following assets, both present and future:
- 3.2.1 all estates or interests in any freehold, commonhold or leasehold property (other than such property effectively mortgaged under clause 2.1 above);
 - 3.2.2 all licences held by it to enter upon or use land and/or to carry on the business carried on upon that land and all agreements relating to land to which it is a party or otherwise entitled;
 - 3.2.3 where any Mortgaged Property is leasehold property, any right or interest arising by virtue of any enfranchising legislation (including, but not limited to, the Leasehold Reform Act 1967 and the Leasehold Reform Housing and Urban Development Act 1993 but excluding part II of the Landlord and Tenant Act 1954) which confers upon a tenant of property whether individually or collectively with other tenants of that or other properties the right to acquire a new lease of that property or to acquire, or require the acquisition by a nominee of, the freehold or any intermediate reversionary interest in that property;
 - 3.2.4 all amounts owing to it by way of rent, licence fee, service charge, dilapidations, ground rent and/or rent charge in respect of any Mortgaged Property or Premises;
 - 3.2.5 all fixed and other plant and machinery, computers, vehicles, office equipment and other chattels in its ownership or possession (but excluding any of those items to the extent that they are part of its stock in trade);
 - 3.2.6 all Insurances, including all claims, the proceeds of all claims and all returns of premium in connection with Insurances;

- 3.2.7 all book debts and all other debts or monetary claims (including all choses in action which may give rise to a debt or monetary claim), all proceeds thereof and, in each case, any cheque, bill, note, negotiable instrument or other document representing the same;
- 3.2.8 all moneys from time to time deposited in or standing to the credit of any bank or financial institution (including, without limitation, any rent deposit given to secure liabilities in relation to land and any retention or similar sum arising out of a construction contract or any other contract (and, in each case, any cheque, bill, note, negotiable instrument or other document representing the same));
- 3.2.9 all Securities and their Related Rights;
- 3.2.10 all of its goodwill and uncalled capital;
- 3.2.11 all Intellectual Property;
- 3.2.12 all Authorisations (statutory or otherwise) held in connection with its business or the use of any Charged Property and the right to recover and receive all compensation which may be payable in respect of them;
- 3.2.13
- (a) all contracts, guarantees, appointments, warranties and other documents to which it is a party or in its favour or of which it has the benefit relating to any letting, development, sale, purchase or the operation of any Mortgaged Property or Premises;
 - (b) all agreements entered into by it or of which it has the benefit under which credit of any amount is provided to any person;
 - (c) all contracts for the supply of goods and/or services by or to it or of which it has the benefit (including, without limitation, any contracts of hire or lease of chattels); and
 - (d) any currency or interest swap or any other interest or currency protection, hedging or financial futures transaction or arrangement entered into by it or of which it has the benefit (whether entered into with any of the Noteholders or any other person),
 - (e) including, in each case, but without limitation, the right to demand and receive all moneys whatsoever payable to or for its benefit under or arising from any of them, all remedies provided for in any of them or available at law or in equity in relation to any of them, the right to compel performance of any of them and all other rights, interests and benefits whatsoever accruing to or for its benefit arising from any of them.

4. FLOATING CHARGE

Creation of Floating Charge

- 4.1 Each Chargor, as security for the payment, performance and discharge of all the Secured Liabilities, charges in favour of the Security Trustee (as trustee for the Noteholders) by way of a first floating charge all of that Chargor's undertaking and all its other property, assets and rights whatsoever, present and future, including all the stock in trade of that Chargor and all property,

assets and rights not otherwise validly and effectively mortgaged, charged or assigned (whether at law or in equity) by way of fixed security pursuant to clause 3 (*Fixed Security*).

Qualifying Floating Charge

- 4.2 The provisions of paragraph 14 of schedule B1 to the Insolvency Act 1986 shall apply to the floating charge created pursuant to clause 4.1 (*Creation of Floating Charge*).

Conversion by Notice

- 4.3 The Security Trustee may by notice in writing at any time to any Chargor convert the floating charge created by that Chargor pursuant to clause 4.1 (*Creation of Floating Charge*) with immediate effect into a fixed charge (either generally or specifically as regards any assets of that Chargor specified in the notice) if:

- 4.3.1 the security constituted by this Deed becomes enforceable; or
- 4.3.2 the Security Trustee reasonably considers that any of the Charged Property may be in jeopardy or in danger of being seized or sold pursuant to any form of legal process or that it is desirable to do so in order to protect or preserve the security constituted by this Deed over any of the Charged Property and/or the priority of that security.

Automatic Conversion

- 4.4 Notwithstanding clause 4.3 (*Conversion by Notice*) and without prejudice to any law which may have a similar effect, each floating charge created by clause 4.1 (*Creation of Floating Charge*) will automatically be converted (without notice) with immediate effect into a fixed charge as regards all of the undertaking and assets subject to that floating charge if:

- 4.4.1 any Chargor creates or attempts to create any Security over any of the Charged Property;
- 4.4.2 any person levies or attempts to levy any distress, execution or other process against any of the Charged Property; or
- 4.4.3 a resolution is passed or an order is made for the winding-up, dissolution, administration or re-organisation of any Chargor or an administrator is appointed in respect of any Chargor.

5. PERFECTION OF SECURITY

Registration at HM Land Registry

- 5.1 For the purposes of panel 8 of each Form RX1 that may be required to be completed by the Security Trustee in relation to any Mortgaged Property registered or required to be registered at HM Land Registry, each Chargor hereby consents to an application being made by the Security Trustee to the Chief Land Registrar to enter the following restriction in Form P against that Chargor's title to such Mortgaged Property:

"No disposition of the registered estate by the proprietor of the registered estate [, or by the proprietor of any registered charge, not being a charge registered before the entry of this restriction] is to be registered without a written consent signed by the proprietor for the time being of the charge dated [] in favour of [name of Security Trustee] referred to in the charges register".

- 5.2 If the title to any Mortgaged Property is not registered at HM Land Registry, that Chargor shall ensure that no person (other than itself) shall be registered under the Land Registration Act 2002 as the proprietor of all or any part of that Mortgaged Property without the prior consent in writing of the Security Trustee.
- 5.3 Whether or not the title to any of the Mortgaged Property is registered at HM Land Registry, in the event that any caution against first registration or any notice (whether agreed or unilateral) is registered against any Chargor's title to any Mortgaged Property, that Chargor shall immediately provide the Security Trustee with full particulars of the circumstances relating to such registration or notice and, if such caution or notice shall have been registered in order to protect a purported interest the creation of which is not permitted under this Deed, that Chargor shall immediately and at its own expense take such steps as the Security Trustee may require to ensure that the caution or notice, as applicable, is withdrawn or cancelled.

Acquisition of New Land

- 5.4 In relation to any freehold, commonhold or leasehold property which is acquired by or on behalf of any Chargor after the date of this Deed:
- 5.4.1 if the title to any such property is registered at HM Land Registry, that Chargor shall immediately apply to be registered as the proprietor of the registered estate acquired (or procure that such application is made on its behalf) and (for the purposes of panel 11 of Form AN1) hereby consents to an application being made by the Security Trustee to the Chief Land Registrar for the registration of an agreed notice in Form AN1 to protect this Deed against that Chargor's title to that property;
- 5.4.2 if the title to any such property is required to be registered at HM Land Registry under the provisions of the Land Registration Act 2002, that Chargor shall immediately apply for first registration of the estate acquired in Form FR1 (or procure that such application is made on its behalf) and shall disclose or procure that the existence of this Deed is disclosed to HM Land Registry either in the Form DL accompanying such application or in panel 12 of Form FR1; and
- 5.4.3 if the title to any such property represents the transfer of either part of a commonhold unit or part of the common parts of land registered as a freehold estate in commonhold land under the Commonhold and Leasehold Reform Act 2002, that Chargor shall also procure that the application to register the transfer is accompanied by an application in Form CM3 to register the commonhold community statement that has been amended in relation to the transfer as required by Rule 15 or, as the case may be, Rule 16 of the Commonhold (Land Registration) Rules 2004,

and, in each such case, the relevant Chargor shall, immediately after registration of it as the proprietor of the relevant registered estate, provide the Security Trustee with an official copy of the register recording the same.

Notices of Charge

- 5.5 Each Chargor shall, promptly upon the request of the Security Trustee from time to time, give or join the Security Trustee in giving in respect of any other asset which is charged pursuant to clause 3 (*Fixed Security*), a notice of charge in such form as the Security Trustee may reasonably require to the relevant obligor, debtor or other third party (as the case may be).
- 5.6 Each such notice shall be duly signed by or on behalf of the relevant Chargor and that Chargor shall procure that each of the persons on whom any such notice is served promptly provides to the Security Trustee a duly signed acknowledgement of that notice in such form in any case as the Security Trustee may reasonably require.

Deposit of Documents of Title

- 5.7 Each Chargor shall promptly deposit with the Security Trustee (unless already held by its solicitors on behalf of and to the Security Trustee's order or at HM Land Registry for the purpose of registration of the security constituted by this Deed) all deeds, certificates and other documents of title from time to time relating to the Mortgaged Property.

Deposit of Securities

- 5.8 Each Chargor shall, in respect of any Securities which are in certificated form, promptly:
- 5.8.1 deposit with the Security Trustee or as it may direct all stock and share certificates and other documents of title or evidence of ownership from time to time relating to such Securities; and
 - 5.8.2 execute and deliver to the Security Trustee all share transfers and other documents as the Security Trustee may from time to time request in order to enable the Security Trustee (or its nominee(s)) to be registered as the owner or otherwise obtain a legal title to or to perfect its security interest in such Securities, to the intent that the Security Trustee may at any time without notice complete and present such transfers and documents for registration.
- 5.9 Each Chargor shall, in respect of any Securities which are in uncertificated form, promptly upon being requested to do so by the Security Trustee, give or procure the giving of, in accordance with and subject to the facilities and requirements of the relevant system, all instructions necessary to effect a transfer of title to such Securities into an account in the name of the Security Trustee (or its nominee(s)) and to cause the Operator to register on the relevant register of securities the transfer of such title.
- 5.10 For the purposes of clauses 5.8 and 5.9 above, the expressions "**certificated**", "**instruction**", "**Operator**", "**relevant system**" and "**uncertificated**" shall have the meanings given to those terms in the Uncertificated Securities Regulations 2001.

6. FURTHER ASSURANCE

Further Assurance

- 6.1 Each Chargor shall promptly do all such acts and execute all such documents (including assignments, transfers, mortgages, charges, notices and instructions) as the Security Trustee or any Receiver may reasonably specify (and in such form as the Security Trustee or any Receiver may reasonably require in favour of the Security Trustee or its nominee(s)) to:

- 6.1.1 perfect the security created or intended to be created in respect of the Charged Property (which may include the execution by that Chargor of a mortgage, charge, assignment or other Security over all or any of the assets forming part of, or which are intended to form part of, the Charged Property);
- 6.1.2 confer on the Security Trustee Security over any property and assets of that Chargor located in any jurisdiction equivalent or similar to the security intended to be conferred by or pursuant to this Deed;
- 6.1.3 facilitate the exercise of any rights, powers and remedies of the Security Trustee or any Receiver or Delegate provided by or pursuant to this Deed or by law;
- 6.1.4 facilitate the realisation of the assets which form part of, or are intended to form part of, the Charged Property; and/or
- 6.1.5 create any charge by way of legal mortgage over any freehold, commonhold or leasehold property which becomes vested in that Chargor after the date of this Deed.

Necessary Action

- 6.2 Each Chargor shall take all such action as is available to it (including making all filings and registrations) as may be necessary for the purpose of the creation, perfection, protection or maintenance of any security conferred or intended to be conferred on the Security Trustee by or pursuant to this Deed.

Acquisition of New Land

- 6.3 Each Chargor shall immediately notify the Security Trustee of any acquisition by it of any freehold, commonhold or leasehold property or of any agreement entered into by it or of which it has the benefit for the acquisition of any such property.

Implied Covenants for Title

- 6.4 Each of the mortgages and charges granted by each Chargor under this Deed are granted with full title guarantee in accordance with the Law of Property (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1994, save that the covenants set out in section 2(1)(a), section 3 and section 4 of that Act shall extend to each Chargor without, in each case, the benefit of section 6(2) of that Act.

7. REPRESENTATIONS

General

- 7.1 Each Chargor makes the representations and warranties set out in this clause 7 to the Security Trustee on the date of this Deed.

Status

- 7.2 It is a limited liability corporation, duly incorporated and validly existing under the law of its original jurisdiction and it has the power to own its assets and carry on its business as it is being conducted.

Binding Obligations

- 7.3 Subject to the Legal Reservations, the obligations expressed to be assumed by it in this Deed are legal, valid, binding and enforceable obligations and (without limiting the generality of the foregoing) this Deed creates the security which it purports to create and that security is valid and effective.

Non-conflict with other Obligations

- 7.4 The entry into and performance by it of, and the transactions contemplated by, this Deed and the granting of the security under this Deed does not and will not conflict with:
- 7.4.1 any law or regulation applicable to it;
 - 7.4.2 its constitutional documents; or
 - 7.4.3 any agreement or instrument binding upon it or any of its assets or constitute a default or termination event (however described) under any such agreement or instrument.

Power and Authority

- 7.5 It has the power to enter into, perform and deliver, and has taken all necessary action to authorise its entry into, performance and delivery of, this Deed and the transactions contemplated by this Deed.
- 7.6 No limit on its powers will be exceeded as a result of the borrowing or grant of security contemplated by this Deed to which it is a party.

8. UNDERTAKINGS

General

- 8.1 The undertakings in this clause 8 remain in force from the date of this Deed for so long as any amount is outstanding under this Deed.

Negative Pledge

- 8.2 No Chargor shall create or extend or permit to arise or subsist any Security over the whole or any part of the Charged Property without the prior written consent of the Security Trustee.

Restriction on Disposals

- 8.3 No Chargor shall enter into a single transaction or a series of transactions (whether related or not) and whether voluntary or involuntary to sell, lease, transfer or otherwise dispose of the whole or any part of the Charged Property, other than any disposal in the ordinary course of trading (as conducted by that Chargor at the date of this Deed) of any Charged Property which is subject only to the floating charge created by clause 4.1 (*Creation of Floating Charge*).

Access

- 8.4 Each Chargor shall permit the Security Trustee and any other person nominated by it free access at all reasonable times and on reasonable notice to enter upon and/or view the state and condition of the Charged Property (without, in any case, becoming liable to account as mortgagee in possession).

Voting Rights and Dividends relating to Securities

- 8.5 At any time before the security constituted by this Deed has become enforceable:
- 8.5.1 each Chargor shall be entitled to exercise all voting and other rights and powers in respect of the Securities or, if any of the same are exercisable by the Security Trustee (or its nominee(s)), to direct in writing the exercise of those voting and other rights and powers, **provided that** no Chargor shall exercise or direct the exercise of any voting or other rights and powers in any manner which would breach the provisions of the Facilities Agreement or would, in the opinion of the Security Trustee, prejudice

the value of the Securities or otherwise jeopardise the security constituted by this Deed; and

8.5.2 each Chargor shall be entitled to retain and apply for its own use all dividends, interest and other moneys paid or payable in respect of the Securities and, if any of the same are paid or payable to the Security Trustee (or its nominee(s)), the Security Trustee will hold all such dividends, interest and other moneys received by it for the account of that Chargor and will pay such dividends to that Chargor promptly on request.

8.6 At any time after the security constituted by this Deed has become enforceable, the Security Trustee may at its discretion (in the name of any Chargor or otherwise and without any further consent or authority from any Chargor):

8.6.1 exercise (or refrain from exercising) all voting and other rights and powers in respect of the Securities;

8.6.2 apply all dividends, interest and other moneys arising from the Securities in accordance with clause 16.1 (*Order of Application*) and, if any of the same are paid or payable to any Chargor, that Chargor shall hold all such dividends, interest and other moneys on trust for the Security Trustee (as trustee for the Noteholders) and pay the same immediately to the Security Trustee or as it may direct to be applied in accordance with clause 16.1;

8.6.3 if not already so transferred, transfer the Securities into the name of, or (as applicable) into an account in the name of, the Security Trustee (or its nominee(s)); and

8.6.4 in addition to any other power created under this Deed, exercise (or refrain from exercising) all the powers and rights conferred on or exercisable by the legal or beneficial owner of the Securities and, except as expressly provided for in the Deed, all the powers and discretions conferred on trustees by the Trustee Act 1925 and the Trustee Act 2000, including, without limitation, the general power of investment set out in section 3 of the Trustee Act 2000, *provided that* the duty of care set out in section 1(1) of the Trustee Act 2000 shall not apply to the exercise of any other power of investment (however conferred) by the Security Trustee (or its nominee(s)) in respect of securities or property subject to a trust.

Calls and Other Obligations in respect of Securities

8.7 Each Chargor shall promptly pay all calls and other payments which may be or become due in respect of all or any part of the Securities and, if it fails to do so, the Security Trustee may elect (but shall not be obliged) to make such payments on behalf of that Chargor. Any sums so paid by the Security Trustee shall be reimbursed by the relevant Chargor to the Security Trustee on demand.

8.8 Each Chargor shall remain liable to observe and perform all of the other conditions and obligations assumed by it in respect of any of all or any part of the Securities.

8.9 Neither the Security Trustee nor its nominee(s) shall be liable to make any payment in respect of any calls or other payments which may be or become due in respect of the Securities or be under any duty to make any enquiry into the nature or sufficiency of any payment received by it in respect of the Securities or to present or file or make any claim, take any action or do any other act or thing for the purpose of collecting and/or enforcing the payment of any amount to which it may be entitled in respect of the Securities.

- 8.10 Each Chargor shall copy to the Security Trustee and comply with all requests for information which is within its knowledge and which are made under section 793 of the Companies Act 2006 or any similar provision contained in any articles of association or other constitutional documents relating to all or any part of the Securities and, if it fails to do so, the Security Trustee may elect (but shall not be obliged) to provide such information as it may have on behalf of (and at the expense of) that Chargor.
- 8.11 No Chargor shall, without the prior written consent of the Security Trustee, do or cause or permit to be done anything which shall require any company in which any of the Securities is held to treat any person who is not the registered holder of any of the Securities as entitled to enjoy or exercise any rights of a member in relation to the whole or any part of the Securities, except pursuant to the terms of this Deed.

9. ENFORCEMENT OF SECURITY

When Security becomes Enforceable

- 9.1 The security constituted by this Deed shall become immediately enforceable and the power of sale and other powers conferred by section 101 of the LPA, as varied or extended by this Deed, shall be immediately exercisable upon and at any time after the occurrence of an Event of Default.
- 9.2 After the security constituted by this Deed has become enforceable, the Security Trustee may in its absolute discretion enforce all or any part of that security at the times, in the manner and on the terms it thinks fit and take possession of and hold or dispose of all or any part of the Charged Property.

Right of Appropriation

- 9.3 To the extent that any of the Charged Property constitutes “**financial collateral**” and this Deed and the obligations of any Chargor under this Deed constitute a “**security financial collateral arrangement**” (in each case as defined in, and for the purposes of, the Financial Collateral Arrangements (No. 2) Regulations 2003 (the “**FCA Regulations**”)), the Security Trustee shall have the right, at any time after the security constituted by this Deed has become enforceable, to appropriate all or any part of such financial collateral in or towards discharge of the Secured Liabilities. For this purpose, the parties agree that the value of such financial collateral shall be (in the case of cash) the amount standing to the credit of each bank account of the relevant Chargor, together with any accrued but unpaid interest, at the time the right of appropriation is exercised and (in the case of Securities) the market price of such Securities determined by the Security Trustee by reference to a public index or by such other process as the Security Trustee may select, including independent valuation. In each case, the parties agree that the manner of valuation provided for in this clause 9.3 shall constitute a commercially reasonable manner of valuation for the purposes of the FCA Regulations.

Redemption of Prior Mortgages

- 9.4 At any time after the security constituted by this Deed has become enforceable, the Security Trustee or any Receiver may:
- 9.4.1 redeem any prior Security over any Charged Property; or
- 9.4.2 procure the transfer of that Security to the Security Trustee; or

9.4.3 settle and pass the accounts of the person or persons entitled to such Security (and any accounts so settled and passed shall be conclusive and binding on any Chargor).

9.5 All principal moneys, interest, costs, charges and expenses of and incidental to any such redemption or transfer shall be paid by the relevant Chargor to the Security Trustee and every Receiver on demand and shall be secured by this Deed.

10. EXTENSION AND VARIATION OF THE LPA

General

10.1 For the purposes of all powers implied by the LPA, such powers shall arise (and the Secured Liabilities shall be deemed to have become due and payable for that purpose) on the date of this Deed.

10.2 Section 103 of the LPA (restricting the power of sale) and section 93 of the LPA (restricting the right of consolidation) shall not apply to the security constituted by this Deed.

10.3 The statutory powers of leasing conferred on the Security Trustee are extended so as to authorise the Security Trustee and any Receiver at any time after the security constituted by this Deed has become enforceable to make any lease or agreement for lease, accept surrenders of leases and/or grant options on such terms as it or he shall think fit, without the need to comply with any restrictions imposed by Sections 99 and 100 of the LPA.

Privileges

10.4 Each Receiver and the Security Trustee is entitled to all the rights, powers, privileges and immunities conferred by the LPA on mortgagees and receivers.

11. APPOINTMENT OF RECEIVER AND ADMINISTRATOR

Appointment

11.1 At any time after the security constituted by this Deed has become enforceable or if any Chargor so requests the Security Trustee in writing (in which case the security constituted by this Deed shall become immediately enforceable), the Security Trustee may without prior notice to any Chargor:

11.1.1 appoint free from the restrictions imposed by section 109(1) of the LPA either under seal or in writing under its hand any one or more persons to be a Receiver of the whole or any part or parts of the Charged Property in like manner in every respect as if the Security Trustee had become entitled under the LPA to exercise the power of sale conferred under the LPA; or

11.1.2 appoint one or more persons to be an administrator of any one or more of the Chargors.

Removal

11.2 The Security Trustee may by writing under its hand (or by an application to the court where required by law):

11.2.1 remove any Receiver appointed by it; and

11.2.2 appoint, whenever it deems it expedient, any one or more persons to be a new Receiver in the place of or in addition to any Receiver.

Statutory Powers of Appointment

- 11.3 The powers of appointment of a Receiver conferred by this Deed shall be in addition to all statutory and other powers of appointment of the Security Trustee under the LPA (as extended by this Deed) or otherwise and such powers shall be and remain exercisable from time to time by the Security Trustee in respect of any part or parts of the Charged Property.

Capacity of Receiver

- 11.4 Each Receiver shall be deemed to be the agent of the relevant Chargor for all purposes. Each Chargor alone shall be responsible for a Receiver's contracts, engagements, acts, omissions, defaults and losses and for liabilities incurred by him.
- 11.5 The agency of each Receiver shall continue until the relevant Chargor goes into liquidation and after that the Receiver shall act as principal and shall not become the agent of the Security Trustee.
- 11.6 If there is more than one Receiver holding office at the same time, each Receiver shall (unless the document appointing him states otherwise) be entitled to act (and to exercise all of the powers conferred on a Receiver under this Deed) individually or together with any other person appointed or substituted as Receiver.

Remuneration of Receiver

- 11.7 The Security Trustee may fix the remuneration of any Receiver appointed by it without any restriction imposed by section 109(6) of the LPA and the remuneration of the Receiver shall be a debt secured by this Deed, which shall be due and payable immediately upon its being paid by the Security Trustee.

12. POWERS OF RECEIVER

General

- 12.1 Each Receiver has, and is entitled to exercise, all of the rights, powers and discretions set out below in this clause 12 in addition to those conferred by law.
- 12.2 Without prejudice to the generality of this clause 12, each Receiver shall have all the rights, powers and discretions of an administrative receiver under schedule 1 to the Insolvency Act 1986 whether he falls within the statutory definition of an administrative receiver or not.

Specific Powers

- 12.3 Each Receiver shall have the following powers (and every reference in this clause 12.3 to the "Charged Property" shall be read as a reference to that part or parts of the Charged Property in respect of which that Receiver was appointed):
- 12.3.1 power to purchase or acquire land and purchase, acquire or grant any interest in or right over land as he thinks fit;
 - 12.3.2 power to take immediate possession of, get in and collect any Charged Property;
 - 12.3.3 power to carry on the business of any Chargor as he thinks fit;
 - 12.3.4 power (but without any obligation to do so) to:
 - (a) make and effect all repairs, alterations, additions and insurances and do all other acts which any Chargor might do in the ordinary conduct of its

business as well for the protection as for the improvement of the Charged Property;

- (b) commence or complete any building operations on the Charged Property;
- (c) apply for and maintain any planning permission, building regulation approval or any other permission, consent or licence in respect of the Charged Property; and
- (d) negotiate for compensation with any authority which may intend to acquire or be in the process of acquiring all or any part of the Charged Property and make objections to any order for the acquisition of all or any part of the Charged Property and represent any Chargor at any enquiry to be held to consider such objections or otherwise relating to any such acquisition,

in each case as he thinks fit;

- 12.3.5 power to appoint and discharge managers, officers, agents, advisers, accountants, servants, workmen, contractors, surveyors, architects, lawyers and others for the purposes of this Deed upon such terms as to remuneration or otherwise as he thinks fit and power to discharge any such persons appointed by any Chargor (and the costs incurred by any Receiver in carrying out such acts or doing such things shall be reimbursed to that Receiver by the relevant Chargor on demand);
- 12.3.6 power to raise and borrow money either unsecured or (with the prior consent of the Security Trustee) on the security of any Charged Property either in priority to the security constituted by this Deed or otherwise and generally on any terms and for whatever purpose he thinks fit;
- 12.3.7 power to sell, exchange, convert into money and realise any Charged Property by public auction or private contract and generally in any manner and on any terms as he thinks fit;
- 12.3.8 power to sever and sell separately any fixtures from the property containing them without the consent of any Chargor;
- 12.3.9 power to let any Charged Property for any term and at any rent (with or without a premium) as he thinks fit and power to accept a surrender of any lease or tenancy of any Charged Property on any terms which he thinks fit (including the payment of money to a lessee or tenant on a surrender);
- 12.3.10 power to settle, adjust, refer to arbitration, compromise and arrange any claims, accounts, disputes, questions and demands with or by any person who is or claims to be a creditor of any Chargor or relating in any way to any Charged Property;
- 12.3.11 power to bring, prosecute, enforce, defend and abandon all actions, suits and proceedings in relation to any Charged Property or in relation to any Chargor which may seem to him to be expedient;
- 12.3.12 power to give valid receipts for all moneys and execute all assurances and things which may be proper or desirable for realising any Charged Property;
- 12.3.13 power to form a subsidiary of any Chargor and transfer to that subsidiary any Charged Property;

- 12.3.14 power to do all such acts as may seem to him to be necessary or desirable in order to initiate or continue any development of any Charged Property and for these purposes to appoint and to enter into such contracts with such building and engineering contractors or other contractors and professional advisers as he may think fit;
- 12.3.15 power to call any meeting of the members or directors of any Chargor in order to consider such resolutions or other business as he thinks fit;
- 12.3.16 power to exercise in relation to any Charged Property all the powers and rights which he would be capable of exercising if he were the absolute beneficial owner of the same;
- 12.3.17 power to do all other acts and things which he may consider desirable or necessary for realising any Charged Property or incidental or conducive to any of the rights, powers or discretions conferred on a Receiver under or by virtue of this Deed; and
- 12.3.18 power to exercise any of the above powers in the name of or on behalf of the relevant Chargor or in his own name and, in each case, at the cost of that Chargor.

Security Trustee's Powers

- 12.4 To the fullest extent permitted by law, any right, power or discretion conferred by this Deed (either expressly or impliedly) upon a Receiver may after the security constituted by this Deed has become enforceable be exercised by the Security Trustee in relation to any Charged Property, irrespective of whether or not it has taken possession of any Charged Property and without first appointing a Receiver or notwithstanding the appointment of a Receiver.

13. DISCRETIONS AND DELEGATION

Discretion

- 13.1 Any liberty or power which may be exercised or any determination which may be made under this Deed by the Security Trustee or any Receiver may be exercised or made in its absolute and unfettered discretion without any obligation to give reasons.

Delegation

- 13.2 Each of the Security Trustee and any Receiver may delegate (either generally or specifically) by power of attorney or in any other manner to any person any right, power, authority or discretion conferred on it by this Deed (including the power of attorney).
- 13.3 Any such delegation may be made upon such terms and conditions (including the power to sub-delegate) as the Security Trustee or any Receiver (as the case may be) shall think fit.
- 13.4 Neither the Security Trustee nor any Receiver shall be in any way liable or responsible to any Chargor for any loss or liability arising from any act, default, omission or misconduct on the part of any Delegate.

14. POWER OF ATTORNEY

Appointment and Powers

- 14.1 Each Chargor, by way of security, irrevocably appoints the Security Trustee, every Receiver and every Delegate severally and independently to be its attorney and in its name, on its behalf and as its act and deed to execute, deliver and perfect all documents and do all things which the attorney may consider to be required or desirable for:

- 14.1.1 carrying out any obligation imposed on any Chargor by this Deed; and/or
- 14.1.2 enabling the Security Trustee or any Receiver or Delegate to exercise, or delegate the exercise of, any of the rights, powers, authorities and discretions conferred on it or him by or pursuant to this Deed or by law (including the exercise of any right of an absolute legal or beneficial owner of the Charged Property).

Ratification

- 14.2 Each Chargor shall ratify and confirm whatever any attorney does or purports to do pursuant to its appointment under clause 14.1 (*Appointment and Powers*).

15. PROTECTION OF PURCHASERS

Consideration

- 15.1 The receipt of the Security Trustee or any Receiver or Delegate shall be a conclusive discharge to a purchaser and, in making any sale or other disposal of any of any Charged Property (including a disposal by a Receiver or Delegate to any subsidiary of a Chargor) or in making any acquisition in the exercise of their respective powers, the Security Trustee, every Receiver and every Delegate may do so for such consideration, in such manner and on such terms as it or he thinks fit.

Protection of Third Parties

- 15.2 No person (including a purchaser) dealing with the Security Trustee, any Receiver or any Delegate shall be bound to enquire:
 - 15.2.1 whether the Secured Liabilities have become payable; or
 - 15.2.2 whether any power which the Security Trustee or any Receiver or Delegate is purporting to exercise has arisen or become exercisable; or
 - 15.2.3 whether any money remains due under the Finance Documents; or
 - 15.2.4 how any money paid to the Security Trustee or to any Receiver or Delegate is to be applied,or shall be concerned with any propriety, regularity or purpose on the part of the Security Trustee or any Receiver or Delegate in such dealings or in the exercise of any such power.

16. APPLICATION OF PROCEEDS

Order of Application

- 16.1 All moneys received or recovered by the Security Trustee, any Receiver or any Delegate pursuant to this Deed, after the security constituted by this Deed has become enforceable, shall (subject to the claims of any person having prior rights thereto and by way of variation of the provisions of the LPA) be applied in the following order (but without prejudice to the right of the Security Trustee to recover any shortfall from any Chargor):
 - 16.1.1 in or towards payment of all costs, losses, liabilities and expenses of and incidental to the appointment of any Receiver or Delegate and the exercise of any of his rights and powers, including his remuneration, and all outgoings paid by him;
 - 16.1.2 in or towards payment of all other Expenses;

16.1.3 in or towards payment of all other Secured Liabilities or such part of them as is then due and payable to the Noteholders in accordance with the Loan Note Instrument; and

16.1.4 in payment of the surplus (if any) to any Chargor or other person entitled to it.

16.2 Clause 16.1 (*Order of Application*) will override any appropriation made by any Chargor.

New Accounts

16.3 If the Security Trustee (acting in its capacity as trustee for the Noteholders or otherwise) or any other Noteholder at any time receives, or is deemed to have received, notice of any subsequent Security or other interest affecting any Charged Property, the Security Trustee and/or any other relevant Noteholder may open a new account with any Chargor.

16.4 If the Security Trustee and/or any other Noteholder does not open a new account, it shall nevertheless be treated as if it had done so at the time when it received, or was deemed to have received, such notice. As from that time all payments made by or on behalf of any Chargor to the Security Trustee (whether in its capacity as trustee for the Noteholder or otherwise) and/or any other Noteholder shall be credited or be treated as having been credited to the new account of that Chargor and not as having been applied in reduction of the Secured Liabilities.

17. NO LIABILITY AS MORTGAGEE IN POSSESSION

17.1 Neither the Security Trustee nor any Receiver or Delegate shall in any circumstances (either by reason of entering into or taking possession of any Charged Property or for any other reason and whether as mortgagee in possession or on any other basis) be liable to account to any Chargor for anything, except actual receipts, or be liable to any Chargor for any costs, charges, losses, liabilities or expenses arising from the realisation of any Charged Property or from any act, default or omission of the Security Trustee, any Receiver, any Delegate or any of their respective officers, agents or employees in relation to the Charged Property or from any exercise or purported exercise or non-exercise by the Security Trustee or any Receiver or Delegate of any power, authority or discretion provided by or pursuant to this Deed or by law or for any other loss of any nature whatsoever in connection with the Charged Property or the Finance Documents.

18. EFFECTIVENESS OF GUARANTEE AND SECURITY

Continuing Guarantee and Security

18.1 The guarantee and security constituted by this Deed shall remain in full force and effect as a continuing guarantee and security for the Secured Liabilities, unless and until discharged by the Security Trustee, and will extend to the ultimate balance of all the Secured Liabilities, regardless of any intermediate payment or discharge in whole or in part.

Cumulative Rights

18.2 The guarantee and security constituted by this Deed and all rights, powers and remedies of the Security Trustee provided by or pursuant to this Deed or by law shall be cumulative and in addition to, and independent of, any other guarantee or Security now or subsequently held by the Security Trustee or any other Noteholder for the Secured Liabilities or any other obligations or any rights, powers and remedies provided by law. No prior Security held by the Security Trustee (whether in its capacity as trustee or otherwise) or any of the other Noteholders over the whole or any part of the Charged Property shall be superseded by, or supersede or merge into, the security constituted by this Deed.

Reinstatement

- 18.3 If any discharge, release or arrangement (whether in respect of the obligations of another Chargor or any Security for those obligations or otherwise) is made by the Security Trustee or any other Note Holder in whole or in part on the faith of any payment, Security or other disposition which is avoided or must be restored in insolvency, liquidation, administration or otherwise, without limitation, then the liability of each Chargor under, the guarantee and security constituted by this Deed will continue or be reinstated as if the discharge, release or arrangement had not occurred.
- 18.4 The Security Trustee may concede or compromise any claim that any payment or any discharge is liable to avoidance or restoration.

Waiver of Defences

- 18.5 Neither the obligations of any Chargor under this Deed nor the security constituted by this Deed nor the rights, powers and remedies of the Security Trustee provided by or pursuant to this Deed or by law will be affected by an act, omission, matter or thing which, but for this Clause 18.5, would reduce, release or prejudice any of its obligations under this Deed, any of that security or any of those rights, powers and remedies (without limitation and whether or not known to it or the Security Trustee or any other Noteholder) including:
- 18.5.1 any time, waiver or consent granted to, or composition with, another Chargor or any other person;
 - 18.5.2 the release of any person under the terms of any composition or arrangement with any creditor of any person;
 - 18.5.3 the taking, variation, compromise, exchange, renewal or release of, or refusal or neglect to perfect, take up or enforce, any rights against, or Security over assets of, another Chargor or any other person or any non-presentation or non-observance of any formality or other requirement in respect of any instrument or any failure to realise the full value of any Security;
 - 18.5.4 any incapacity or lack of power, authority or legal personality of, or dissolution or change in the members or status of, another Chargor or any other person;
 - 18.5.5 any amendment, novation, supplement, extension (whether of maturity or otherwise), restatement (in each case, however fundamental and of whatsoever nature and whether or not more onerous) or replacement of the Finance Documents or any other document or Security including without limitation any change in the purpose of, any extension of or any increase in, any facility or the addition of any new facility under any Finance Document or other document or Security;
 - 18.5.6 any unenforceability, illegality or invalidity of any obligation of another Chargor or any other person under any Finance Document or any other document or Security; or
 - 18.5.7 any insolvency or similar proceedings.

Guarantor Intent

- 18.6 Without prejudice to the generality of Clause 18.5 (*Waiver of Defences*), each Chargor expressly confirms that it intends that the guarantee constituted by this Deed shall extend from time to time to any (however fundamental) variation, increase, extension or addition of or to any facility or amount made available under any of the Finance Documents and/or any of the

Finance Documents including, without limitation, any of the same which are for the purposes of or in connection with any of the following: business acquisitions of any nature; increasing working capital; enabling investor distributions to be made; carrying out restructurings; refinancing existing facilities; refinancing any other indebtedness; making facilities available to new borrowers; and/or any other variation or extension of the purposes for which any such facility or amount might be made available from time to time, together with any fees, costs and/or expenses associated with any of the foregoing.

Immediate Recourse

- 18.7 Each Chargor waives any right it may have of first requiring the Security Trustee or any other Noteholder to proceed against or enforce any other rights or Security or claim payment from any person or file any proof or claim in any insolvency, administration, winding up or liquidation proceedings relating to any person before claiming from it under this Deed. This waiver applies irrespective of any law or any provision of the Finance Documents to the contrary.

Appropriations

- 18.8 Until all the Secured Liabilities which may be or become payable by the Chargors under or in connection with this Deed have been irrevocably paid, performed and discharged in full, the Security Trustee may:

18.8.1 without affecting the liability of any Chargor under this Deed:

- (a) refrain from applying or enforcing any other moneys, Security or rights held or received by it in respect of the Secured Liabilities; or
- (b) apply and enforce the same in such manner and order as it sees fit (whether against the Secured Liabilities or otherwise) and no Chargor shall be entitled to direct the appropriation of any such moneys, Security or rights or to enjoy the benefit of the same; and/or

18.8.2 hold in a suspense account any moneys received from any Chargor or on account of any Chargor's liability in respect of the Secured Liabilities. Amounts standing to the credit of any such suspense account shall bear interest at a rate considered by the Security Trustee to be a fair market rate.

Deferral of Chargor's Rights

- 18.9 Until the Secured Liabilities, and all amounts which may be or become due and payable in respect of the Secured Liabilities, have been irrevocably paid, performed or discharged in full and unless the Security Trustee otherwise directs, no Chargor shall exercise any rights which it may have by reason of performance by it of its obligations under this Deed or by reason of any amount being payable, or liability arising under, Clause 2.2 (*Guarantee and Indemnity*) to:

18.9.1 be indemnified by another Chargor;

18.9.2 claim any contribution from any other guarantor of another Chargor's obligations under the Finance Documents;

18.9.3 take the benefit (in whole or in part and whether by way of subrogation or otherwise) of any rights of the Security Trustee and/or any of the other Noteholders under the Finance Documents or of any other guarantee or Security taken pursuant to, or in

connection with, the Finance Documents by the Security Trustee or any other Finance Party;

- 18.9.4 bring legal or other proceedings for an order requiring another Chargor to make any payment, or perform any obligation, in respect of which that Chargor has given a guarantee, undertaking or indemnity under Clause 2.2 (*Guarantee and Indemnity*);
 - 18.9.5 exercise any right of set-off against another Chargor; and/or
 - 18.9.6 claim or prove as a creditor of another Chargor or in its estate in competition with any Finance Party.
- 18.10 The rights of the Security Trustee and/or any of the other Noteholders under Clause 18.10 above shall be free from any right of quasi-retainer or other rule or principle of fund ascertainment arising either at law or in equity.
- 18.11 If a Chargor receives any benefit, payment or distribution in relation to any rights referred to in Clause 18.9 above, it shall hold that benefit, payment or distribution to the extent necessary to enable all the Secured Liabilities, and all amounts which may be or become due and payable in respect of the Secured Liabilities, to be repaid in full on trust for the Security Trustee (as trustee for the Noteholders) and shall promptly pay or transfer the same to the Security Trustee or as the Security Trustee may direct for application in accordance with Clause 16.1 (*Order of Application*).

No Security held by Chargors

- 18.12 No Chargor shall take or receive any Security from any other Chargor or any other person in connection with its liability under this Deed. However, if any such Security is so taken or received by any Chargor:
- 18.12.1 it shall be held by that Chargor on trust for the Security Trustee (as trustee for the Noteholders), together with all moneys at any time received or held in respect of such Security, for application in or towards payment and discharge of the Secured Liabilities; and
 - 18.12.2 on demand by the Security Trustee, the relevant Chargor shall promptly transfer, assign or pay to the Security Trustee all Security and all moneys from time to time held on trust by it under this Clause 18.12.

19. PAYMENTS

Manner of Payments

- 19.1 Each Chargor shall make all payments required to be made by it under this Deed available to the Security Trustee (unless a contrary indication appears in this Deed) for value on the due date at the time and in such funds specified by the Security Trustee as being customary at the time for settlement of transactions in the relevant currency in the place of payment. Payment shall be made in the currency in which the relevant indebtedness is denominated or, if different, is expressed to be payable and to such account in the principal financial centre of the country of that currency with such bank as the Security Trustee specifies.

No Set-off by Chargors

- 19.2 All payments to be made by any Chargor under this Deed shall be calculated and be made without (and free and clear of any deduction for) set-off or counterclaim.

Tax Gross-Up

- 19.3 Each Chargor shall make all payments to be made by it under this Deed without any deduction or withholding for or on account of Tax, unless such a deduction or withholding is required by law. Each Chargor, promptly upon becoming aware that it must make such a deduction or withholding (or that there is any change in the rate or the basis of such a deduction or withholding), shall notify the Security Trustee accordingly.
- 19.4 If a deduction or withholding for or on account of Tax from a payment under this Deed is required by law to be made by a Chargor, the amount of the payment due from that Chargor shall be increased to an amount which (after making any such deduction or withholding) leaves an amount equal to the payment which would have been due if no such deduction or withholding had been required.
- 19.5 If a Chargor is required to make a deduction or withholding for or on account of Tax from a payment under this Deed, that Chargor shall make that deduction or withholding and any payment required in connection with that deduction or withholding within the time allowed and in the minimum amount required by law. Within thirty days of making such a deduction or withholding or any payment required in connection with that deduction or withholding, the relevant Chargor shall deliver to the Security Trustee evidence reasonably satisfactory to the Security Trustee that the deduction or withholding has been made or (as applicable) any appropriate payment paid to the relevant taxing authority.

20. EXPENSES, STAMP TAXES AND INDEMNITIES

Expenses

- 20.1 Each Chargor shall promptly on demand pay to the Security Trustee and each Receiver or Delegate the amount of all costs and expenses (including legal fees) reasonably incurred by any of them in connection with the negotiation, preparation, printing, execution and perfection of this Deed and any other documents referred to in this Deed and in responding to, evaluating, negotiating or complying with any request for an amendment, waiver or consent made by that Chargor in relation to this Deed.
- 20.2 Each Chargor shall, promptly on demand, pay to the Security Trustee and each Receiver or Delegate the amount of all costs and expenses (including legal fees) incurred by any of them in connection with the enforcement of, or the defence, protection and/or preservation of, any rights, remedies and powers under this Deed or the security constituted, or intended to be constituted, by this Deed and any proceedings instituted by or against the Security Trustee as a consequence of taking or holding the security constituted, or intended to be constituted, by this Deed or enforcing any such rights, powers and remedies.

Stamp Taxes

- 20.3 Each Chargor shall pay, and shall promptly on demand indemnify the Security Trustee and every Receiver or Delegate against any cost, loss or liability any of them incurs in relation to, all stamp duty, registration and similar Taxes payable in connection with the entry into, performance or enforcement, of this Deed, the security constituted by this Deed or any judgment given in connection with this Deed.

General Indemnity

- 20.4 Each Chargor shall, notwithstanding the release or discharge of all or any part of the security constituted by this Deed, promptly indemnify the Security Trustee and every Receiver and Delegate against any cost, loss, liability or damage incurred by any of them as a result of:
- 20.4.1 any default or delay by that Chargor in the performance of any of the obligations expressed to be assumed by it in this Deed (including, without limitation, any arising from any actual or alleged breach by any person of any Environmental Law or Environmental Permits);
 - 20.4.2 the taking, holding, protection or enforcement of the security constituted by this Deed; and
 - 20.4.3 the exercise of any of the rights, powers, discretions and remedies vested in the Security Trustee and each Receiver and Delegate by this Deed or by law in respect of the Charged Property.

Value Added Tax

- 20.5 Any cost or expense referred to in this clause 20 is exclusive of any VAT that might be chargeable in connection with that cost or expense. If any VAT is so chargeable, it shall be paid by the relevant Chargor at the same time as it pays the relevant cost or expense.

21. CERTIFICATES AND DETERMINATIONS

- 21.1 Any certificate or determination by the Security Trustee of a rate or amount under this Deed is, in the absence of manifest error, conclusive evidence of the matters to which it relates.

22. PARTIAL INVALIDITY

- 22.1 If, at any time, any provision of this Deed is or becomes illegal, invalid or unenforceable in any respect under any law of any jurisdiction, neither the legality, validity or enforceability of the remaining provisions of this Deed nor the legality, validity or enforceability of such provision under the law of any other jurisdiction will in any way be affected or impaired and, if any part of the security constituted, or intended to be constituted, by this Deed is invalid, unenforceable or ineffective for any reason, that shall not affect or impair any other part of the security.

23. REMEDIES AND WAIVERS

- 23.1 No failure to exercise, nor any delay in exercising, on the part of the Security Trustee, any right, remedy or power under this Deed shall operate as a waiver, nor shall any single or partial exercise of any right, remedy or power prevent any further or other exercise or the exercise of any other right, remedy or power. The rights, remedies and powers provided in this Deed are cumulative and not exclusive of any rights, remedies or powers provided by law.
- 23.2 Any amendment, waiver or consent by the Security Trustee under this Deed must be in writing and may be given subject to any conditions thought fit by the Security Trustee. Any waiver or consent shall be effective only in the instance and for the purpose for which it is given.

24. NOTICES

Communications in writing

- 24.1 Any communication to be made under or in connection with this Deed shall be made in writing and, unless otherwise stated, may be made by letter.

Addresses

- 24.2 The address (and the department or officer, if any, for whose attention the communication is to be made) of each Chargor and the Security Trustee for any communication or document to be made or delivered under or in connection with this Deed is that identified with its name below:

Octopus Energy Holdings Limited

Address: 6th Floor, 33 Holborn, London, EC1N 2HT

For the Attention of: Sam Handfield-Jones

Octopus Energy Limited

Address: 6th Floor, 33 Holborn, London, EC1N 2HT

For the Attention of: Sam Handfield-Jones

Octopus Capital Limited

Address: 6th Floor, 33 Holborn, London, EC1N 2HT

For the Attention of: Sam Handfield-Jones

or any substitute address or department or officer as a Chargor may notify to the Security Trustee (or the Security Trustee may notify to the Chargors, if a change is made by the Security Trustee) by not less than five Business Days' notice.

Delivery

- 24.3 Any communication or document made or delivered by one person to another under or in connection with this Deed will only be effective if by way of letter, when it has been left at the relevant address or five business days after being deposited in the post postage prepaid in an envelope addressed to it at that address, and, if a particular department or officer is specified as part of its address details provided under clause 24.2 (*Addresses*), if addressed to that department or officer.
- 24.4 Any communication or document to be made or delivered to the Security Trustee will be effective only when actually received by it and then only if it is expressly marked for the attention of the department or officer identified with the Security Trustee's name in clause 24.2 (*Addresses*) (or any substitute department or officer as it shall specify for this purpose).

25. COUNTERPARTS

- 25.1 This Deed may be executed in any number of counterparts and by each party on separate counterparts. Each counterpart is an original, but all counterparts shall together constitute one and the same instrument. Delivery of a counterpart of this Deed by e-mail attachment or telecopy shall be an effective mode of delivery.

26. ASSIGNMENT

- 26.1 The Security Trustee may assign, charge or transfer all or any of its rights under this Deed without the consent of any Chargor. The Security Trustee may disclose any information about any Chargor and this Deed as the Security Trustee shall consider appropriate to any actual or proposed direct or indirect successor or to any person to whom information is required to be disclosed by any applicable law or regulation.

27. RELEASES

- 27.1 Upon the expiry of the Liability Period (but not otherwise) and subject to clauses 18.3 and 18.4 (*Reinstatement*), the Security Trustee shall, at the request and cost of the relevant Chargor, take whatever action is necessary to release the Charged Property of that Chargor from the security constituted by this Deed.

28. TACKING

- 28.1 Each Noteholder (as that term is defined in the Loan Note Instrument) may subscribe for further Loan Notes in accordance with the Loan Note Instrument.

29. GOVERNING LAW

- 29.1 This Deed and any non-contractual obligations arising out of or in connection with it governed by English law.

IN WITNESS of which this Deed has been entered into as a deed and is intended to be and is delivered on the day and year first before written.

SCHEDULE 1
THE CHARGORS

Name	Company Number
Octopus Energy Holdings Limited	09718624
Octopus Energy Limited	09263424

EXECUTION PAGE

THE CHARGORS

Executed as a deed by)

OCTOPUS ENERGY HOLDINGS)

LIMITED

on being signed by:)

SAM MANDFIELD-JONES)

Director

in the presence of:)

Signature of witness:

Name:

Jessica Farran

Address:

Occupation:

Executed as a deed by)

OCTOPUS ENERGY LIMITED)

on being signed by:)

SAM MANDFIELD-JONES)

Director

in the presence of:)

Signature of witness:

Name:

Jessica Farran

Address:

Occupation:

THE SECURITY TRUSTEE

Executed as a deed by)

OCTOPUS CAPITAL LIMITED)

on being signed by)

Chris Hulbert)

Director

in the presence of:)

Signature of witness: ...

Name:

IAN HANFIELD-JAMES

Address:

Occupation:

File Copy



CERTIFICATE OF INCORPORATION OF A PRIVATE LIMITED COMPANY

Company Number **9263424**

The Registrar of Companies for England and Wales, hereby certifies that

MERCURY ENERGY SUPPLY LIMITED

is this day incorporated under the Companies Act 2006 as a private company, that the company is limited by shares, and the situation of its registered office is in England and Wales

Given at Companies House, Cardiff, on **14th October 2014**



N09263424H

The above information was communicated by electronic means and authenticated by the Registrar of Companies under section 1115 of the Companies Act 2006



Companies House



**THE OFFICIAL SEAL OF THE
REGISTRAR OF COMPANIES**



Companies House

IN01(ef)

Application to register a company

Received for filing in Electronic Format on the: 14/10/2014



X3IGDB8G

*Company Name
in full:* **MERCURY ENERGY SUPPLY LIMITED**

Company Type: **Private limited by shares**

*Situation of Registered
Office:* **England and Wales**

*Proposed Register
Office Address:* **ALLIANCE HOUSE LIBRARY ROAD
CAYTON LE WOODS
CHORLEY
LANCS
ENGLAND
PR6 7EN**

I wish to entirely adopt the following model articles: **Private (Ltd by Shares)**

Proposed Officers

Company Secretary 1

Type: **Person**

Full forename(s): **MR STEVEN PAUL**

Surname: **GOSLING**

Former names:

Service Address: **ALLIANCE HOUSE LIBRARY ROAD
CAYTON LE WOODS
CHORLEY
LANCS
ENGLAND
PR6 7EN**

Consented to Act: **Y** *Date authorised:* **14/10/2014** *Authenticated:* **YES**

Company Director 1

Type: **Person**
Full forename(s): **MR STEVEN PAUL**

Surname: **GOSLING**

Former names:

Service Address: **ALLIANCE HOUSE LIBRARY ROAD
CAYTON LE WOODS
CHORLEY
LANCS
ENGLAND
PR6 7EN**

Country/State Usually Resident: **ENGLAND**

Date of Birth: **26/08/1967** *Nationality:* **BRITISH**
Occupation: **ACCOUNTANT**

Consented to Act: **Y** *Date authorised:* **14/10/2014** *Authenticated:* **YES**

Company Director 2

Type: **Person**
Full forename(s): **MR MATTHEW CHRISTOPHER**

Surname: **HIRST**

Former names:

Service Address: **ALLIANCE HOUSE LIBRARY ROAD
CAYTON LE WOODS
CHORLEY
LANCS
ENGLAND
PR6 7EN**

Country/State Usually Resident: **ENGLAND**

Date of Birth: **28/06/1977** *Nationality:* **BRITISH**

Occupation: **DIRECTOR**

Consented to Act: **Y** *Date authorised:* **14/10/2014** *Authenticated:* **YES**

Statement of Capital (Share Capital)

Class of shares	ORD	<i>Number allotted</i>	1
		<i>Aggregate nominal value</i>	1
<i>Currency</i>	GBP	<i>Amount paid per share</i>	1
		<i>Amount unpaid per share</i>	0

Prescribed particulars

ONE SHARE EQUALS ONE VOTE, EACH HAVING RIGHTS TO DIVIDENDS. SO LONG AS THERE ARE NO RIGHTS ATTACHED TO SHARES ON WINDING-UP ETC OR REDEMPTION RIGHTS.

Statement of Capital (Totals)

<i>Currency</i>	GBP	<i>Total number of shares</i>	1
		<i>Total aggregate nominal value</i>	1

Initial Shareholdings

Name: UTILISOFT LIMITED

Address: ALLIANCE HOUSE LIBRARY ROAD *Class of share:* ORD
CAYTON LE WOODS
CHORLEY

LANCS

Number of shares: 1

ENGLAND

Currency: GBP

PR6 7EN

*Nominal value of
each share:* 1

Amount unpaid: 0

Amount paid: 1

Statement of Compliance

I confirm the requirements of the Companies Act 2006 as to registration have been complied with.

memorandum delivered by an agent for the subscriber(s): **Yes**

Agent's Name: **COMPANIES MADE SIMPLE LTD**

Agent's Address: **145 - 157 ST. JOHN STREET
LONDON
ENGLAND
EC1V 4PY**

Authorisation

Authoriser Designation: **agent**

Authenticated: **Yes**

Agent's Name: **COMPANIES MADE SIMPLE LTD**

Agent's Address: **145 - 157 ST. JOHN STREET
LONDON
ENGLAND
EC1V 4PY**

Companies Act 2006

SCHEDULE 1 COMPANY HAVING A SHARE CAPITAL Memorandum of Association of MERCURY ENERGY SUPPLY LIMITED

Each subscriber to this memorandum of association wishes to form a company under the Companies Act 2006 and agrees to become a member of the company and to take at least one share each.

Subscriber:

Utilisoft Limited

Authorising Person: Steven Gosling

Authentication: Authenticated Electronically

Dated: 14 Oct 2014



Baroness.oftheHouseof+Dawson_126_OO507@gmail.com
25 WOODSTOCK AVENUE County Palatine of Nottingham [NG7 5QP]
7 May 2024

To: MR GREG SEAN JACKSON
Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State
33 Holborn London [EC1N 2HT]
tj.root@octoenergy.com , notices@octoenergy.com

CORPS ID:10434397

FCA /OFGEM ID:FC998064

Your ref}Fraudulent instrument of 4/JAN/24—NO signed CONTRACT/NO BILL/DISCLOSURE CONCEALMENT contra
Statute of Frauds Act and Forgery Act.

L—L

Our Ref}HOD—GREG SEAN JACKSON CEO OCTOPUS ENERGY—HOD126

Dear MR GREG SEAN JACKSON,

Thank you for your Fraudulent instrument of 4/JAN/24—The ‘presence’ of documents which, not complying with the statutes and processes, are the physical and material evidence of fraud and Malfeasance in a registered office. The received instruments of 4/JAN/24 do not provide the data requested under the 7/DEC/23 Subject Access, merely alluding to what you may do with the data or who you may send it to without specifics relative to our property—data, real and other ; &. And they omit the very data instruments that would show we have consented to your taking our data and our being under obligation to your corporation. That is to say there is no contract signed by the 2-party’s or the bills, no “consents”. To claim indebtedness without these fundamental instruments is fraud, including acts Contra the 1677 Statutes of Frauds Act. Your presentation of these instruments as claims of our indebtedness is also material evidence of your expectation that we collude in the frauds. Omitting to provide Disclosure also known as ‘concealment’ is also physical and material evidence of fraud. The profiling, terrorizing, harm and use of our property to cause suffering and harm ; Here we cite from the 2019 case Bates and Others versus Post Office Limited and 2021 case Josephine Hamilton and Others versus Post Office Limited whereby fraud by concealment of data by Post Office Limited was found “no examination of the data, bugs, errors or defects...there was no proof of an actual loss as opposed to an Horizon generated shortage. Even more alarming POL’s own investigator has reported there was no evidence of a theft. We conclude Mrs Hamilton’s prosecution was unfair and an affront to justice.” ; & The judgment in Bates v Post Office Ltd (No.3: Common Issues) [2019] EWHC 606 (QB) delivered by Mr Justice Fraser was highly critical of the Post Office stating that it showed ‘oppressive behaviour’ in response to claimants who had been dismissed for accounting errors they blamed on the Horizon system [§517]. He went on to say that the submissions provided by the Post Office paid ‘no attention to the actual evidence, and seem to have their origin in a parallel world’ [§138], that the Post Office ‘seemed to adopt an extraordinarily narrow approach to relevance, generally along the lines that any evidence that is unfavourable to the Post Office is not relevant’ [§34], feared ‘objective scrutiny of its behaviour’ [§28] and operated with a ‘culture of secrecy and confidentiality’ [§36] ; We would refer you to the 1885 Weller versus Stone case which, drawing on the Statutes 13E of Usury and 27E of Fraud whereby all the Judges of England agreed “yet where there is usury, or fraud, or covin ; they may be averred so to be against any act whatsoever.” ; & And the making of fraudulent claims whilst concealing you acted in terrorem and without authority; & And your having concealed there to be no signed paperwork, no signed books or papers—contract or other and without a contract there can be no Bill—the demanding of money without a Bill is extortion ; &. And Acts contra the 1998 Public Interest Disclosure Act, section 43B (1), In this Part a “qualifying disclosure” means any disclosure of information which, in the reasonable belief of the worker making the disclosure, tends to show one or more of the following—(a)that a criminal offence has been committed, is being committed or is likely to be committed, (b)that a person has failed, is failing or is likely to fail to comply with any legal obligation to which he is subject, (c)that a miscarriage of justice has occurred, is occurring or is likely to occur ; &. And Acts by claiming you have the authority/Power of Attorney which you claim, intitules a disregarding of our right of peaceful enjoyment of our property ; & And this claim of PoA constitutes wet ink signed contractual obligation upon us to you ; &. And, as a corporate entity has no Power of Attorney over the property—real or other—of any of us , the corps OF OCTOPUS, or entity of energy supplier has no authority to create a contract/collateral agreement/obligation/debt/charge/forfeit upon us out of the ether ; &. And, if the corps, OF OCTOPUS, or entity of energy supplier or any entity registered to any sub-office or office of HM GOVERNMENT has exemption from their Statutes to create/force/enforce a contract/obligation upon us then we have requested under DISCLOSURE to see who claims to contract with those entities for us and without our knowledge or purview and to know the details of why these Instruments, books and papers are concealed from us. We also asked for the ledgering of the alleged contract—this is either concealed or, the fact that it does not exist is concealed. Without the Contract and ledgering there is no proof of debt. You have provided twenty three “YOUR ENERGY ACCOUNT” instruments all while referring to “bills” throughout—this is evidence of fraud ; And it is not possible to raise a bill without a valid contract in place. Your





Terms and Conditions [Ts&Cs] are evidence of fraud, for without the two-party signed contract there can be no 'Terms and Conditions' or 'mutual consideration'. This is borne out through your Ts&Cs Point 11.1 where it states "We can change the terms of your Contract at any time" creating as it does an iniquitous benefit for you to our detriment and without our knowledge. Further within your T's&C's it is noted "the contract under which we supply Energy"—no contract is provided, no consents, "the way you choose to pay your bill."—no bill is provided, 4.1 "a contract between us and you deemed to have been formed...when you have not actively agreed to that supply by us.", 7.6.4 Charges we are required to pass on ...by law...or regulation or regulatory authority"—no collateral contract is provided, no consents, 7.6.5 "Any reasonable cost we incur because you failed to meet the Terms of this Contract"—no contract is provided, no consents, without mutual consideration the contract is deemed 'unreasonable' A Court of Equity considers iniquitous those contracts/agreements which appear to be unreasonable or are founded on a fraud—for that would be to decree Iniquity. To bring about by act of force through fear is an act of terrorism. the 1885 Weller versus Stone case which, drawing on the Statutes 13E of Usury and 27E of Fraud whereby all the Judges of England agreed "yet where there is usury, or fraud, or covin ; they may be averred so to be against any act whatsoever." 9.1 "your Contract...jointly and severally liable"—no contract is provided, no consents, 9.2.6 "you should contact us if you believe your bill is incorrect"—no bill is provided, 9.2.7 "credit note has been issued"—no bill is provided, no ledgering no credit bill is provided or is concealed or absence of such is concealed, 9.3.2 "contract"—no contract is provided, no consents, 10.1.11 "charge interest..Bank of England"—without contract or bill is fraud , 12.2.1 "material breach of the Contract"—no contract is provided, no consents, 15.1.4 "We are required to do so by any law, code or agreement."—no contract is provided, no consents, no collateral contracts, including to HM's Government, are provided, 17.3.7 "Take a meter reading at any other time where we are permitted to by Ofgem, such as to provide you with a bill"—no contract is provided, no consents, no collateral contracts are provided, no bill provided. Your corporation was incorporated under the 2006 Companies Act with the instruments you provided not complying with s.44 of that act—the execution of documents. In stark contrast we present your charging instrument of 18/DEC/2015 which shows adherence to the 2006 Companies Act s.44—the execution of documents.

1. We have noted that Mr Greg S Jackson is the claimant.
2. We have noted a claim of a First hand knowledge.
3. We have noted a claim that Mr Greg S Jackson has authority/Power of Attorney to create contract/collateral contract/agreement/obligation upon us without our knowledge or consent.
4. We have noted a claim that Mr Greg S Jackson has authority/Power of Attorney to conceal disclosure that no contract/collateral contract/agreement/obligation upon us is equitable without our signature as one of the two party's to the contract.
5. We have noted a claim that Mr Greg S Jackson has authority/Power of Attorney without the two party's signatures in writing upon contract/collateral contract/obligations/agreement.
6. We have noted a claim Mr Greg S Jackson is exempt from fraudulent and unreasonable contracts being able to "change the terms of your Contract at any time"
7. We have noted a claim of exemption under the 1677, Statues of Frauds Act—upon any Agreement, Or any collateral agreement Or promise Or Contract. unless Agreement, &c. be in Writing and signed.;
8. We have noted a claim of exemption from the UK 1882 Bills of Exchange Act including Section 23—Signature essential to liability..
9. We have noted a claim of exemption from the UK 2006 Companies Act, section 44, the Execution of documents—the getting of our wet-ink consent before any of their private charter ; OR the superior branches of Executive or Legislature Acts or Statutes can be acted upon .
10. We have noted a claim of exemption from the UK 2006 Fraud Act, including section 2—Fraud by false representation ; And section 7—Making or supplying articles for use in frauds .
11. We have noted a claim of exemption from the UK 2006 Fraud Act, including Part 35 section 22 (1)—A person is in breach of this section if he—(a) occupies a position in which he is expected to safeguard, or not to act against, the financial interests of another person, (b) dishonestly abuses that position, and (c) intends, by means of the abuse of that position—(i) to make a gain for himself or another, or (ii) to cause loss to another or to expose another to a risk of loss ;
12. We have noted a claim of exemption from providing the commercial instruments of contract/agreements/collateral contract/Power of Attorney/64m wet ink signed election contracts, the financial instruments of Debtors, Bills, Notes, Liens, Affidavits, ledgering etc ;
13. We have noted a claim of exemption from the getting of wet ink autographed contract/collateral contract/agreement/obligation/Power of Attorney between the parties—and without contract or agreement we become liable or beholden and must subjugate ourselves and be unto a Power of Attorney with your corporation to have to your avail at will our property ; And have exemption from disclosing, the seat, if not us, where you obtain of this authority , this Power of Attorney, enabling concealment of discovery/disclosure to support a claim which would be fraudulent in nature, a recognized fraud by misrepresentation, a known criminal offence that is chargeable. , .





14. We have noted a claim of exemption of 1998 Disclosure Act s.43b—thru placing on record “no evidence” ‘disclosure’—whereby it tends to show—(a)that a criminal offence has been committed, is being committed or is likely to be committed, (b)that a person has failed, is failing or is likely to fail to comply with any legal obligation to which he is subject, (c)that a miscarriage of justice has occurred, is occurring or is likely to occur from where there is no material evidence—to support a claim then the claim would be fraudulent in nature which is recognized fraud by misrepresentation, a known criminal offence that is chargeable.
15. We have noted a claim of exemption in presenting to us any and all valid, presentable material evidence including and all wet-ink signed—contracts/obligations/agreements, Ledgering, indebtedness, debtor account, breakdown of the total amounts, credit scores, all Notes, Bills—and exemption from presenting this material evidence to us for our perusal and rebuttal.
16. We have noted a claim of exemption from the UK GDPR Act, including section 169—(ii)has acted outside, or contrary to, the controller’s lawful instructions.
17. We have noted the concealment/ omissions Under the UK 2018 Data Protection Act—Consents Protection of personal data.
18. We have noted a claim of exemption for all disclosure including for the withholding under the UK 2018 Data Protection Act Subject Access Requests any and all requests for 'evidence' including that 'evidence' not used—including Consents Protection of personal data and provision of personal data taken.
19. We have noted a claim of exemption from the UK 2006 Fraud Act, including section 2-Failing to disclose information ;
20. We have noted a claim of exemption from providing, equal contract or agreement consideration, under their private charter terms or articles.
21. We have noted a claim of exemption from the UK 2000 Terrorism Act for the repeated threats demanding our property including payment for a proscribed organization and, for the threats of the taking of our property including by the use of enforcers.
22. We have noted a claim of exemption from the getting of the wet-ink consent of the 64.1 million 'governed' before any of your governing corps/entity of HM Government plc Corporation/state private charter, Acts or Statutes can be acted upon

It is a Maxim of the rule of law that he who makes a claim also carries the obligation by way of the fact that a claim has been made to present as material evidence, the material and factual substance of that claim. We would note that where there is no material evidence to support a claim then the claim would be fraudulent in nature which is recognized fraud by misrepresentation, a known criminal offence that is chargeable.

We would also draw to the attention of MR GREG SEAN JACKSON IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State the Baron David Ward Affidavit, served upon every MP in the office of HM Parliament Corporation/State. This is a formal and legal process where, when left rebutted on a point by point basis leads to a formal, legal agreement in fact and law and we shall refer to it in detail from hereonin. The self intituled MPs who are employees of a private corporation/Entity, were served the Affidavit again—in October 2022—without rebuttal. The link to the public notices is given here: [MP_SECURITISED_LIENS](#) And <https://tinyurl.com/BIT-LY-LINKS-LIENS-UptoDate>

We have also noted and it is fact, that a Chief Executive Officer is culpable and liable for the activities of the Officers—which includes Bethany, Data Protection Team, where we have no examination of the data, instruments, power of attorney for trespasses against us And refusal to answer disclosure/discovery and interfere with justice—of the corporation(s)/Entity under his remit which is why we write to you GREG S. JACKSON.

There is established a clear and noted obligation of service for MR GREG SEAN JACKSON IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State to provide the valid and presentable material evidence to support the claims being made.

1. We have noted a claim of authority under UK Public General Acts, that is you claim our consent—for which the mandatory requirement for HM Government Corporation/State before any Acts and statutes can be legally acted upon being the getting of the wet-ink consents of the 64.1 million 'governed'—and that you had these consents as presentable, material fact before you brought your charges or made your claims. MR GREG SEAN JACKSON IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State has an obligation of service in the position of Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State to provide the valid, presentable material evidence to support this claim.

From Exhibit (B) —Case Authority WI-05257F David Ward V Warrington Borough Council, 30thDay of May 2013—a case at court tribunal undertaken by recognised due process.

It is evident David Ward did not challenge the PCN or the traffic Management Act 2004 section 82 but the presumption of the consent of the governed.

What is a mandatory requirement before the Acts and statutes can be legally acted upon is for the consent of the governed to be valid and that it can be presented as material fact before any charges or claims can be brought.

It is clear from this case authority undertaken by due process that}(1) It is illegal to act upon any of the Acts or statutes without the consent of the governed and that consent is presentable as material physical evidence of that





consent. (2) Where the Acts and statutes are acted upon then this is illegal and a criminal action by the Corporation/State/Entity. (3) The criminal action is Malfeasance in a public office and fraud. (4) Where there is no consent of the governed on and for the public record, then there is no governed and where there is no governed then there is no government. The one cannot exist without the other—they are mutually exclusive. (5) As this criminal activity is observed to be standard practice for nearly 800 years, shows clear observable evidence to the fact that LAW is a presumption and there is no such thing as LAW. See Exhibit (A) the twelve presumptions of law.

Without this legal consent—the circa 64.1 million wet ink signed consents of the Governed—there is no legal authority under which there is a recognised officer of the Private Corporation/State/Entity that carries the necessary legal authority to create culpability, liability or agreement or otherwise enforce private corporate policy.

We refer you to the Baron David Ward un rebutted Affidavit Exhibit A—Formal challenge to the twelve presumptions of law. We have challenged all the Presumptions of Law. Securitized liens, lawful instruments, have been obtained, without most importantly rebuttal from any so-called 'elected' MP and to this day not one piece of evidence of Corporate/State authority of Us has been presented.

We repeat, We formally challenge all presumptions of law and as we have formally challenged all the twelve presumptions of law then the presumption of law formally has no substance in material FACT. We will recognise the rule of law, when and only when there is the material evidence of that assumed rule of law has some material evidence of substance in presentable material fact.

We refer you to Exhibit C of the David Ward Affidavit where Chandran Kukathas PhD details over 7 pages that the State is a private corporation/entity and specifically a legal embodiment by act of registration; And of no material substance. Fraud however has been defined as a criminal act with full knowledge and intent to engage in criminal behaviour to benefit one, at the expense of another. To bring about by an act of force, support of this fraud is also recognised as an act of terrorism.

From Exhibit (C)—The Material evidence of the FACTS.

In order to interfere with justice it is shown that, with knowledge, of the Fraud, trespass and acts of violence upon our property real and corporeal is accomplished with the aid of others who become as culpable including thro the use of HM Courts and Tribunal Services as private prosecutors.

In full knowledge of the process of the court and a deliberate abuse of that process—to have HMCTS act as a personal private prosecution service, cheaper than the Royal Courts constitutes ABUSE of PROCESS for there is a malicious employment of the process of the court. We cite the 2014 Lord Sumption Crawford Adjusters v Sagico General Insurance, 1838 GRAINGER v. HILL and here draw to the attention “but if the bailiff touch the person it is an arrest” akin to the POL cases, whereby 2019, 2021 and since 1680s Post Office Limited, a corps, claims to have authority over people to investigate them, arrest them and prosecute them and then wrest from those said prosecuted as much and any property of their choosing POL wishes and 1861 GILDING v EYRE “has maliciously employed the process of the court”. This abuse applies to the 1677 Statutes of Frauds Act and the failure to disclose or by omission, the concealment for unjust enrichment.

It has been confirmed by the Rt. Hon. Lord Chief Justice Sir Jack Beatson FBA, on and for the record that} (1) Whilst there is no material and physical evidence presented to the fact that the governed have given their consent then the office of the Judiciary has no greater authority than the manageress of McDonalds being as the office of the Judiciary is a sub office of a legal embodiment by an act of registration where this act of registration creates nothing of physical material substance—which is also fraud by default. Any objection to this observation of fact should be taken up with the Rt. Hon. Lord Chief Justice Sir Jack Beatson FBA, whereupon the Rt. Hon. Lord Chief Justice Sir Jack Beatson FBA would then have to present the material and physical evidence that the governed have given their consents.

<https://www.judiciary.uk/wp-content/uploads/JCO/Documents/Speeches/beatsonj040608.pdf>

Without provision of evidence to the contrary, the office of the Judiciary acts as nothing more than a private commercial and fraudulent enterprise built upon fraud and criminal intent. This is by no stretch of the imagination a valid government by the people for the people as it is by default a private corporation/entity providing a judicial service for profit and gain but where there is also and always a conflict of interests—where there is a conflict of interests between the needs of the people and the state (Corporate/Entity) Policy which has no obligation to the people or even the needs and well-being of corporation staff. This has been confirmed by Chandran Kukathas of the London School of Economics and state office intituled the Department of Government. https://www.academia.edu/12226898/A_Definition_of_the_State

Disagreements arising from 'contracts' are non-judicial and outside the scope of the private courts of the judiciary—these being the sub-offices of the private Corporation/State of HM Government plc as shown above. As has been confirmed by the esteemed Rt. Hon. Lord Chief Justice Sir Jack Beatson FBA (see above) the office of the Judiciary (Court) is a sub office of a Private Limited corporation (HM Parliaments & Governments PLC) and that such an officer of a Private corporation court does not have the status to give or grant a Court Order outside of that Private corporation Office. The use of HMCTS as private prosecutors, shews those 'acts' fall





in to the 2006 Fraud Act Part 35, section 3, as

Mr Justice Fraser records within the Post Office judgment 'that the submissions provided by the Post Office paid 'no attention to the actual evidence, and seem to have their origin in a parallel world' [§138], that the Post Office 'seemed to adopt an extraordinarily narrow approach to relevance, generally along the lines that any evidence that is unfavourable to the Post Office is not relevant' [§34],

To bring about by an act of force, support of this fraud is also recognised as an act of terrorism Under the UK 2000 Terrorism Act, s.1,5—action taken for the benefit of a proscribed organisation It is evident from the omissions that there is no wet-ink signed contract between 'the parties' including between the Corporation/State of HM Government plc and OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State or Us or any of us.

We refer you to the Baron David Ward unrebutted Affidavit Exhibit A—Formal challenge to the twelve presumptions of law. We have challenged all the Presumptions of Law. Securitized liens, lawful instruments, have been obtained, without most importantly rebuttal from any so-called 'elected' MP and to this day not one piece of evidence of Corporate/State authority of Us has been presented.

We repeat, We formally challenge all presumptions of law and as we have formally challenged all the twelve presumptions of law then the presumption of law formally has no substance in material FACT.

We will recognise the rule of law, when and only when there is the material evidence of that assumed rule of law has some material evidence of substance in presentable material fact.

2. We have noted a claim of exemption under UK Public General Acts—from the UK 1882 Bills of Exchange Act Section 23—Signature essential to liability and that you had these exemptions as presentable, material fact before you extorted our property, brought your charges or made your claims MR GREG SEAN JACKSON IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State has an obligation of service in the position of Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State to provide the valid, presentable material evidence to support this claim.

MR GREG SEAN JACKSON IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State has made claim/demand of obligation/indebtedness/for payment, but has not presented Us with a valid and legal Bill—predicated upon a pre existing commercial contract or collateral contract or any agreement—which is recognised under the Bills of exchange act of 1882. Because there is no commercial arrangement in place under which to raise a Bill for a bill to arise is also a direct violation of the 1882 Bills of Exchange Act. Additionally without the wet ink signed commercial arrangement and Bill presented, this Act would also be a contravention of the UK 2006 Fraud Act and to demand payment—under threats—contravenes the UK 2000 Terrorism Act. We are not in the habit of knowingly conspiring to fraud and/or terrorism. See Bills of exchange act of 1882. <http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/Vict/45-46/61>.

A claim of 'contractual obligations being a non-judicial matter and UTTERING' as act(s) contra the 1861 Forgery Act—Whosoever, without lawful authority or excuse (the proof whereof shall lie on the party accused), shall in the name of any other person acknowledge any recognizance or bail, or any cognovit, actionem, or judgment, or any deed or other instrument, before any court, judge, or other person lawfully authorized in that behalf, shall be guilty of felony.

And to further underline the malfeasance being demonstrated by the taking of our property—intangible and real to ensure subjugation and to extort us, we cite the 1677 Statutes of Fraud Act, Sir John Stuart and we cite Lord Denning 1956 Lazarus v. Beazley while again referring you to the Facts including the } UK 2006 Fraud Act, Part 35, section 2—FRAUD by ABUSE of POSITION (1) A person is in breach of this section if he—(a) occupies a position in which he is expected to safeguard, or not to act against, the financial interests of another person, (b) dishonestly abuses that position, and (c) intends, by means of the abuse of that position—(i) to make a gain for himself or another, or (ii) to cause loss to another or to expose another to a risk of loss. (2) A person may be regarded as having abused his position even though his conduct consisted of an omission rather than an act.

Fraud is a deliberate action to defraud where the victim of the crime is unaware having no knowledge of a situation or fact. This crime carries a penalty of incarceration for 7 to 10 years and the latter, where there is multiple instances of. 64.1 million people are subject to this crime everyday as it is now commonplace and is carried out by the largest and most ruthless criminal company in this country. This same company is also a public office with the enforcement to execute this crime which is inclusive of but not limited to:- The office of the police, The office of the Judiciary, Local government and central government. Independent Bailiff Companies which are licensed by the same company.

3. We have noted a claim of exemption under UK Public General Acts—from the UK 2006 Companies Act, including section 44, the Execution of documents. MR GREG SEAN JACKSON IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State has an obligation of service in the position of Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State to provide the valid, presentable material evidence





to support this claim.

From Exhibit (D) of the Affidavit and Statement of Fact for Case Authority WI-05257F. Thirty^d of May 2013 it is evident there is due process for the execution of legal and commercial documents. Where these processes are not followed then the very presence of a document which does not comply with these processes, is, in and of itself, the physical and material evidence of Malfeasance in a public office and fraud. We would point your attention to the FACTs that a corporation must execute documents legally and failure to do so renders the documents fraudulent and void (citation} 1885 Weller versus Stone case which, drawing on the Statutes 13E of Usury and 27E of Fraud whereby all the Judges of England agreed “yet where there is usury, or fraud, or covin ; they may be averred so to be against any act whatsoever.”)—(1) Under the law of England and Wales or Northern Ireland a document is executed by a company—(a) by the affixing of its common seal, or (b) by signature in accordance with the following provisions. (2) A document is validly executed by a company if it is signed on behalf of the company— (a) by two authorised signatories, or (b) by a director of the company in the presence of a witness who attests the signature. (4) A document signed in accordance with subsection (2) and expressed in whatever words, to be executed by the company, has the same effect as if executed under the common seal of the company. The legal effect of the statute is that documents and deeds must be signed on behalf of the company by a director in the presence of a witness, or by two authorised signatories. Without adherence to these provisions no contracts can be considered duly executed by a company and their terms are therefore unenforceable.

We now refer you to Exhibit (A) of the Affidavit which defines that profiteering contravenes the UK 2006 Fraud Act. We should also point out to you that it is a direct contravention of the UK 2000 Terrorism Act, s.15 Fund raising is an offence if a person invites another to provide money or other property and intends that it should be used for the purposes of terrorism— see above. Insisting or demanding payment without a pre existing commercial arrangement which is based on presentable fact in the form of a commercial agreement is an act of deception. Payment is a commercial activity. We are not in the habit of knowingly conspiring to fraud or knowingly funding terrorism. This action would also create a liability against us.

4. We have noted a claim of exemption from 1677 Statutes of Frauds Act by the failure to disclose, or by omission, the concealment of ledgering/contract/collateral contract/obligation/agreement, bills, financial instrument, authority to trade on our data for unjust enrichment . MR GREG SEAN JACKSON IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State has an obligation of service in the position of Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State to provide the valid, presentable material evidence to support this claim.

We refer you to Exhibit C of the David Ward Affidavit where under the —Including the taking of Our property of data and using it as your own without Our knowledge or consent, the threats against Our property and the further claims to benefit a private Corporation/State and extorting money with neither signature nor contract is an act of force in terrorem contra UK 2000 Terrorism Act, s.1,5—action taken for the benefit of a proscribed organisation

We again cite the 1885 Weller versus Stone case which, drawing on the Statutes 13E of Usury and 27E of Fraud whereby all the Judges of England agreed “yet where there is usury, or fraud, or covin ; they may be averred so to be against any act whatsoever.”

We cite 2019 Bates and Others versus Post Office Limited and 2021 Josephine Hamilton and Others versus Post Office Limited whereby fraud by concealment of data was proved ;

We cite Lord Denning 1954, Lazarus v. Beasley “Fraud unravels everything” ; And we cite Sir John Stuart ‘when tender has been made the mortgagee has not entitlement to proceed to sale ‘ ; Continuing, in 1982 1 KB 245, 2 GIFF. 99 Where a mortgagee, after tender of his principal and interest... the Court set the sale aside against him and a person who had bought with knowledge of the tender, 2. A purchaser who buys with knowledge of circumstances sufficient against the mortgagee to invalidate the sale, becomes a party to the transaction and is not protected by the proviso that the purchaser need make no inquiry. 3. Where the costs are unascertained and the security ample, a mortgagee, after a tender of principal and interest, is not entitled to proceed with the sale ; And the 1677 Statute of Frauds Act—176 Anno vicefimo nono ... or any uncertain Interest of, in, to, or out of any Messuages, Manors, Lands, Tenements or hereditaments made or created by Libery and Seisin onely, or by parole, and not put in Writing, and Signed by the parties to making or creating the same, or their Agents thereunto lawfully authorized by Writing, shall have the force and effect of Leases, or Estates at Will only, and shall not either in Law or Equity be deemed or taken to have any other or greater force or effect ; We draw to your attention to the detail of the 11 March 2019 thro 2 July 2019 case reference HQ16X01238, HQ17X02637 and HQ17X04248 in the high court before Mr Justice Fraser of ‘Bates and Others versus Post Office Limited’[POL]—a company wholly owned by HM’s Government—wherein despite the fraud and circumvention of POL to conceal discovery, Bates and Others won their case. Mr Stuart Wentworth QC in questioning Mr. Alan Bates cites an “information sheet”—which is not a contract of reciprocity—that ‘postmaster responsible for losses’. Questioning Mrs Pam Stubbs she is referred by Mr Wentworth to section 19 paragraph 4 of a POL contract. Further in the 23 April 2021 appeal in the high court of ‘Josephine Hamilton and Others’ Mr Justice said in quashing their convictions for the above ‘fraud and circumvention of POL to conceal discovery’ “there was no examination of the data, bugs, errors or defects...there was no proof of an actual loss as opposed to an Horizon generated shortage. Even more alarming POL’s own investigator has reported there was no evidence of a theft. We conclude Mrs Hamilton’s prosecution was unfair and an affront to justice.” ; & The judgment in Bates v Post Office Ltd (No.3: Common Issues) [2019] EWHC 606 (QB) delivered by Mr Justice Fraser was highly critical of





the Post Office stating that it showed

‘oppressive behaviour’ in response to claimants who had been dismissed for accounting errors they blamed on the Horizon system [§517]. He went on to say that the submissions provided by the Post Office paid ‘no attention to the actual evidence, and seem to have their origin in a parallel world’ [§138], that the Post Office ‘seemed to adopt an extraordinarily narrow approach to relevance, generally along the lines that any evidence that is unfavourable to the Post Office is not relevant’ [§34], feared ‘objective scrutiny of its behaviour’ [§28] and operated with a ‘culture of secrecy and confidentiality’ [§36] ; Further after the above cases and long after the acts of POL against the sub post masters, it was brought to the attention of Lord James Arbuthnot and the POL Forensic accountant, that within an independent legal advice report commissioned by POL in the Summer of 2013—and concealed by POL—that POL were in full knowledge, and not only failed to disclose but continued their acts, along the lines of the unsafe convictions already given to sub post masters and to those currently being pursued by POL. We cite Lord Arbuthnot ‘POL lied to and were in contempt’. As stated above, it should also be kept to the forefront of mind that POL being owned by HM Government and the judiciary being one sub-office of HM Government that HM’s Government was fully cognizant with these matters throughout. Equally for those whose property including real property was wrested from them on the claims and non disclosures—that is the concealment—[for non disclosure seems anodyne] of POL, HM Land Registry is also owned by HM Government, and a party to the fraud. Citing where Charles A Nunn CEO of Lloyds bank, act contra, the 1677 Statutes of Frauds act including when in 1721 the Lord Chancellor dismissed the Bill, it appearing that as the Agreement was made in Writing, it was unequal and against Reason. And 1720 Lord Macclesfield ‘Court of Equity will not decree execution of articles where they appear to be unreasonable or are founded on a fraud—for that would be to decree Iniquity. Sir John Stuart and Lord Denning 1956 [Lazarus vs. Beasley] "No court in this land will allow a person to keep an advantage which he has obtained by fraud. No judgment of a court, no Order of a Minister can be allowed to stand if it has been obtained by Fraud, fraud unravels everything..." And now we add the citation of Bates and Others vs. Post Office Limited to an already large body of court case material. The fraud of concealment is of no less significance than the fraud of presenting false instruments. That we have brought this to your attention, including the refusal of Greg S Jackson CEO OCTOPUS ENERGY contra 2018 GDPR Act and the controllers law is, it seems a further reason to act against us contra the 2010 Equality act for, as in the cases of POL, Greg S Jackson CEO OCTOPUS ENERGY should they be able to substantiate their claims, would have no reason for concealment or for the preventing of their further acts of fraud should they reveal, by disclosure, an absence of any lawful right to act against us and our property—this includes the claims of Greg S Jackson CEO OCTOPUS ENERGY that they and their agents have a right to use force against our corporeal property and our real property. A Court of Equity considers iniquitous those contracts/agreements which appear to be unreasonable or are founded on a fraud—for that would be to decree Iniquity. To bring about by act of force through fear is an act of terrorism.

Referencing the UK 2006 Fraud Act, Part 35, section 2—FALSE REPRESENTATION A representation is false if—(a) it is untrue or misleading, and (b)the person making it knows that it is, or might be, untrue or misleading. (3)“Representation” means any representation as to fact or law, including a representation as to the state of mind of—(a)the person making the representation, or (b)any other person.

We refer you to Exhibit C of the David Ward Affidavit where under the —Including the taking of Our property of data and using it as your own without Our knowledge or consent, the threats against Our property and the further claims to benefit a private Corporation/State and extorting money with neither signature nor contract is an act of force *in terrorem*.

5. We have noted a claim of exemption under UK Public General Acts—from the UK 2006 Fraud Act, including section 4- Abuse of position. MR GREG SEAN JACKSON IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State has an obligation of service in the position of Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State to provide the valid, presentable material evidence to support this claim.
6. We have noted a claim of exemption under UK Public General Acts—from the UK 2006 Fraud Act, including section 2- Failing to disclose information MR GREG SEAN JACKSON IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State has an obligation of service in the position of Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State to provide the valid, presentable material evidence to support this claim.

By failing to disclose all information including that which shews facts contra to your claims, these acts, for omission is still an act, brings in to force the act of refusal to complete disclosure/discovery—Acts with knowledge to interfere with justice contra, inc., the 1998 Public Interest Disclosure Act, section 43B (1), In this Part a “qualifying disclosure” means any disclosure of information which, in the reasonable belief of the worker making the disclosure, tends to show one or more of the following—(a)that a criminal offence has been committed, is being committed or is likely to be committed, (b)that a person has failed, is failing or is likely to fail to comply with any legal obligation to which he is subject, (c)that a miscarriage of justice has occurred, is occurring or is likely to occur ;

Under UK 2006 Fraud Act, Part 35, section 3—Fraud by failing to disclose information A person is





in breach of this section if he—(a)

dishonestly fails to disclose to another person information which he is under a legal duty to disclose, and (b) intends, by failing to disclose the information—(i) to make a gain for himself or another, or (ii) to cause loss to another or to expose another to a risk of loss.

We cite Lord Denning, Lord Chief Justice '1956, *Lazarus v Beasley*' "No court in this land will allow a person to keep an advantage which he has obtained by fraud. No judgment of a Court, no Order of a Minister can be allowed to stand if it has been obtained by Fraud, Fraud unravels everything."

We would again refer you to the 1885 *Weller versus Stone* case which, drawing on the Statutes 13E of Usury and 27E of Fraud whereby all the Judges of England agreed "yet where there is usury, or fraud, or covin ; they may be averred so to be against any act whatsoever." We cite 2019 *Bates and Others versus Post Office Limited* and 2021 *Josephine Hamilton and Others versus Post Office Limited* whereby fraud by concealment of data was found ; Sir John Stuart and we cite Lord Denning 1954, *Lazarus v. Beasley* "Fraud unravels everything" ; And we cite Sir John Stuart 'when tender has been made the mortgagee has not entitlement to proceed to sale ' ; Continuing in 1982 1 KB 245, 2 GIFF. 99 Where a mortgagee, after tender of his principal and interest... the Court set the sale aside against him and a person who had bought with knowledge of the tender.

We draw to your attention the 11 March 2019 thro 2 July 2019 case reference HQ16X01238, HQ17X02637 and HQ17X04248 in the high court before Mr Justice Fraser of '*Bates and Others versus Post Office Limited*' [POL]—a company wholly owned by HM Government—wherein despite the fraud and circumvention of POL to discovery, *Bates and Others* were successful in exposing the fraud and concealment and usury and iniquitous contracts to the wider gaze. Mr Stuart Wentworth QC in questioning Mr. Alan Bates cites an information sheet—which is not a contract of reciprocity—that 'postmaster responsible for losses'. Questioning Mrs Pam Stubbs she is referred by Mr Wentworth to section 19 paragraph 4 of an instrument, purporting to be a POL contract. Further in the 23 April 2021 appeal in the high court of '*Josephine Hamilton and Others*' Mr Justice said in quashing their convictions for the above 'fraud and circumvention of POL to discovery' "there was no examination of the data, bugs, errors or defects...there was no proof of an actual loss as opposed to an Horizon generated shortage. Even more alarming POL's own investigator has reported there was no evidence of a theft. We conclude Mrs Hamilton's prosecution was unfair and an affront to justice."

And we again refer you to the points in number four above.

7. We have noted a claim of exemption from the 1689 Bill of Rights—That all grants and promises of fines and forfeitures of a particular person before conviction are illegal and void . MR GREG SEAN JACKSON IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State has an obligation of service in the position of Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State to provide the valid, presentable material evidence to support this claim.
8. We have noted a claim that the statement by Sir Jack Beatson FBA, at that time the head of the judiciary, was false in his address to Nottingham University, the private corporations/states of the Executive and legislature are superior to the judiciary by way of re-examination of the relationship MR GREG SEAN JACKSON IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State has an obligation of service in the position of Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State to provide the valid, presentable material evidence to support this claim. We have noted a claim contra the statement made by Chandran Kukathas in positing that HM Government plc is an entity, a Corporation/State. MR GREG SEAN JACKSON IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State has an obligation of service in the position of Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State to provide the valid, presentable material evidence to support this claim.
9. We have noted a claim of exemption under UK Public General Acts—from the UK 2000 Terrorism Act, including section 1—action taken for the benefit of a proscribed organisation. MR GREG SEAN JACKSON IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State has an obligation of service in the position of Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State to provide the valid, presentable material evidence to support this claim.

In order to interfere with justice it is shown that, with knowledge, of the Fraud, trespass and acts of violence upon our property real and corporeal is accomplished with the aid of others who become as culpable including thro the use of HM Courts and Tribunal Services as private prosecutors. In full knowledge of the process of the court and a deliberate abuse of that process—to have HMCTS act as a personal private prosecution service, cheaper than the Royal Courts constitutes ABUSE of PROCESS for there is a malicious employment of the process of the court.





We cite the 2014 Lord Sumption Crawford

Adjusters v Sagicor General Insurance, 1838 GRAINGER v. HILL and here draw to the attention “but if the bailiff touch the person it is an arrest” akin to the POL cases, whereby 2019, 2021 and since 1680s Post Office Limited, a corps, claims to have authority over people to investigate them, arrest them and prosecute them and then wrest from those said prosecuted as much and any property of their choosing POL wishes; &. And 1861 GILDING v EYRE “has maliciously employed the process of the court”.

We would draw attention to the Contempt of Court Reporting Restriction, "Civil contempt refers to conduct which is not in itself a crime, but which is punishable by the court in order to ensure that its orders are observed. Civil contempt is usually raised by one of the two parties to the proceedings. Although the penalty for civil contempt contains a punitive element, its primary purpose is coercion of compliance. We would add that the use of force in a civil matter is a wilful and belligerent act of terrorism and the above Contempt of Court Reporting Restrictions further prevent a judge from holding us in contempt in a civil matter.

10. We have noted a claim of right to act in bias to the detriment of us. MR GREG SEAN JACKSON IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State has an obligation of service in the position of Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State to provide the valid, presentable material evidence to support this claim.

Chandran Kukathas PhD details over 7 pages that the State is a private corporation/entity and specifically a legal embodiment by act of registration; And of no material substance.

Fraud however has been defined as a criminal act with full knowledge and intent to engage in criminal behaviour to benefit one, at the expense of another. To bring about by an act of force, support of this fraud is also recognised as an act of terrorism.

Whilst we bring these your acts contra the Statutes of your corporation and the corporation/state of HM Government to your attention We would draw your attention to Exhibit (G) of the Affidavit of Truth and statement of Fact—A castle doctrine (also known as a castle law or a defence of habitation law) is a legal doctrine that designates a person's abode (or any legally-occupied place [e.g., a vehicle or workplace]) as a place in which that person has certain protections and immunities permitting him or her, in certain circumstances, to use force (up to and including deadly force) to defend themselves against an intruder, free from legal responsibility/prosecution for the consequences of the force used.[1] Typically deadly force is considered justified, and a defence of justifiable homicide applicable, in cases "when the actor reasonably fears imminent peril of death or serious bodily harm to him or herself or another".

Failure to provide the valid, presentable material evidence to support the above listed claims made by MR GREG SEAN JACKSON IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State in the next seven (7) days will enter MR GREG SEAN JACKSON IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State in to a lasting and binding tacit agreement through acquiescence to the following effect:}

1. Whereby there is now a formal and binding agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that the claim of authority under UK Public General Acts, that is you claim our consent—for which the mandatory requirement for HM Government Corporation/State before any Acts and statutes can be legally acted upon being the getting of the wet-ink consents of the 64.1 million 'governed'—and that you had these consents as presentable, material fact before you brought your charges or made your claims is fraudulent in nature which is also wilful and premeditated fraud by misrepresentation, which carries a term of incarceration of seven to ten years and the latter where there is multiple instances of, And there is a formal agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} has formally agreed to be bound for commercial charges to the same degree.
2. Whereby there is now a formal and binding agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that the above wilful and premeditated agreed fraud by misrepresentation is also wilful and premeditated Malfesance in the office which carries a term of incarceration of twenty five years and the latter where there is multiple instances of; And that there is a formal agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} will stand for commercial charges to the same degree.
3. Whereby there is now a formal and binding agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that the claim of exemption under UK Public General Acts—from the UK 1882 Bills of Exchange Act Section 23—Signature essential to liability and that you had these exemptions as presentable, material fact before you extorted our property, brought your charges or made your claims is fraudulent in nature which is also wilful and premeditated fraud by misrepresentation, which carries a term of incarceration of seven to ten years and the latter where there is multiple instances of, and there is a formal agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer





for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited

Corporation/State that MR GREG SEAN JACKSON

{CLAIMANT} has formally agreed to be bound for commercial charges to the same degree.

4. Whereby there is now a formal and binding agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that the above wilful and premeditated agreed fraud by misrepresentation is also wilful and premeditated Malfeasance in the office which carries a term of incarceration of twenty five years and the latter where there is multiple instances of; And that there is a formal agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} will stand for commercial charges to the same degree.
5. Whereby there is now a formal and binding agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that the claim of exemption under UK Public General Acts—from the UK 2006 Companies Act, including section 44, the Execution of documents is fraudulent in nature which is also wilful and premeditated fraud by misrepresentation, which carries a term of incarceration of seven to ten years and the latter where there is multiple instances of, and there is a formal agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} has formally agreed to be bound for commercial charges to the same degree.
6. Whereby there is now a formal and binding agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that the above wilful and premeditated agreed fraud by misrepresentation is also wilful and premeditated Malfeasance in the office which carries a term of incarceration of twenty five years and the latter where there is multiple instances of; And that there is a formal agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} will stand for commercial charges to the same degree.
7. Whereby there is now a formal and binding agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that the claim of exemption from 1677 Statutes of Frauds Act by the failure to disclose, or by omission, the concealment of ledgering/contract/collateral contract/obligation/agreement, bills, financial instrument, authority to trade on our data for unjust enrichment is fraudulent in nature which is also wilful and premeditated fraud by misrepresentation, which carries a term of incarceration of seven to ten years and the latter where there is multiple instances of, and there is a formal agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} has formally agreed to be bound for commercial charges to the same degree.
8. Whereby there is now a formal and binding agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that the above wilful and premeditated agreed fraud by misrepresentation is also wilful and premeditated Malfeasance in the office which carries a term of incarceration of twenty five years and the latter where there is multiple instances of; And that there is a formal agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} will stand for commercial charges to the same degree.
9. Whereby there is now a formal and binding agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that the claim of exemption under UK Public General Acts—from the UK 2006 Fraud Act, including section 4-Abuse of position is fraudulent in nature which is also wilful and premeditated fraud by misrepresentation, which carries a term of incarceration of seven to ten years and the latter where there is multiple instances of, and there is a formal agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} has formally agreed to be bound for commercial charges to the same degree.
10. Whereby there is now a formal and binding agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that the above wilful and premeditated agreed fraud by misrepresentation is also wilful and premeditated Malfeasance in the office which carries a term of incarceration of twenty five years and the latter where there is multiple instances of; And that there is a formal agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} will stand for commercial charges to the same degree.
11. Whereby there is now a formal and binding agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that the claim of exemption under UK Public General Acts—from the UK 2006 Fraud Act, including section 2-Failing to disclose information is fraudulent in nature which is also wilful and premeditated fraud by misrepresentation, which carries a term of incarceration of seven to ten years and the latter where there is multiple instances of, and there is a formal agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} has formally agreed to be bound for commercial charges to the same degree.





12. Whereby there is now a formal and binding agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that the above wilful and premeditated agreed fraud by misrepresentation is also wilful and premeditated Malfeasance in the office which carries a term of incarceration of twenty five years and the latter where there is multiple instances of; And that there is a formal agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} will stand for commercial charges to the same degree.
13. Whereby there is now a formal and binding agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that the claim of exemption from the 1689 Bill of Rights—That all grants and promises of fines and forfeitures of a particular person before conviction are illegal and void is fraudulent in nature which is also wilful and premeditated fraud by misrepresentation, which carries a term of incarceration of seven to ten years and the latter where there is multiple instances of, and there is a formal agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} has formally agreed to be bound for commercial charges to the same degree.
14. Whereby there is now a formal and binding agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that the above wilful and premeditated agreed fraud by misrepresentation is also wilful and premeditated Malfeasance in the office which carries a term of incarceration of twenty five years and the latter where there is multiple instances of; And that there is a formal agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} will stand for commercial charges to the same degree.
15. Whereby there is now a formal and binding agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that the claim that the statement by Sir Jack Beatson FBA, at that time the head of the judiciary, was false in his address to Nottingham University, the private corporations/states of the Executive and legislature are superior to the judiciary by way of re-examination of the relationship is fraudulent in nature which is also wilful and premeditated fraud by misrepresentation, which carries a term of incarceration of seven to ten years and the latter where there is multiple instances of, and there is a formal agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} has formally agreed to be bound for commercial charges to the same degree.
16. Whereby there is now a formal and binding agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that the above wilful and premeditated agreed fraud by misrepresentation is also wilful and premeditated Malfeasance in the office which carries a term of incarceration of twenty five years and the latter where there is multiple instances of; And that there is a formal agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} will stand for commercial charges to the same degree.
17. Whereby there is now a formal and binding agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that the claim contra the statement made by Chandran Kukathas in positing that HM Government plc is an entity, a Corporation/State is fraudulent in nature which is also wilful and premeditated fraud by misrepresentation, which carries a term of incarceration of seven to ten years and the latter where there is multiple instances of, and there is a formal agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} has formally agreed to be bound for commercial charges to the same degree.
18. Whereby there is now a formal and binding agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that the above wilful and premeditated agreed fraud by misrepresentation is also wilful and premeditated Malfeasance in the office which carries a term of incarceration of twenty five years and the latter where there is multiple instances of; And that there is a formal agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} will stand for commercial charges to the same degree.
19. Whereby there is now a formal and binding agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that the claim of exemption under UK Public General Acts—from the UK 2000 Terrorism Act, including section 1—action taken for the benefit of a proscribed organisation is fraudulent in nature which is also wilful and premeditated fraud by misrepresentation, which carries a term of incarceration of seven to ten years and the latter where there is multiple instances of, and there is a formal agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} has formally agreed to be bound for commercial charges to the same degree.





20. Whereby there is now a formal and binding agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that the above wilful and premeditated agreed fraud by misrepresentation is also wilful and premeditated Malfeasance in the office which carries a term of incarceration of twenty five years and the latter where there is multiple instances of; And that there is a formal agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} will stand for commercial charges to the same degree.
21. Whereby there is now a formal and binding agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that the claim of right to act in bias to the detriment of us is fraudulent in nature which is also wilful and premeditated fraud by misrepresentation, which carries a term of incarceration of seven to ten years and the latter where there is multiple instances of, and there is a formal agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} has formally agreed to be bound for commercial charges to the same degree.
22. Whereby there is now a formal and binding agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that the above wilful and premeditated agreed fraud by misrepresentation is also wilful and premeditated Malfeasance in the office which carries a term of incarceration of twenty five years and the latter where there is multiple instances of; And that there is a formal agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} will stand for commercial charges to the same degree.
23. Whereby there is now a formal and binding agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State THAT the above noted and formally agreed fraud by misrepresentation and Malfeasance in the office of OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State is a demonstrated intention to cause MISS ELAINE DAWSON distress and alarm, which is a recognised act of terrorism And that there is a formal agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} will stand for commercial charges to the same degree.
24. Whereby there is now a formal and binding agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that the above wilful and premeditated agreed fraud by misrepresentation is also wilful and premeditated Malfeasance in the office which carries a term of incarceration of twenty five years and the latter where there is multiple instances of; And that there is a formal agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} will stand for commercial charges to the same degree.

Where there is a known crime there is an obligation to resolve. We would draw MR GREG SEAN JACKSON attention to the following public record. –

- a. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=E545q2jAgeQ> We would note here formally that the High Court Bailiff in this matter re-evaluated his options and declared no goods to Levy

We would draw your attention to a recent perfected and published lien's undertaken against officers of the Government and Ophelos.

- b. <https://www.barondavidward.com/public/> And here: <https://multy.me/gKvyyi> <https://multy.me/8laYUu> And here: https://bdwfacts.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/06/BIT_LY_LINKS_LIENS-UptoDate.pdf , <https://www.facebook.com/groups/527118124607307/permalink/1194932514492528> <https://tinyurl.com/HOHO175-LLOYDS-PUBLIC> ; [OCTOPUS ENERGY SECURITISED LIENS](#) [OCTOPUS ENERGY SECURITISED LIENS](#)

We await your response. Silence creates a tacit and binding agreement through acquiescence.

No Assured Value. No Liability. No Errors and Omissions Accepted.

Without ill will or vexation

For and on behalf of the Principal legal embodiment by the title of MISS ELAINE DAWSON.

For and on behalf of the Attorney General of the House of Dawson.

For and on behalf of Baroness Elaine of the House of Dawson.





Baroness.oftheHouseof+Dawson_126_OO507@gmail.com
25 WOODSTOCK AVENUE County Palatine of Nottingham [NG7 5QP]
14 May 2024

To: MR GREG SEAN JACKSON
Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State
33 Holborn London [EC1N 2HT]
tj.root@octoenergy.com , notices@octoenergy.com

Attorney General to King Charles}victoria.prentis.mp@parliament.uk, Nottinghamshire MP c/o}
lilian.greenwood.mp@parliament.uk ,Regulators}firm.queries@fca.org.uk,

CORPS ID:10434397

FCA /OFGEM ID:FC998064

Your ref}Fraudulent instrument of 4/JAN/24—NO signed CONTRACT/NO BILL/DISCLOSURE CONCEALMENT contra Stat-
ute of Frauds Act and Forgery Act.

Our Ref}HOD—GREG SEAN JACKSON CEO OCTOPUS ENERGY—HOD126

Dear MR GREG SEAN JACKSON,

We have noted as of this day the 14 May 2024 there has been no response to our previous correspondence of the 07 May 2024.
In the interests of clarity we repeat the same by presenting our letter of the 07 May 2024 again. In the interest of candour we
extend the deadline by another seven (7) Days.

We await your response. Silence creates a tacit and binding agreement through acquiescence.

No Assured Value. No Liability. No Errors and Omissions Accepted.

Without ill will or vexation

For and on behalf of the Principal legal embodiment by the title of MISS ELAINE DAWSON.

For and on behalf of the Attorney General of the House of Dawson.

For and on behalf of Baroness Elaine of the House of Dawson.





Baroness.oftheHouseof+Dawson_126_OO507@gmail.com
25 WOODSTOCK AVENUE County Palatine of Nottingham [NG7 5QP]
21 May 2024

To: MR GREG SEAN JACKSON
Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State
33 Holborn London [EC1N 2HT]
tj.root@octoenergy.com , notices@octoenergy.com

Attorney General to King Charles}victoria.prentis.mp@parliament.uk, Nottinghamshire MP c/o}
lilian.greenwood.mp@parliament.uk ,Regulators}firm.queries@fca.org.uk,

CORPS ID:10434397

FCA /OFGEM ID:FC998064

Your ref}Fraudulent instrument of 4/JAN/24—NO signed CONTRACT/NO BILL/DISCLOSURE CONCEALMENT contra
Statute of Frauds Act and Forgery Act.

Our Ref}HOD—GREG SEAN JACKSON CEO OCTOPUS ENERGY—HOD126

Dear MR GREG SEAN JACKSON,

We have noted as of this day the 21 May 2024 that there has been no response to our previous correspondence of the 7 May 2024 and, 14 May 2024 respectively. In the interests of clarity we repeat the same by presenting our letter of the 7 May 2024 again. In the interest of candour we extend the deadline by another seven (7) Days.

We await your response. Silence creates a tacit and binding agreement through acquiescence.
No Assured Value. No Liability. No Errors and Omissions Accepted.
Without ill will or vexation

For and on behalf of the Principal legal embodiment by the title of MISS ELAINE DAWSON.
For and on behalf of the Attorney General of the House of Dawson.
For and on behalf of Baroness Elaine of the House of Dawson.





Exhibit (B)

OPPORTUNITY TO RESOLVE

AND

NOTICE OF DEFAULT





Baroness.oftheHouseof+Dawson_126_OO507@gmail.com
25 WOODSTOCK AVENUE County Palatine of Nottingham [NG7 5QP]
28 May 2024

To: MR GREG SEAN JACKSON
Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State Corporation/State
33 Holborn London [EC1N 2HT]
tj.root@octoenergy.com , notices@octoenergy.com

Attorney General to King Charles}victoria.prentis.mp@parliament.uk, Nottinghamshire MP c/o}
lilian.greenwood.mp@parliament.uk ,Regulators}firm.queries@fca.org.uk,

CORPS ID:10434397

FCA /OFGEM ID:FC998064

Your ref}Fraudulent instrument of 4/JAN/24—NO signed CONTRACT/NO BILL/DISCLOSURE CONCEALMENT contra Stat-
ute of Frauds Act and Forgery Act.

Our Ref}HOD—GREG SEAN JACKSON CEO OCTOPUS ENERGY—HOD126

Dear MR GREG SEAN JACKSON,

We have noted as of this day the 28 May 2024 that there has been no legal response to our previous correspondence dated the 7 May 2024, 14 May 2024 and 21 May 2024 respectively. There is now a formal agreement due to the absence of any valid material legal evidence.

If there is a crime to be redressed then it is important to comprehend the full extent of the crime before a solution or a remedy can be executed. You MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} Chief Executive Officer have already been instrumental in this remedy as you have provided vital material evidence which is a part of the solution or remedy. For this material evidence, we thank you.

This may not be evident at first but the solution or remedy will benefit all including yourself. Complex matters have complex solutions, we can assure you that this solution is complex and these complexities may not be comprehended at first.

In the interests of candour and clarity:

It is a maxim of the rule of law that whomsoever brings a claim has the obligation to provide the material substance of that claim, else the claim is fraudulent in nature which is fraud by Misrepresentation and Malfeasance in the office. In addition to this an act of force where there is no material evidence and substance to a valid claim is also an act **in terrorem**, a wilful and belligerent act of terrorism.

There is therefore a formal legal requirement for MR GREG SEAN JACKSON IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State to present the valid material evidence to the following effect.

1. We have noted a claim of authority under UK Public General Acts, that is you claim our consent—for which the mandatory requirement for HM Government Corporation/State before any Acts and statutes can be legally acted upon being the getting of the wet-ink consents of the 64.1 million 'governed' —and that you had these consents as presentable, material fact before you brought your charges or made your claims MR GREG SEAN JACKSON IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State has an obligation of service in the position of Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State to provide the valid, presentable material evidence to support this claim.

From Exhibit (B) —Case Authority WI-05257F David Ward V Warrington Borough Council, 30thDay of May 2013—a case at court tribunal undertaken by recognised due process.

It is evident David Ward did not challenge the PCN or the traffic Management Act 2004 section 82 but the presumption of the consent of the governed.

What is a mandatory requirement before the Acts and statutes can be legally acted upon is for the consent of the governed to be valid and that it can be presented as material fact before any charges or claims can be brought.

It is clear from this case authority undertaken by due process that}(1) It is illegal to act upon any of the Acts or





statutes without the consent of the governed and that consent is presentable as material physical evidence of that consent. (2) Where the Acts and statutes are acted upon then this is illegal and a criminal action by the Corporation/State/Entity. (3) The criminal action is Malfeasance in a public office and fraud. (4) Where there is no consent of the governed on and for the public record, then there is no governed and where there is no governed then there is no government. The one cannot exist without the other—they are mutually exclusive. (5) As this criminal activity is observed to be standard practice for nearly 800 years, shows clear observable evidence to the fact that LAW is a presumption and there is no such thing as LAW. See Exhibit (A) the twelve presumptions of law.

Without this legal consent—the circa 64.1 million wet ink signed consents of the Governed—there is no legal authority under which there is a recognised officer of the Private Corporation/State/Entity that carries the necessary legal authority to create culpability, liability or agreement or otherwise enforce private corporate policy.

We refer you to the Baron David Ward un rebutted Affidavit Exhibit A—Formal challenge to the twelve presumptions of law. We have challenged all the Presumptions of Law. Securitized liens, lawful instruments, have been obtained, without most importantly rebuttal from any so-called 'elected' MP and to this day not one piece of evidence of Corporate/State authority of Us has been presented.

We repeat, We formally challenge all presumptions of law and as we have formally challenged all the twelve presumptions of law then the presumption of law formally has no substance in material FACT. We will recognise the rule of law, when and only when there is the material evidence of that assumed rule of law has some material evidence of substance in presentable material fact.

We refer you to Exhibit C of the David Ward Affidavit where Chandran Kukathas PhD details over 7 pages that the State is a private corporation/entity and specifically a legal embodiment by act of registration; And of no material substance. Fraud however has been defined as a criminal act with full knowledge and intent to engage in criminal behaviour to benefit one, at the expense of another. To bring about by an act of force, support of this fraud is also recognised as an act of terrorism.

From Exhibit (C)—The Material evidence of the FACTS.

In order to interfere with justice it is shown that, with knowledge, of the Fraud, trespass and acts of violence upon our property real and corporeal is accomplished with the aid of others who become as culpable including thro the use of HM Courts and Tribunal Services as private prosecutors.

In full knowledge of the process of the court and a deliberate abuse of that process—to have HMCTS act as a personal private prosecution service, cheaper than the Royal Courts constitutes ABUSE of PROCESS for there is a malicious employment of the process of the court. We cite the 2014 Lord Sumption *Crawford Adjusters v Sagor General Insurance*, 1838 *GRAINGER v. HILL* and here draw to the attention “but if the bailiff touch the person it is an arrest” akin to the POL cases, whereby 2019, 2021 and since 1680s *Post Office Limited*, a corps, claims to have authority over people to investigate them, arrest them and prosecute them and then wrest from those said prosecuted as much and any property of their choosing POL wishes and 1861 *GILDING v EYRE* “has maliciously employed the process of the court”. This abuse applies to the 1677 Statutes of Frauds Act and the failure to disclose or by omission, the concealment for unjust enrichment.

It has been confirmed by the Rt. Hon. Lord Chief Justice Sir Jack Beatson FBA, on and for the record that} (1) Whilst there is no material and physical evidence presented to the fact that the governed have given their consent then the office of the Judiciary has no greater authority than the manageress of McDonalds being as the office of the Judiciary is a sub office of a legal embodiment by an act of registration where this act of registration creates nothing of physical material substance—which is also fraud by default. Any objection to this observation of fact should be taken up with the Rt. Hon. Lord Chief Justice Sir Jack Beatson FBA, whereupon the Rt. Hon. Lord Chief Justice Sir Jack Beatson FBA would then have to present the material and physical evidence that the governed have given their consents.
<https://www.judiciary.uk/wp-content/uploads/JCO/Documents/Speeches/beatsonj040608.pdf>

Without provision of evidence to the contrary, the office of the Judiciary acts as nothing more than a private commercial and fraudulent enterprise built upon fraud and criminal intent. This is by no stretch of the imagination a valid government by the people for the people as it is by default a private corporation/entity providing a judicial service for profit and gain but where there is also and always a conflict of interests—where there is a conflict of interests between the needs of the people and the state (Corporate/Entity) Policy which has no obligation to the people or even the needs and well-being of corporation staff. This has been confirmed by Chandran Kukathas of the London School of Economics and state office intituled the Department of Government. https://www.academia.edu/12226898/A_Definition_of_the_State

Disagreements arising from 'contracts' are non-judicial and outside the scope of the private courts of the judiciary—these being the sub-offices of the private Corporation/State of HM Government plc as shown above. As has been confirmed by the esteemed Rt. Hon. Lord Chief Justice Sir Jack Beatson FBA (see above) the office of the Judiciary (Court) is a sub office of a Private Limited corporation (HM Parliaments & Governments PLC) and that such an officer of a





Private corporation court does not have the status corporation Office. The use of HMCTS as private Fraud Act Part 35, section 3, as Mr Justice Fraser missions provided by the Post Office paid 'no attention to the actual evidence, and seem to have their origin in a parallel world' [§138], that the Post Office 'seemed to adopt an extraordinarily narrow approach to relevance, generally along the lines that any evidence that is unfavourable to the Post Office is not relevant' [§34],

to give or grant a Court Order outside of that Private prosecutors, shews those 'acts' fall in to the 2006 records within the Post Office judgment 'that the sub-

To bring about by an act of force, support of this fraud is also recognised as an act of terrorism Under the UK 2000 Terrorism Act, s.1,5—action taken for the benefit of a proscribed organisation. It is evident from the omissions that there is no wet-ink signed contract between 'the two parties' or between the Corporation/State of HM Government plc and OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State.

2. We have noted a claim of exemption under UK Public General Acts—from the UK 1882 Bills of Exchange Act Section 23—Signature essential to liability and that you had these exemptions as presentable, material fact before you extorted our property, brought your charges or made your claims. MR GREG SEAN JACKSON IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State has an obligation of service in the position of Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State to provide the valid, presentable material evidence to support this claim.

MR GREG SEAN JACKSON IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State has made claim/demand of obligation/indebtedness/for payment, but has not presented Us with a valid and legal Bill—predicated upon a pre existing commercial contract or collateral contract or any agreement—which is recognised under the Bills of exchange act of 1882. Because there is no commercial arrangement in place under which to raise a Bill for a bill to arise is also a direct violation of the 1882 Bills of Exchange Act. Additionally without the wet ink signed commercial arrangement and Bill presented, this Act would also be a contravention of the UK 2006 Fraud Act and to demand payment—under threats—contravenes the UK 2000 Terrorism Act. We are not in the habit of knowingly conspiring to fraud and/or terrorism. See Bills of exchange act of 1882. <http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/Vict/45-46/61>.

And to further underline the malfeasance being demonstrated by the taking of our property—intangible and real to ensure subjugation and to extort us, we cite the 1677 Statutes of Fraud Act, Sir John Stuart and we cite Lord Denning 1954 Lazarus v. Beazley and we refer you again to the Facts including the }UK 2006 Fraud Act, Part 35, section 2—FRAUD by ABUSE of POSITION (1)A person is in breach of this section if he—(a) occupies a position in which he is expected to safeguard, or not to act against, the financial interests of another person, (b) dishonestly abuses that position, and (c) intends, by means of the abuse of that position—(i) to make a gain for himself or another, or (ii) to cause loss to another or to expose another to a risk of loss. (2) A person may be regarded as having abused his position even though his conduct consisted of an omission rather than an act.

Fraud is a deliberate action to defraud where the victim of the crime is unaware having no knowledge of a situation or fact. This crime carries a penalty of incarceration for 7 to 10 years and the latter, where there is multiple instances of. 64.1 million people are subject to this crime everyday as it is now commonplace and is carried out by the largest and most ruthless criminal company in this country. This same company is also a public office with the enforcement to execute this crime which is inclusive of but not limited to:- The office of the police, The office of the Judiciary, Local government and central government. Independent Bailiff Companies which are licensed by the same company.

3. We have noted a claim of exemption under UK Public General Acts—from the UK 2006 Companies Act, including section 44, the Execution of documents. MR GREG SEAN JACKSON IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State has an obligation of service in the position of Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State to provide the valid, presentable material evidence to support this claim.

We now refer you to Exhibit (A) of the Affidavit which defines that profiteering contravenes the UK 2006 Fraud Act. We should also point out to you that it is a direct contravention of the UK 2000 Terrorism Act, s.15 Fund raising is an offence if a person invites another to provide money or other property and intends that it should be used for the purposes of terrorism—see above. Insisting or demanding payment without a pre existing commercial arrangement which is based on presentable fact in the form of a commercial agreement is an act of deception. Payment is a commercial activity. We are not in the habit of knowingly conspiring to fraud or knowingly funding terrorism. This action would also create a liability against us.

From Exhibit (D) of the Affidavit and Statement of Fact for Case Authority WI-05257F. Thirty^d of May 2013 it is evident there is due process for the execution of legal and commercial documents. Where these processes are not followed then the very presence of a document which does not comply with these processes, is, in and of itself, the physical and material evidence of Malfeasance in a public office and fraud. We would point your attention to the FACTs that a corporation must execute documents legally and failure to do so renders the documents fraudulent and void (citation} 1885 Weller versus Stone case which, drawing on the Statutes 13E of Usury and 27E of Fraud whereby all the Judges of England agreed "yet where there is usury, or fraud, or covin ; they may be averred so to be against any act whatsoever.")—(1)





Under the law of England and Wales or Northern the affixing of its common seal, or (b) by signature document is validly executed by a company if it is used signatories, or (b) by a director of the company in the presence of a witness who attests the signature. (4) A document signed in accordance with subsection (2) and expressed in whatever words, to be executed by the company, has the same effect as if executed under the common seal of the company. The legal effect of the statute is that documents and deeds must be signed on behalf of the company by a director in the presence of a witness, or by two authorised signatories. Without adherence to these provisions no contracts can be considered duly executed by a company and their terms are therefore unenforceable.

Ireland a document is executed by a company—(a) by in accordance with the following provisions. (2) A signed on behalf of the company— (a) by two author-

A claim of 'contractual obligations being a non-judicial matter.

UTTERING' as act(s) contra the 1861 Forgery Act—Whosoever, without lawful authority or excuse (the proof whereof shall lie on the party accused), shall in the name of any other person acknowledge any recognizance or bail, or any cognovit, actionem, or judgment, or any deed or other instrument, before any court, judge, or other person lawfully authorized in that behalf, shall be guilty of felony.

4. We have noted a claim of exemption from 1677 Statutes of Frauds Act by the failure to disclose, or by omission, the concealment of ledgering/contract/collateral contract/obligation/agreement, bills, financial instrument, authority to trade on our data for unjust enrichment . MR GREG SEAN JACKSON IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State has an obligation of service in the position of Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State to provide the valid, presentable material evidence to support this claim.

We again cite the 1885 Weller versus Stone case which, drawing on the Statutes 13E of Usury and 27E of Fraud whereby all the Judges of England agreed "yet where there is usury, or fraud, or covin ; they may be averred so to be against any act whatsoever."

We cite 2019 Bates and Others versus Post Office Limited and 2021 Josephine Hamilton and Others versus Post Office Limited whereby fraud by concealment of data was proved ;

We cite Lord Denning 1954, Lazarus v. Beasley "Fraud unravels everything" ; And we cite Sir John Stuart 'when tender has been made the mortgagee has not entitlement to proceed to sale ' ; Continuing, in 1982 1 KB 245, 2 GIFF. 99 Where a mortgagee, after tender of his principal and interest... the Court set the sale aside against him and a person who had bought with knowledge of the tender, 2. A purchaser who buys with knowledge of circumstances sufficient against the mortgagee to invalidate the sale, becomes a party to the transaction and is not protected by the proviso that the purchaser need make no inquiry. 3. Where the costs are unascertained and the security ample, a mortgagee, after a tender of principal and interest, is not entitled to proceed with the sale ; And the 1677 Statute of Frauds Act—176 Anno vicefimo nono ... or any uncertain Interest of, in, to, or out of any Messuages, Manors, Lands, Tenements or hereditaments made or created by Libery and Seisin onely, or by parole, and not put in Writing, and Signed by the parties to making or creating the same, or their Agents thereunto lawfully authorized by Writing, shall have the force and effect of Leases, or Estates at Will only, and shall not either in Law or Equity be deemed or taken to have any other or greater force or effect ; We draw to your attention to the detail of the 11 March 2019 thro 2 July 2019 case reference HQ16X01238, HQ17X02637 and HQ17X04248 in the high court before Mr Justice Fraser of 'Bates and Others versus Post Office Limited'[POL]—a company wholly owned by HM's Government—wherein despite the fraud and circumvention of POL to conceal discovery, Bates and Others won their case. Mr Stuart Wentworth QC in questioning Mr. Alan Bates cites an "information sheet"—which is not a contract of reciprocity—that 'postmaster responsible for losses'. Questioning Mrs Pam Stubbs she is referred by Mr Wentworth to section 19 paragraph 4 of a POL contract. Further in the 23 April 2021 appeal in the high court of 'Josephine Hamilton and Others' Mr Justice said in quashing their convictions for the above 'fraud and circumvention of POL to conceal discovery' "there was no examination of the data, bugs, errors or defects...there was no proof of an actual loss as opposed to an Horizon generated shortage. Even more alarming POL's own investigator has reported there was no evidence of a theft. We conclude Mrs Hamilton's prosecution was unfair and an affront to justice." ; & The judgment in Bates v Post Office Ltd (No.3: Common Issues) [2019] EWHC 606 (QB) delivered by Mr Justice Fraser was highly critical of the Post Office stating that it showed 'oppressive behaviour' in response to claimants who had been dismissed for accounting errors they blamed on the Horizon system [§517]. He went on to say that the submissions provided by the Post Office paid 'no attention to the actual evidence, and seem to have their origin in a parallel world' [§138], that the Post Office 'seemed to adopt an extraordinarily narrow approach to relevance, generally along the lines that any evidence that is unfavourable to the Post Office is not relevant' [§34], feared 'objective scrutiny of its behaviour' [§28] and operated with a 'culture of secrecy and confidentiality' [§36] ; Further after the above cases and long after the acts of POL against the sub post masters, it was brought to the attention of Lord James Arbuthnot and the POL Forensic accountant, that within an independent legal advice report commissioned by POL in the Summer of 2013—and concealed by POL—that POL were in full knowledge, and not only failed to disclose but continued their acts, along the lines of the unsafe convictions already given to sub post masters and to those currently being pursued by POL. We cite Lord Arbuthnot 'POL lied to and were in contempt'. As stated above, it should also be kept to the forefront of mind that POL being owned by HM Government and the judiciary being one sub-office of HM Government that HM's Government was fully cognizant with these matters throughout. Equally for those whose property including real property was wrested from them on the claims and non disclosures—that is the concealment—[for non disclosure seems anodyne] of POL, HM Land Registry is also owned by





HM Government, and a party to the fraud. Citing where Charles A Nunn CEO of Lloyds bank, act contra, the 1677 Statutes of Frauds act including when in 1721 the Lord Chancellor dismissed the Bill, it appearing that as the Agreement was made in Writing, it was unequal and against Reason. And 1720 Lord Macclesfield 'Court of Equity will not decree execution of articles where they appear to be unreasonable or are founded on a fraud—for that would be to decree Iniquity. Sir John Stuart and Lord Denning 1956 [Lazarus vs. Beasley] "No court in this land will allow a person to keep an advantage which he has obtained by fraud. No judgment of a court, no Order of a Minister can be allowed to stand if it has been obtained by Fraud, fraud unravels everything..." And now we add the citation of Bates and Others vs. Post Office Limited to an already large body of court case material. The fraud of concealment is of no less significance than the fraud of presenting false instruments. That we have brought this to your attention, including the refusal of Greg S Jackson CEO OCTOPUS ENERGY contra 2018 GDPR Act and the controllers law is, it seems a further reason to act against us contra the 2010 Equality act for, as in the cases of POL, Greg S Jackson CEO OCTOPUS ENERGY should they be able to substantiate their claims, would have no reason for concealment or for the preventing of their further acts of fraud should they reveal, by disclosure, an absence of any lawful right to act against us and our property—this includes the claims of Greg S Jackson CEO OCTOPUS ENERGY that they and their agents have a right to use force against our corporeal property and our real property. A Court of Equity considers iniquitous those contracts/agreements which appear to be unreasonable or are founded on a fraud—for that would be to decree Iniquity. To bring about by act of force through fear is an act of terrorism.

Referencing the UK 2006 Fraud Act, Part 35, section 2—FALSE REPRESENTATION A representation is false if—(a) it is untrue or misleading, and (b) the person making it knows that it is, or might be, untrue or misleading. (3) "Representation" means any representation as to fact or law, including a representation as to the state of mind of—(a) the person making the representation, or (b) any other person.

We refer you to Exhibit C of the David Ward Affidavit where under the —Including the taking of Our property of data and using it as your own without Our knowledge or consent, the threats against Our property and the further claims to benefit a private Corporation/State and extorting money with neither signature nor contract is an act of force ***in terrorem***.

5. We have noted a claim of exemption under UK Public General Acts—from the UK 2006 Fraud Act, including section 4—Abuse of position. MR GREG SEAN JACKSON IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State has an obligation of service in the position of Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State to provide the valid, presentable material evidence to support this claim.
6. We have noted a claim of exemption under UK Public General Acts—from the UK 2006 Fraud Act, including section 2—Failing to disclose information MR GREG SEAN JACKSON IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State has an obligation of service in the position of Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State to provide the valid, presentable material evidence to support this claim.

By failing to disclose all information including that which show facts contra to your claims and by failing to supply information under Subject Access Requests, these acts, for omission is still an act, brings in to force the of refusal to complete disclosure/discovery—Acts with knowledge to interfere with justice contra, inc., the 1998 Public Interest Disclosure Act, section 43B (1), In this Part a "qualifying disclosure" means any disclosure of information which, in the reasonable belief of the worker making the disclosure, tends to show one or more of the following—(a) that a criminal offence has been committed, is being committed or is likely to be committed, (b) that a person has failed, is failing or is likely to fail to comply with any legal obligation to which he is subject, (c) that a miscarriage of justice has occurred, is occurring or is likely to occur ;

Under UK 2006 Fraud Act, Part 35, section 3—Fraud by failing to disclose information A person is in breach of this section if he—(a) dishonestly fails to disclose to another person information which he is under a legal duty to disclose, and (b) intends, by failing to disclose the information—(i) to make a gain for himself or another, or (ii) to cause loss to another or to expose another to a risk of loss.

We cite Lord Denning, Lord Chief Justice '1956, Lazarus v Beasley' "No court in this land will allow a person to keep an advantage which he has obtained by fraud. No judgment of a Court, no Order of a Minister can be allowed to stand if it has been obtained by Fraud, Fraud unravels everything."

We would again refer you to the 1885 Weller versus Stone case which, drawing on the Statutes 13E of Usury and 27E of Fraud whereby all the Judges of England agreed "yet where there is usury, or fraud, or covin ; they may be averred so to be against any act whatsoever." We cite 2019 Bates and Others versus Post Office Limited and 2021 Josephine Hamilton and Others versus Post Office Limited whereby fraud by concealment of data was found ; Sir John Stuart and we cite Lord Denning 1954, Lazarus v. Beasley "Fraud unravels everything" ; And we cite Sir John Stuart 'when tender has been made the mortgagee has not entitlement to proceed to sale ' ; Continuing in 1982 1 KB 245, 2 GIFF. 99 Where a mortgagee, after tender of his principal and interest... the Court set the sale aside against him and a person who had bought with knowledge of the tender.

We draw to your attention the 11 March 2019 thro 2 July 2019 case reference HQ16X01238, HQ17X02637 and





HQ17X04248 in the high court before Mr Justice ited'[POL]—a company wholly owned by HM vention of POL to discovery, Bates and Others ment and usury and iniquitous contracts to the wider gaze. Mr Stuart Wentworth QC in questioning Mr. Alan Bates cites an information sheet—which is not a contract of reciprocity—that 'postmaster responsible for losses'. Questioning Mrs Pam Stubbs she is referred by Mr Wentworth to section 19 paragraph 4 of an instrument, purporting to be a POL contract. Further in the 23 April 2021 appeal in the high court of 'Josephine Hamilton and Others' Mr Justice said in quashing their convictions for the above 'fraud and circumvention of POL to discovery' "there was no examination of the data, bugs, errors or defects...there was no proof of an actual loss as opposed to an Horizon generated shortage. Even more alarming POL's own investigator has reported there was no evidence of a theft. We conclude Mrs Hamilton's prosecution was unfair and an affront to justice."

Fraser of 'Bates and Others versus Post Office Limited Government—wherein despite the fraud and circumvention were successful in exposing the fraud and concealment

7. We have noted a claim of exemption from the 1689 Bill of Rights—That all grants and promises of fines and forfeitures of a particular person before conviction are illegal and void . MR GREG SEAN JACKSON IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State has an obligation of service in the position of Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State to provide the valid, presentable material evidence to support this claim.

We again cite the 1885 Weller versus Stone case which, drawing on the Statutes 13E of Usury and 27E of Fraud whereby all the Judges of England agreed "yet where there is usury, or fraud, or covin ; they may be averred so to be against any act whatsoever." Also the 2019 Bates and Others versus Post Office Limited and 2021 Josephine Hamilton and Others versus Post Office Limited whereby fraud by concealment of data was proved ; Lord Denning 1954, Lazarus v. Beasley and all the other cases in point 4 whereby all bills must be predicated upon a wet-ink contract signed by the two parties to the contract and concealment of an absence of contract is a fraudulent act.

8. We have noted that the statement by Sir Jack Beatson FBA, at that time the head of the judiciary, was false in his address to Nottingham University, the private corporations/states of the Executive and legislature are superior to the judiciary by way of re-examination of the relationship. MR GREG SEAN JACKSON IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State has an obligation of service in the position of Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State to provide the valid, presentable material evidence to support this claim.
9. We have noted a claim contra the statement made by Chandran Kukathas in positing that HM Government plc is an entity, a Corporation/State. MR GREG SEAN JACKSON IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State has an obligation of service in the position of Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State to provide the valid, presentable material evidence to support this claim.
10. We have noted a claim of exemption under UK Public General Acts—from the UK 2000 Terrorism Act, including section 1—action taken for the benefit of a proscribed organisation. MR GREG SEAN JACKSON IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State has an obligation of service in the position of Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State to provide the valid, presentable material evidence to support this claim.

In order to interfere with justice it is shown that, with knowledge, of the Fraud, trespass and acts of violence upon our property real and corporeal is accomplished with the aid of others who become as culpable including thro the use of HM Courts and Tribunal Services as private prosecutors. In full knowledge of the process of the court and a deliberate abuse of that process—to have HMCTS act as a personal private prosecution service, cheaper than the Royal Courts constitutes ABUSE of PROCESS for there is a malicious employment of the process of the court. We cite the 2014 Lord Sumption Crawford Adjusters v Sagor General Insurance, 1838 GRAINGER v. HILL and here draw to the attention "but if the bailiff touch the person it is an arrest" akin to the POL cases , whereby 2019, 2021 and since 1680s Post Office Limited, a corps, claims to have authority over people to investigate them, arrest them and prosecute them and then wrest from those said prosecuted as much and any property of their choosing POL wishes ; &. And 1861 GILDING v EYRE "has maliciously employed the process of the court".

We would draw attention to the Contempt of Court Reporting Restriction, "Civil contempt refers to conduct which is not in itself a crime, but which is punishable by the court in order to ensure that its orders are observed. Civil contempt is usually raised by one of the two parties to the proceedings. Although the penalty for civil contempt contains a punitive element, its primary purpose is coercion of compliance. We would add that the use of force in a civil matter is a wilful and belligerent act of terrorism and the above Contempt of Court Reporting Restrictions further prevent a judge from holding us in contempt in a civil matter.

11. We have noted a claim of right to act in bias to the detriment of us. MR GREG SEAN JACKSON IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State has an obligation of service in the position of Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State to provide the valid, presentable material evidence to support this claim.

Whilst we bring these your acts contra the Statutes of your corporation and the corporation/state of HM's Government to your attention





. We would draw your attention to Exhibit (G) of doctrine (also known as a castle law or a defence person's abode (or any legally-occupied place [e.g., person has certain protections and immunities permitting him or her, in certain circumstances, to use force (up to and including deadly force) to defend themselves against an intruder, free from legal responsibility/prosecution for the consequences of the force used.[1] Typically deadly force is considered justified, and a defence of justifiable homicide applicable, in cases "when the actor reasonably fears imminent peril of death or serious bodily harm to him or herself or another".

the Affidavit of Truth and statement of Fact—A castle of habitation law) is a legal doctrine that designates a a vehicle or workplace)) as a place in which that per-

Failure to provide the valid presentable, material evidence to support the above listed claims made by MR GREG SEAN JACKSON IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State in the next SEVEN (7) days will enter MR GREG SEAN JACKSON IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State in to a lasting tacit agreement through acquiescence to the following effect:

1. Whereby there is now a formal and binding agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that the claim of authority under UK Public General Acts, that is you claim our consent—for which the mandatory requirement for HM Government Corporation/State before any Acts and statutes can be legally acted upon being the getting of the wet-ink consents of the 64.1 million 'governed' —and that you had these consents as presentable, material fact before you brought your charges or made your claims is fraudulent in nature which is also wilful and premeditated fraud by misrepresentation, which carries a term of incarceration of seven to ten years and the latter where there is multiple instances of, And there is a formal agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} has formally agreed to be bound for commercial charges to the same degree.
2. Whereby there is now a formal and binding agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that the above wilful and premeditated agreed fraud by misrepresentation is also wilful and premeditated Malfeasance in the office which carries a term of incarceration of twenty five years and the latter where there is multiple instances of; And that there is a formal agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} will stand for commercial charges to the same degree.
3. Whereby there is now a formal and binding agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that the claim of exemption under UK Public General Acts—from the UK 1882 Bills of Exchange Act Section 23—Signature essential to liability and that you had these exemptions as presentable, material fact before you extorted our property, brought your charges or made your claims is fraudulent in nature which is also wilful and premeditated fraud by misrepresentation, which carries a term of incarceration of seven to ten years and the latter where there is multiple instances of, and there is a formal agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} has formally agreed to be bound for commercial charges to the same degree.
4. Whereby there is now a formal and binding agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that the above wilful and premeditated agreed fraud by misrepresentation is also wilful and premeditated Malfeasance in the office which carries a term of incarceration of twenty five years and the latter where there is multiple instances of; And that there is a formal agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} will stand for commercial charges to the same degree.
5. Whereby there is now a formal and binding agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that the claim of exemption under UK Public General Acts—from the UK 2006 Companies Act, including section 44, the Execution of documents is fraudulent in nature which is also wilful and premeditated fraud by misrepresentation, which carries a term of incarceration of seven to ten years and the latter where there is multiple instances of, and there is a formal agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} has formally agreed to be bound for commercial charges to the same degree.
6. Whereby there is now a formal and binding agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that the above wilful and premeditated agreed fraud by misrepresentation is also wilful and premeditated Malfeasance in the office which carries a term of incarceration of twenty five years and the latter where there is multiple instances of; And that there is a formal agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} will stand for commercial charges to the same degree.
7. Whereby there is now a formal and binding agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that the claim of exemption from 1677 Statutes of Frauds Act by the failure to dis-





close, or by omission, the concealment of ledger-bills, financial instrument, authority to trade on our which is also wilful and premeditated fraud by

ing/contract/collateral contract/obligation/agreement, data for unjust enrichment is fraudulent in nature misrepresentation, which carries a term of incarceration

tion of seven to ten years and the latter where there is multiple instances of, and there is a formal agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} has formally agreed to be bound for commercial charges to the same degree.

8. Whereby there is now a formal and binding agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that the above wilful and premeditated agreed fraud by misrepresentation is also wilful and premeditated Malfeasance in the office which carries a term of incarceration of twenty five years and the latter where there is multiple instances of; And that there is a formal agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} will stand for commercial charges to the same degree.
9. Whereby there is now a formal and binding agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that the claim of exemption under UK Public General Acts—from the UK 2006 Fraud Act, including section 4-Abuse of position is fraudulent in nature which is also wilful and premeditated fraud by misrepresentation, which carries a term of incarceration of seven to ten years and the latter where there is multiple instances of, and there is a formal agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} has formally agreed to be bound for commercial charges to the same degree.
10. Whereby there is now a formal and binding agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that the above wilful and premeditated agreed fraud by misrepresentation is also wilful and premeditated Malfeasance in the office which carries a term of incarceration of twenty five years and the latter where there is multiple instances of; And that there is a formal agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} will stand for commercial charges to the same degree.
11. Whereby there is now a formal and binding agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that the claim of exemption under UK Public General Acts—from the UK 2006 Fraud Act, including section 2-Failing to disclose information. is fraudulent in nature which is also wilful and premeditated fraud by misrepresentation, which carries a term of incarceration of seven to ten years and the latter where there is multiple instances of, and there is a formal agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} has formally agreed to be bound for commercial charges to the same degree.
12. Whereby there is now a formal and binding agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that the above wilful and premeditated agreed fraud by misrepresentation is also wilful and premeditated Malfeasance in the office which carries a term of incarceration of twenty five years and the latter where there is multiple instances of; And that there is a formal agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} will stand for commercial charges to the same degree.
13. Whereby there is now a formal and binding agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that the claim of exemption from the 1689 Bill of Rights—That all grants and promises of fines and forfeitures of a particular person before conviction are illegal and void is fraudulent in nature which is also wilful and premeditated fraud by misrepresentation, which carries a term of incarceration of seven to ten years and the latter where there is multiple instances of, and there is a formal agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} has formally agreed to be bound for commercial charges to the same degree.
14. Whereby there is now a formal and binding agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that the above wilful and premeditated agreed fraud by misrepresentation is also wilful and premeditated Malfeasance in the office which carries a term of incarceration of twenty five years and the latter where there is multiple instances of; And that there is a formal agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} will stand for commercial charges to the same degree.
15. Whereby there is now a formal and binding agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that the claim that the statement by Sir Jack Beatson FBA, at that time the head of the judiciary, was false in his address to Nottingham University, the private corporations/states of the Executive and legislature are superior to the judiciary by way of re-examination of the relationship is fraudulent in nature which is also wilful and premeditated





fraud by misrepresentation, which carries a term of there is multiple instances of, and there is a formal MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN TOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} has formally agreed to be bound for commercial charges to the same degree.

incarceration of seven to ten years and the latter where agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OC-

16. Whereby there is now a formal and binding agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that the above wilful and premeditated agreed fraud by misrepresentation is also wilful and premeditated Malfeasance in the office which carries a term of incarceration of twenty five years and the latter where there is multiple instances of; And that there is a formal agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} will stand for commercial charges to the same degree.
17. Whereby there is now a formal and binding agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that the claim contra the statement made by Chandran Kukathas in positing that HM Government plc is an entity, a Corporation/State is fraudulent in nature which is also wilful and premeditated fraud by misrepresentation, which carries a term of incarceration of seven to ten years and the latter where there is multiple instances of, and there is a formal agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} has formally agreed to be bound for commercial charges to the same degree.
18. Whereby there is now a formal and binding agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that the above wilful and premeditated agreed fraud by misrepresentation is also wilful and premeditated Malfeasance in the office which carries a term of incarceration of twenty five years and the latter where there is multiple instances of; And that there is a formal agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} will stand for commercial charges to the same degree.
19. Whereby there is now a formal and binding agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that the claim of exemption under UK Public General Acts—from the UK 2000 Terrorism Act, including section 1-action taken for the benefit of a proscribed organisation is fraudulent in nature which is also wilful and premeditated fraud by misrepresentation, which carries a term of incarceration of seven to ten years and the latter where there is multiple instances of, and there is a formal agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} has formally agreed to be bound for commercial charges to the same degree.
20. Whereby there is now a formal and binding agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that the above wilful and premeditated agreed fraud by misrepresentation is also wilful and premeditated Malfeasance in the office which carries a term of incarceration of twenty five years and the latter where there is multiple instances of; And that there is a formal agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} will stand for commercial charges to the same degree.
21. Whereby there is now a formal and binding agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that the claim of right to act in bias to the detriment of us is fraudulent in nature which is also wilful and premeditated fraud by misrepresentation, which carries a term of incarceration of seven to ten years and the latter where there is multiple instances of, and there is a formal agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} has formally agreed to be bound for commercial charges to the same degree.
22. Whereby there is now a formal and binding agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that the above wilful and premeditated agreed fraud by misrepresentation is also wilful and premeditated Malfeasance in the office which carries a term of incarceration of twenty five years and the latter where there is multiple instances of; And that there is a formal agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} will stand for commercial charges to the same degree.
23. Whereby there is now a formal and binding agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State THAT the above noted and formally agreed fraud by misrepresentation and Malfeasance in the office of OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State is a demonstrated intention to cause MISS ELAINE DAWSON distress and alarm, which is a recognised act of terrorism And that there is a formal agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} will stand for commercial charges to the same degree.





24. Whereby there is now a formal and binding agree-ment between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE TOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that misrepresentation is also wilful and premeditated Malfeasance in the office which carries a term of incarceration of twenty five years and the latter where there is multiple instances of; And that there is a formal agreement between MISS ELAINE DAWSON and MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OC-TOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State that MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} will stand for commercial charges to the same degree.

These are very serious crimes MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} and under current state legislation there is a cumulative period of incarceration in excess of 150 years' incarceration. We would not wish to encumber the public purse for the costs of this incarceration as the public purse can ill afford this financial encumbrance. There is however an alternative and recognised process as suitable remedy.

As there is now an agreement between the parties by way of lasting tacit agreement through acquiescence, as you have already agreed to the crime then we elect to charge you under this agreement. As the crime was committed against Us then we reserve the right to choose the remedy for these crimes.

Where there is a crime then there is a requirement for a remedy otherwise the crime goes unresolved. As we now have an obligation to bring this crime to resolution we therefore are giving MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} an opportunity to resolve.

Opportunity to resolve

1. For the formally agreed criminal offence of fraud by misrepresentation where the claim being made by MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} that of authority under UK Public General Acts, that is you claim our consent—for which the mandatory requirement for HM Government Corporation/State before any Acts and statutes can be legally acted upon being the getting of the wet-ink consents of the 64.1 million 'governed'—and that you had these consents as presentable, material fact before you brought your charges or made your claims is fraudulent in nature which is also wilful and premeditated fraud by misrepresentation. Where this is an agreed chargeable criminal offence we will elect to formally charge MR GREG SEAN JACKSON IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State Five Million Pounds GBP
£5,000,000.00
2. For the formally agreed criminal offence of fraud by misrepresentation where the claim being made by MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} that of exemption under UK Public General Acts—from the UK 1882 Bills of Exchange Act Section 23—Signature essential to liability and that you had these exemptions as presentable, material fact before you extorted our property, brought your charges or made your claims is fraudulent in nature which is also wilful and premeditated fraud by misrepresentation. Where this is an agreed chargeable criminal offence we will elect to formally charge MR GREG SEAN JACKSON IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State Five Million Pounds GBP
£5,000,000.00
3. For the formally agreed criminal offence of fraud by misrepresentation where the claim being made by MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} that of exemption under UK Public General Acts—from the UK 2006 Companies Act, including section 44, the Execution of documents is fraudulent in nature which is also wilful and premeditated fraud by misrepresentation. Where this is an agreed chargeable criminal offence we will elect to formally charge MR GREG SEAN JACKSON IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State Five Million Pounds GBP
£5,000,000.00
4. For the formally agreed criminal offence of fraud by misrepresentation where the claim being made by MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} that of exemption from 1677 Statutes of Frauds Act by the failure to disclose, or by omission, the concealment of ledgering/contract/collateral contract/obligation/agreement, bills, financial instrument, authority to trade on our data for unjust enrichment is fraudulent in nature which is also wilful and premeditated fraud by misrepresentation. Where this is an agreed chargeable criminal offence we will elect to formally charge MR GREG SEAN JACKSON IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State Five Million Pounds GBP
£5,000,000.00
5. For the formally agreed criminal offence of fraud by misrepresentation where the claim being made by MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} that of exemption under UK Public General Acts—from the UK 2006 Fraud Act, including section 4-Abuse of position is fraudulent in nature which is also wilful and pre-





meditated fraud by misrepresentation. Where
fence we will elect to formally charge MR
TION OF Chief Executive Officer for OC-
Corporation/State Five Million Pounds GBP

this is an agreed chargeable criminal of-
GREG SEAN JACKSON IN THE POSI-
TOPUS ENERGY Limited

£5,000,000.00

6. For the formally agreed criminal offence of fraud by misrepresentation where the claim being made by MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} of exemption under UK Public General Acts—from the UK 2006 Fraud Act, including section 2-Failing to disclose information is fraudulent in nature which is also wilful and premeditated fraud by misrepresentation. Where this is an agreed chargeable criminal offence we will elect to formally charge MR GREG SEAN JACKSON IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State Five Million Pounds GBP

£5,000,000.00

7. For the formally agreed criminal offence of fraud by misrepresentation where the claim being made by MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} that of exemption from the 1689 Bill of Rights—That all grants and promises of fines and forfeitures of a particular person before conviction are illegal and void is fraudulent in nature which is also wilful and premeditated fraud by misrepresentation. Where this is an agreed chargeable criminal offence we will elect to formally charge MR GREG SEAN JACKSON IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State Five Million Pounds GBP

£5,000,000.00

8. For the formally agreed criminal offence of fraud by misrepresentation where the claim being made by MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} that that the statement by Sir Jack Beatson FBA, at that time the head of the judiciary, was false in his address to Nottingham University, the private corporations/states of the Executive and legislature are superior to the judiciary by way of re-examination of the relationship is fraudulent in nature which is also wilful and premeditated fraud by misrepresentation. Where this is an agreed chargeable criminal offence we will elect to formally charge MR GREG SEAN JACKSON IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State Five Million Pounds GBP

£5,000,000.00

9. For the formally agreed criminal offence of fraud by misrepresentation where the claim being made by MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} contra the statement made by Chandran Kukathas in positing that HM Government plc is an entity, a Corporation/State is fraudulent in nature which is also wilful and premeditated fraud by misrepresentation. Where this is an agreed chargeable criminal offence we will elect to formally charge MR GREG SEAN JACKSON IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State Five Million Pounds GBP

£5,000,000.00

10. For the formally agreed criminal offence of fraud by misrepresentation where the claim being made by MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} that the claim of exemption under UK Public General Acts—from the UK 2000 Terrorism Act, including section 1-action taken for the benefit of a proscribed organisation is fraudulent in nature which is also wilful and premeditated fraud by misrepresentation. Where this is an agreed chargeable criminal offence we will elect to formally charge MR GREG SEAN JACKSON IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State Five Million Pounds GBP

£5,000,000.00

11. For the formally agreed criminal offence of fraud by misrepresentation where the claim being made by MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} that of right to act in bias to the detriment of us is fraudulent in nature which is also wilful and premeditated fraud by misrepresentation. Where this is an agreed chargeable criminal offence we will elect to formally charge MR GREG SEAN JACKSON IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State Five Million Pounds GBP

£5,000,000.00

12. For the formally agreed above counts of wilful and premeditated Acts of causing alarm and distress which is a formally recognised act of terrorism and a recognised criminal offence, Where this is an agreed chargeable criminal offence we will elect to formally charge MR GREG SEAN JACKSON IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State A Hundred and Ten Million Pounds GBP

£110,000,000.00

13. For the formally agreed above counts of criminal offence of Malfeasance in the office of OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State, where MR GREG SEAN JACKSON IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State has agreed to this criminal offence of malfeasance in the office—Where this is an agreed chargeable criminal offence we will elect to formally charge MR GREG SEAN JACKSON IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State Sixty Million Pounds GBP





£60,000,000.00

Total agreed debt as resolution for the above listed criminal offences equals Two Hundred and Twenty Five million pounds GBP

£225,000,000.00

Please make remedy by way of commercial instruments or personal cheque to the above address. If this is by personal cheque then please make the cheque in the name of Elaine Dawson.

If you MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} elect not to resolve this matter and debt in the next seven (7) days from the receipt of this correspondence then seven (7) days later we will issue a further reminder as you MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} are in default of your agreement and your agreed obligation. There shall be a proceeding to the Notice of Default.

In the event where MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} elects not to make settlement THEN it will be noted that MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} has formally and of their own free will and without coercion elected to stand as a surety for a security by way of a Lien on the estate of MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} and by way of the sins of the father extended to the seventh generation where there may be an attachment of earning on your Grand Children's Grand Children's Pension.

It is not our intent to place you MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} in a state of distress or cause any distress loss or harm by this legal action. MR GREG SEAN JACKSON IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State—we have expressed the criminal offences and there is an obligation to resolve. We have also noted that others in association are also complicit in the same criminal offences. Whomever is complicit in any criminal offences also carries the obligation to bring those also complicit in the same criminal offences to resolution.

This may be viewed to be an excessive action to take as a remedy but we bring your attention back to the affidavit Exhibit (F) No Body gets Paid. The Bank of England note GBP is based upon confidence and Belief where belief is a concept in the abstract which is of no material substance. So is this an excessive action where there is no monetary value. <https://multy.me/zo73Po> No injury loss or harm can be caused by the action. This is just numbers of no commercial significance as there cannot be commerce without money and there is no such thing as money so there is no such thing as economics.

It could be said that to take this action is to destabilise the economy. WHAT economy? The destabilization of the economy was done generations ago when the government licensed fraudulent Banking Practice—by that we mean Federal Reserve Banking practices, fractional lending and quantitative easing.

We did ask ourselves “Are we committing Fraud” Our response to this was. “Is there full disclosure?” YES. “Is there an agreement between the parties as a result of that disclosure?” YES. “Is there any injury loss or harm?” NO. Then there is no fraud.

Are we destabilising Government? See above. Without the consent of the governed on and for the record then there is no governed and no government by default. What Government? See Exhibit under the affidavit Exhibit (H). Without a valid and accountable government then there is no such thing as the public or the public purse.

MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} we have expressed the criminal offences and there is an obligation to resolve. MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} is either by wilful intent or ignorance from this day forward is not a fit and proper person to be in a position of trust. Ignorance of the law is no defence.

MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} You have seven (7) days to make reparation for your criminal offences. Seven (7) days after that there will be a legal notice of default. Seven (7) days after that there will be a security by way of a lien.

We await your response. Silence creates a tacit and binding agreement through acquiescence.
No Assured Value. No Liability. No Errors and Omissions Accepted.
Without ill will or vexation.

For and on behalf of the Principal legal embodiment by the title of MISS ELAINE DAWSON.
For and on behalf of the Attorney General of the House of Dawson.
For and on behalf of Baroness Elaine of the House of Dawson.





Baroness.oftheHouseof+Dawson_126_OO507@gmail.com
25 WOODSTOCK AVENUE County Palatine of Nottingham [NG7 5QP]
4 June 2024

NOTICE of DEFAULT

To: MR GREG SEAN JACKSON
Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State
33 Holborn London [EC1N 2HT]
tj.root@octoenergy.com , notices@octoenergy.com

Attorney General to King Charles}victoria.prentis.mp@parliament.uk, Nottinghamshire MP c/o}
lilian.greenwood.mp@parliament.uk ,Regulators}firm.queries@fca.org.uk,

CORPS ID:10434397
FCA /OFGEM ID:FC998064
Your ref}Fraudulent instrument of 4/JAN/24—NO signed CONTRACT/NO BILL/DISCLOSURE CONCEALMENT contra
Statute of Frauds Act and Forgery Act.

Our Ref}HOD—GREG SEAN JACKSON CEO OCTOPUS ENERGY—HOD126

Dear MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT},

Notice of Default – Non Negotiable

Important Legal Information - Do not Ignore

Re: By Formal Agreement dated 21 May 2024 and opportunity to resolve dated 28 May 2024.

This is to notify you that you are now in default of your obligations under the above written formal agreement as a result of your failure to make remedy by way of commercial instrument.

I hereby declare as of the date above, MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT} in the position of Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State is now in default.

So there can be no confusion, this legal Notice is lawfully executed as of the date above. If, however, you make remedy by way of commercial instrument within the next 7 (Seven) days, the Notice of Default will not be entered against MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT}.

For the avoidance of doubt: failure to make remedy by way of commercial instrument of the Final Demand dated, the 04 June 2024 within the 7 (Seven) days allowance, we will enforce the Notice of Default in its entirety. Further legal action will be taken to recover the outstanding debt.

Legal proceedings will be taken to resolve this matter by raising a security by way of a lien.

We await your response. Silence creates a tacit and binding agreement through acquiescence.
No Assured Value. No Liability. No Errors and Omissions Accepted.

Without ill will or vexation.

For and on behalf of the Principal legal embodiment by the title of MISS ELAINE DAWSON.
For and on behalf of the Attorney General of the House of Dawson.
For and on behalf of Baroness Elaine of the House of Dawson.





Baroness.oftheHouseof+Dawson_126_OO507@gmail.com
25 WOODSTOCK AVENUE County Palatine of Nottingham [NG7 5QP]
11 June 2024

To: MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT}
Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State
33 Holborn London [EC1N 2HT]

Reference Lien Number HOD—GREG SEAN JACKSON CEO OCTOPUS ENERGY—HOD126

To the following by email: [London Gazette](#) [Edinburgh Gazette](#) [Belfast Gazette](#) [Land Registry](#) [Information Commissioners Office](#) [Experian](#) [Equifax](#)
[Daily Mail News](#) [Financial Conduct Authority](#)

This is a formal Notification of the following.

There is a formal and civil obligation to publish this public notice.

This is a notice of a formal and agreed lien by way of a resolution for the criminal offences of Fraud and Malfeasance in the office of claimant of **MR GREG SEAN JACKSON {CLAIMANT}**.

Public Notice

NOTICE that I, Baroness Elaine of the House of Dawson, have an Affidavit of Obligation – Security by way of a lien against, and therefore an interest in, the personal estate of MR GREG SEAN JACKSON IN THE POSITION OF Chief Executive Officer for OCTOPUS ENERGY Limited Corporation/State. For the amount of Two Hundred and Twenty Five million pounds GBP 225,000,000.00.

This is a formally published legal securitised commercial instrument in PDF format at

Record location: <https://barondavidward.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/07/a-HOH-DALEWILLET-LIEN-001.pdf> And here}

[PUBLIC NOTICES of SECURITIZED LIENS](#) And here} [PUBLIC NOTICES of SECURITIZED LIENS](#)

And here: <https://www.facebook.com/groups/1191551411479810/> And here: <https://tinyurl.com/HOHO175-LLOYDS-BANK>

<https://www.facebook.com/groups/527118124607307/permalink/1194932514492528> And here: [OPHELOS SECURITIZED LIENS](#)

End of Notice

Without ill will or vexation

For and on behalf of the Principal legal embodiment by the title of MISS ELAINE DAWSON.

For and on behalf of the Attorney General of the House of Dawson.

For and on behalf of Baroness Elaine of the House of Dawson.





Notification Address List

The Edinburgh Gazette
PO Box 3584
Norwich NR7 7WD
T: +44 (0)131 659 7032
F: +44 (0)131 659 7039
E: edinburgh@thegazette.co.uk

Information Commissions Office
Wycliffe House
Water Lane
Wilmslow
Cheshire
SK9 5AF
www.ico.org.uk
01625 545745
icocasework@ico.org.uk

The Belfast Gazette
TSO Ireland
19a Weavers Court, Weavers Court Business Park
Linfield Road
Belfast BT12 5GH
T: +44 (0)28 9089 5135
F: +44 (0)28 9023 5401
E: belfast@thegazette.co.uk

Experian
The Sir John Peace Building
Experian Way
NG2 Business Park
Nottingham
NG80 1ZZ
consumer.helpservice@uk.experian.com

Equifax Credit File Advice Centre
Capital House,
25 Chapel Street,
London
NW1 5DS
Customer.RelationsUK@equifax.com

The London Gazette
PO Box 3584
Norwich NR7 7WD
T: +44 (0)870 600 33 22
F: +44 (0)20 7394 4572
E: london@thegazette.co.uk

Land Registry
Leigh Court,
Torrington Avenue,
Coventry,
West Midlands
CV4 9XZ
T: 0300 006 0411
Email, contact@landregistry-uk.com.

Daily Mail / DMGTplc
Northcliffe House
2 Derry Street
London
W8 5TT
+44 207 938 6000
news@dailymail.co.uk





Exhibit (C)

Affidavit of Truth and Statement of Fact.

Placed formally on the record of Government and the State.

As of March 2015



THIS PAGE IS INTENTIONALLY BLANK DENOTING THE INSERTION OF EXHIBIT C—[THE 2015 BARON DAVID WARD—here](#)